The CIA as King-maker, while the Constitution founders

By Hugh Steadman...Khaki Specs



Signing of the US Constitution

Blog No. 105.

The American presidential election draws ever closer. The polls see Hillary as the least unpopular of the two candidates, leading by around five percent. However, as the contenders enter the final strait, their advertising campaigns will pick up and Hillary's vastly superior funding resource is likely to increase her lead. In short, it now looks as though only a black swan event will prevent the Hillary Clinton team from entering the Oval Office. Even then, the Clinton team has the resources available to strangle most black swans before they can flap their wings.

It is hard to imagine what sort of event could derail Hillary's campaign. A couple of months ago, I was in full agreement with my friend and business partner, Harmon Wilfred, when he argued that the information he had about the Clintons, once it entered the public domain, would make Hillary's election impossible. In the past two months, I have come to realise how extremely unlikely this is to happen. Harmon's story can be told over and over again, but no one will pay any attention.

Harmon's story has been offered to senior officials in the Trump campaign and even brought to the notice of his sons Donald Jr. and Eric, and daughter Ivanka. It has been offered to Marsha Blackburn, a Republican Congresswoman who had her request to the FBI for an investigation into Peter Schweizer's "Clinton Cash" (see below) allegations turned down. It was then offered to Peter Schweizer himself and the Government Accountability Institute which he chairs. At the same time, it was offered to the <u>US Justice Department's investigation of the Clinton Foundation</u> under Southern New York's Federal Attorney, Preet Bharara. Every Republican governor, senator and congressman has been informed of Harmon's case, and though in the Blackburn, Bharara and Schweizer instances a slight nibble was felt on the hook, no hook has yet been taken.

Those passing by on the other side fall into two camps: in one camp there are those who are either too frightened by the stick the Clintons and teammates are capable of wielding, or already subverted by the mega-mountain of carrots they have on offer to obedient rabbits. The other camp consists of those who are too patriotic to believe that such a terrible truth could apply to a country they are conditioned to look up to. Alternatively, if they do believe in the truth revealed by Harmon's story, they are too frightened to make public their acceptance of it, and thereby by revealing CIA secrets, risk being seen as undermining national security.

Despite what he now realises are the odds stacked against him, Harmon keeps on trying. This is the link to the press release he issued last week:

The CIA / Clinton Foundation Covert Money Laundering Connection

Recent reports show the Clintons amassing funds of up to three billion dollars with at least two billion having been received since the Clinton Foundation's inception in 2001. "Where did the money come from?" Is it a coincidence the Clinton's also formed a CIA multi-billion dollar covert funding partnership in 2001? Harmon Wilfred, as a CIA "asset" and unwitting architect of this cash cow is convinced the Clinton portion of this partnership is being laundered through the Clinton Foundation...




Harmon and Carolyn Dare Wilfred Christchurch, New Zealand, August 29, 2016

The key to the problem is that it's not just Hillary Clinton running for the Oval Office, but also her allies (or handlers) in the CIA who intend to share the office with her. No one other than Harmon, and that was only after he had viewed the 'Clinton Cash' documentary, had made the connection between the Clinton Foundation and its use as a money laundry for the CIA, 'Quo Vadis Khaki Specs?

— Et tu Clinton Foundation?' More significantly, no right thinking American (or as in NZ's case, American ally) wants to admit to such a possibility.

One cannot but be impressed by the freedom of speech which is enjoyed by American citizens. Faced with that awful freedom, the elites who have so much to hide and who control the government and the media that should function as a watchdog over them, have worked out effective strategies to impair the American public's ability to hear. I would challenge anyone to watch the YouTube clips referred to at the bottom of Harmon's press release, all of which are freely available over the internet, and then name any other country in which one of the starring Clinton duo, with this sort of information in the public domain, could have any chance whatsoever of winning a democratic election to the highest office in the land.

The Terry Reed story 'The Mena Connection' is a perfect example of the case I am making. Terry's story is the prequel to Harmon's. The only difference is one of scale – Terry was involved in an operation that gave the CIA and Bill Clinton, then Governor of Arkansas, access to hundreds of millions of slush dollars. Once the CIA/Clinton team had made use of the proceeds of their impressive cocaine business to elevate the governor of one of the USA's most backward states to President of the USA, their ambitions were elevated in lockstep. Harmon's operation would now give them access to tens of billions of slush dollars.



This collage offers advance notice of a film, starring <u>Tom Cruise</u> that is currently being shot by Universal and which was initially titled 'Mena.' The name has recently been changed to <u>'American Made.'</u> It was scheduled for release in January 2017. It has now been put back to September 2017, by which time, should it be Hillary in office, one can assume that the script will have been subject to review.

To an outside observer, the whole story might well seem too fantastical to be believed. All those other third party reports of CIA involvement in drug running, the enormous scale of the operation, and the brazenness of its execution seem too extraordinary. However, as a compulsive observer of international affairs, I would admit to being inclined towards belief rather than disbelief. This is not only because of repeated reports of other CIA drug profiteering activities in countries such as Turkey and Afghanistan, but also because of what must be the enormous costs of multiple CIA interventions in overseas wars, coups, and media distortion; all in operations that go on without any Congressional oversight or budgetary provision.

However, in this instance I am not simply an outside observer. For ten years I have had close personal contact with the Wilfred's, have seen the evidence they hold, and consequently have not the faintest shadow of doubt that their testimony is truthful. The fact that it so closely tracks the previous ordeal of Terry and Janice Reed confirms not just that Harmon's testimony is true, but that the Reeds' testimony is also true.

Recently, my bedside read has been "Grand Strategies" by Charles Hill. Hill is a distinguished American career diplomat and academic, who with awesome erudition, follows the history and development of western political thought, from classical Greece through classical Rome and the European Enlightenment, until what he sees as its apotheosis in the American Constitution. The segregation of governmental responsibilities into the counter-balancing powers of the Judiciary, the Executive and the Legislature, with the whole, subject to the democratic voice of the governed as

expressed through the polling booth and the media, was intended as a perpetual motion machine of just and pragmatic government. We are the unfortunates to live to witness two thousand years of accumulated western intellectual development being negated by a small coterie of piggy gangsters who are in the process of stealing the American constitution.

Reading Harmon's story and looking at the current US presidential election and the choices available to voters, it is all too clear that something has gone horrifically wrong with the Founding Fathers' intent. There is sufficient evidence to argue that the Executive, in the form of the CIA teamed up with the President, has managed to make serious inroads into the once impartial, and to the Executive impenetrable domain of the Judiciary. To illustrate this there are the multiple failures of the FBI investigations (most particular, the recently aborted investigation into Hillary's exposure of state secrets on her private server that might yet come back to bite her), and the unjust and apparently prejudiced behaviour and decisions of the members of the judiciary. Harmon's encounters with Colorado State Attorney, John Suthers, the run-around given to Sibel Edmonds and the prosecution of Terry and Janice Reed and the subsequent, off-hand-dismissal of the case brought against their oppressors, all stink of fish.

Elements within the CIA have acquired three levers with which to usurp the authority of the American constitution:

Slush funds: Firstly, they have unlimited slush funds with which to buy individuals and institutions. My previous blogs dealing with the Harmon Wilfred story give an indication of the tens of billions of unaccounted for funds now available to the CIA executives in on the deal. In the case of Bill Clinton's election, the CIA selected to place in office the presidential candidate most amenable to its corrupting influence. We are now seeing the same pattern emerge in regard to his wife Hillary.

Enforcement: Secondly, they have fear with which to assert their authority. The CIA's secret enforcement arm eliminates the disobedient or inconvenient, and controls any subsequent investigations. For both internal disciplinary and external enforcement, the CIA has its long-standing specialised 'cleaning' department. This is well illustrated in 'A Timeline of CIA Atrocities' by Steve Kangas, a former Army Intelligence Specialist and journalist who also died 'mysteriously' of 'suicide' in 1999 while working on a book exposing CIA covert activities. I rely on Harmon for my knowledge of how the cleaners function within the network of CIA assets and agents. After the controversy following the JFK assassination, any sitting president considering action against the core interests of the CIA, would have to harbour a suspicion that his or her own life might go the same way as Jack Kennedy's.

Of course, lesser sanctions such as imprisonment on framed charges, character assassination or career destruction can also be applied. In Harmon's case, the chosen sanction has been false charges creating permanent alienation from his children, and now with the NZ government's connivance, enforced separation from his Canadian wife.

In the early stages of the current phase of the CIA's takeover of the US government, as the young Bill Clinton was being groomed for a higher purpose, there seems to have been a spate of suicides and accidents among his business and private-life contacts and those in his entourage. I am frequently asked 'how come those such as Sibel Edmonds, Terry Reed and Harmon Wilfred have not yet met with similar lethal events?'



Vince Foster, James McDougal and Ron Brown all had dirt on the Clintons. Now, they all have dirt on themselves. Six feet of it. The list of names to the right are people affiliated with the Clintons who have all died - many under suspicious circumstances.

I don't think we need rejoice that the cleaners appear to be less active now than they once were. The survival of these pesky whistle-blowers is an indication of the confidence that the CIA now has in its ability to ensure that their blathering goes unremarked by the general public. Only a suspicious death might risk bringing their largely ignored stories back into unwelcome limelight.


Should Hillary become President, their physical safety might not be so assured. From what I understand of her character, she is fully capable of the extremes of vindictive behaviour. Having the power to exert retribution, she might be unable to resist using it.

Blackmail: It is interesting to note that, according to the blurb on the Mena film, 'American Made' the Bush brothers, Jeb and George, flew the family's private plane into a DEA sting operation and were filmed with several kilos of cocaine in their possession. The DEA hadn't realised just who was in the net! Subsequently, the whole event was hushed up, all evidence vanished from the scene and the film footage's location is now unknown. There is more of the same in The Hidden Mysteries blog. Given all the other coincidences and the basic premise of this blog, it seems not unreasonable to assume there is truth in this story. If it does in fact exist, it would seem a safe assumption that the footage was obtained by the CIA and would have been able to assure the cooperation of both the Senior and Junior Presidents Bush in whatever scheme the CIA had in mind (for instance, the Twin Towers?) Certainly its possession would have given the CIA great confidence in supporting the Bush Junior bid for the presidency.

In a time when the CIA has access to total surveillance of anyone of interest to them, endless opportunities for blackmail enforced compliance exists. Media proprietors, politicians in America and overseas, members of the judiciary etc. are all obvious targets. The memory banks are infinite. You want a new President in some country: who have you got so much dirt on that they will perform for you in return for your assistance in getting them into office? You want a country to join you in a military escapade in Iraq: what have you got on their Prime Minister? You want a vote on the UN Security Council: what have you got on the ambassador?

Control of the Media: The Terry Reed documentary gives an example of how a CIA operative-cum-Time Magazine reporter was employed to destroy both Terrence Reed's credibility and career. Here is a poignant video about the CIA's manipulation of the media <u>CIA Admits Using MSM to Manipulate The USA</u>. You don't have to watch the video, you need only read the final quote from an editor of the NY Times: Google 'Udo Ulfkotte' or 'Operation Mockingbird' for further info. In the words of John Swinton, former New York Times Chief of Staff, "There is no such thing at this date of the world's history, in America, as an independent press. You know it and I know it...."

With the advent of the internet information age, CIA control now extends into social media as well, Revealed: US spy operation that manipulates social media.



As Harmon says, in the case of a Hillary Clinton presidency, the 'free world' will be led by a criminal gang. It will be contemptuous of and fully able to extract itself from inconvenient democratic control, while it sets about maximising its wealth and power. If its own constitutional law means so little to it, how much less does international law signify? With the CIA as co-conspirator with the president and the guaranteed obedience of the Pentagon, the rest of the world may well be brought to a choice of compliance with unacceptable demands or war.

Was it "mena, mena, tekel, parsin" written on the wall at Belshazzar's feast in notification that the days of his empire were numbered?!