

WYNN WILLIAMS & CO
BARRISTERS - SOLICITORS

22 August 2006

Mr H L Wilfred
CHRISTCHURCH

Fax No 326-4075
Pages 3
Matter No 135788/1

This facsimile is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient of it you must not use, disclose, or copy its contents. If you have received this facsimile in error please telephone us (collect) immediately.

Dear Sir

REMOVAL REVIEW AUTHORITY CASE

The Judge seems to have tumbled to the point you made to me after the hearing last week, that if you are forced to leave New Zealand, you will not be travelling to the United States:

We enclose herewith the Minute the Judge has issued today.

We shall have to wait and see what Crown Counsel come up with before deciding how we respond.

I will be away from the offices from 24 August until 30 August 2006.

Yours faithfully
Wynn Williams & Co



P F Whiteside
Partner

e-mail: peter.whiteside@wynnwilliams.co.nz

Partners
P F Whiteside
G H Natton
O R Matson
C R Johnstone
A C V Brown
M Perpick
A K Sheppard
J D Gillard
K C France
A M Douglas

Associates
S M Anderson
R I D Paul
S H Marsden
J V Ormsby
B J Barclay

Consultants
J C Brown
A H Young
J B Kenny

General Manager
M A Jones



IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
WELLINGTON REGISTRY

CIV 2005-485-1617

UNDER Section 115A of the Immigration Act
IN THE MATTER of an appeal from the decision of the Removal Review
Authority appeal AAS45984 dated 9 August 2005
BETWEEN HARMON LYNN WILFRED
Appellant
AND THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF THE DEPARTMENT
OF LABOUR
Respondent

CIV2005-485-2270

UNDER the Judicature Amendment Act 1972
IN THE MATTER of a decision of the Removal Review Authority, no.
AAS45984 dated 9 August 2005
BETWEEN HARMON LYNN WILFRED
Applicant
AND THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF THE DEPARTMENT
OF LABOUR
First Respondent
AND THE REMOVAL REVIEW AUTHORITY
Second Respondent

MEMORANDUM OF RESPONDENT

30 August 2006

Next event: Not known

Judicial Officer: Gendall J

Crown Law Office (I C Carter/S V McKechnie)
Telephone: 04 494 5587, PO Box 2858, DX SP 20208,
Facsimile: 04 473 3482, Wellington Central.

[1] Please could counsel for the respondent and first respondent advise the Court of the procedure or policy that is adopted by the NZIS upon the removal of persons unlawfully in New Zealand regarding the destination to which a person is deported. The reason for this request is that the evidence before the Authority appears to be that the appellant/applicant was resident in Canada and came to New Zealand from that country. Is it the position that the implementation of any deportation requires the return to that country? Or may a person subject to removal elect a particular destination?

[2] Counsel for the appellant/applicant may also respond by memorandum if he wishes.

.....
J W Gendall J

Solicitors:
Wynn Williams & Co, Christchurch for Appellant/Applicant
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondents