

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION
December 23, 1999

To: Dale Parrish

Company: Edward Dale Parish, PC

Tel: (303) 820-3440

Fax: (303) 820-3449

From: Harmon Wilfred

Regarding: For the Record

Number of Pages (Including Cover Page) 2

Dear Dale,

We are in receipt of your unsigned fax letter of communication dated December 20, 1999 regarding your status report to our co-council, Mr. Lance Sears. With regard to our recent meeting and communication with President Clinton's personal attorney, Mr. Gregory Craig, Esq., the following should be noted for the record:

- ◆ Mr. Craig came to us through our Toronto attorney, Mr. Alan Gold.
- ◆ Our primary purpose of attending the breakfast meeting we were called to at Mr. Craig's hotel in Toronto on November 13, 1999 was to look into the possibility of establishing a prominent Washington DC contact for possible future assistance needed, if any, at the US Justice Department level.
- ◆ The secondary purpose of attending this meeting was to discuss President Clinton's involvement in the Mitsubishi Note Transaction.
- ◆ The third purpose of attending this meeting was to examine any future opportunity of a US Justice Department investigation of the El Paso County Pension Fund Issue as it relates to the charges filed against me. Understanding that this meeting was not with any federal or public agency nor was it intended to be a breach of our commitment to you to stay away from this issue publicly and legally while resolving the custody and criminal issues in the existing cases.

Finally, we were simply curious as to President Clinton's attorney's motive for desiring to meeting with us. We think it is fair to say that anyone, especially someone in our position, would consider such an invitation to gain information and privately discuss possible further assistance in the issues at hand. It would also appear that Mr. Craig would certainly be looking out for President Clinton's best interests through any such assistance provided.

The results of the breakfast meeting were as follows:

- ♦ Mr. Craig offered an unsolicited opinion that he agreed with the strategy we are pursuing by concentrating on the custody and criminal issues and avoiding any further attention to the Mitsubishi Note Transaction or the Pension Fund Issues. In fact he emphasised that pursuing any such Federal avenues at this time, whether in the right or not, was probably unwise.
- ♦ Mr. Craig recommended that I find a way to "make peace" with my government at the Federal, State and local levels. I agreed, however he did not offer any suggestions as to how such a peace accord could be implemented.
- ♦ Mr. Craig ended the meeting by asking us to keep him informed of our progress on getting the charges dismissed and offered his help through adding his expertise to the legal team we have already established in Canada and Colorado. This help was offered only in the event that we would need a Washington D.C. resource to assist with any matters involving the US Justice Department.

Since the meeting referred to above, we have corresponded with Mr. Craig several times by e-mail with simple progress reports in order to maintain our opportunity to utilise his services in the future, if needed. We have not contracted for Mr. Craig's services in these matters by payment or otherwise, nor would we do so without your knowledge and agreement. There is not now nor was there ever an intent to commit a "breach of trust" in our contract relationship for legal services with Edward Dale Parrish, PC., or our co-council, Sears & Swanson, PC or in any way question your quality or quantity of services. On the contrary, by sharing the information with you on December 18, 1999 about our recent communications with Mr. Craig, it was our intent to offer this as an opportunity, or at least a possible future resource at the US Justice Department level, only if needed of course.

Please accept our sincere apology for any misunderstandings that may have occurred as a result of our actions.

Sincerely,



Harmon L. Wilfred



Carolyn R. Wilfred

MESSAGE CONFIRMATION

12/23/99 23:07
ID=WILFRED

DATE	TIME	S,R-TIME	DISTANT STATION ID	MODE	PAGES	RESULT	
12/23	23:06	01'45"	3038203449	G3 -S	02	OK	0000

EDWARD DALE PARRISH, P. C.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

WORLD TRADE CENTER BUILDING

1875 BROADWAY, SUITE 1100

DENVER, COLORADO 80202

E-MAIL: PARRISHPC@AOL.COM

WEBSITE: PARRISHPC.COM

EDWARD DALE PARRISH
MARIA THERESA SCHAEFERTELEPHONE (303) 820-3440
FACSIMILE (303) 820-3449

December 20, 1999

Lance Sears, Esq.
Sears & Swanson, P.C.
2 North Cascade Avenue, Suite 1250
Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Re: *People v. Wilfred*
El Paso County District Court
98 CR 215

Marriage of Wilfred
El Paso and Arapahoe County District Courts

Dear Lance:

On Friday and Saturday, December 17 - 19, 1999 I spoke to the Wilfreds at length concerning several strategy faxes from Harmon (on Friday) and a new development concerning President Clinton's counsel Gregory Craig, Esq. (on Saturday morning). I have enclosed my attorney notes concerning the latter conversation primarily because it appears that Wilfred was discussing the pension fund and Mitsubishi issues with another attorney for the past two months without our knowledge. I found this to be a breach of trust, regardless of the outcome, and so advised Harmon and Carolyn Wilfred.

I further advised the Wilfreds that continued reference to the pension fund and Mitsubishi matters only served to (further) discredit Harmon Wilfred. Once again, this issue was raised by Wilfred in the context of his personal safety should he return to Colorado under a criminal disposition without, in his words, "addressing the pension fund". In my opinion, it remains unwise to broach this subject or that of the Mitsubishi transaction, with Bob Harward, beyond what might be necessary in response to a rather dormant investigation by the El Paso County District Attorney's office.

I further advised the Wilfreds that if his former wife and children (Dearna, Danielle and Isaac Wilfred) lived outside the state of Colorado for more than six months prior to commencement of the most recent post-decree proceedings, then pursuant to the UCCJA Colorado would no longer be their "home state" and ultimately a different court in a different forum will address child custody, parenting time and possibly support issues. This reduces the Colorado leverage with respect to a criminal disposition, *i.e.*

Lance Scars, Esq.
December 20, 1999
Page 2

Wilfred's primary interest in El Paso domestic post-decree proceedings is the children and it's my thinking that this, together with our other progress in the domestic case, may convince Harward that its time to deal the criminal case.

Finally, Canadian counsel is interested in asserting the "advice of counsel" as a new ("fresh") issue in the extradition appeal now scheduled for hearing on January 27, 2000. I am attempting to support their efforts in this regard.

I would like to speak to you concerning the timing of our motion to disqualify Ciccolella's office *vis-a-vis* written discovery, *i.e.* request for admission that the children do not live in Colorado (without requesting specific whereabouts until the Court authorizes same) and establishing a date when they left this state. In so doing, we can establish that Colorado is no longer the home state of the children in support of a transfer of jurisdiction. Once Wilfred's interest in post-decree proceedings is not focused in Colorado, it occurs to me that Mr. Ciccolella and Mr. Weston may no longer be motivated in participate in post-decree proceedings. I did explain to the Wilfred's about what seemed to be the recurrent theme for Ciccolella and Weston during our first meeting: money.

This is a status report from my end and I look forward to hearing from you.
Thanks

Sincerely,
EDWARD DALE PARRISH, P.C.

Dale Parrish

EDP/rjw
Enclosure
Scars.D20

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: <catherine.forrest@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: November 9, 1999 3:47 PM
Subject: Confirmation

Dear Catie,

Thank you for mailing the William's & Connolly profile to my attention. It is most helpful to know that the firm is so diverse in the practice of law, especially in the areas of expertise that are required in dealing with the issues at hand.

I have further confirmed our scheduled breakfast meeting with Mr. Craig by reservation on November 13, 1999 at 8:30 AM at the INN ON THE PARK, 1100 Eglinton Ave. East, Don Mills, Ontario. Due to the confidential nature of this meeting, I have also taken the liberty of making special arrangements for our breakfast appointment by providing for a private table with exclusive service in the Harvest Room Restaurant within the hotel. Should Mr. Craig arrive first, please instruct him to ask for Mr. Phillip Beecher, of Hotel Services. Mr. Beecher arranged the accommodations personally and will direct him to our table. My wife, Carolyn and I are arriving at the INN ON THE PARK on Friday evening, the 12th of November, 1999 to prepare for the meeting.

Thanks for your help. Please convey to Mr. Craig that Carolyn and I are looking forward to our meeting on Saturday.

Sincerely,

Harmon & Carolyn Wilfred

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: November 17, 1999 8:35 PM
Subject: Reflection

Dear Greg,

Carolyn and I would like to express our deepest gratitude for your generous contribution of time and advice during our meeting in Toronto on Saturday. We now know why you have been chosen to represent the highest political figure in North America. Thank you from the bottom of our hearts.

Upon reflection of what was shared in our meeting, and after a lengthy discussion with my wife, Carolyn, I am inclined to agree with your assessment of leaving the Pension Fund and the Mitsubishi Note issues behind without further adieu and focus 100% of our energies on rescuing our children, Danielle and Isaac. However, as you so hypothetically pointed out, when the custody issues are cleared up and it is apparent that the children are infinitely better off in our custody, I still will have to return to Colorado to claim them as their father. Hypothetically or not, with John Suthers still in power, the charges outstanding or not, returning is out of the question. The other difficulty I have is returning while the Mitsubishi Note investigation is still outstanding with the risk of further charges. As long as I continue to have unpaid investors on the Mitsu Note deal, these investors must be satisfied in some fashion in order to close the door on this issue and thereby eliminate any further possible solicitations of complaints by the El Paso County DA.

Inasmuch as the original charges as well as the current Mitsu Note investigation are all borne out of the same Pension Fund controversy perpetrated by one John Suthers, would it be possible to get a clear message to Mr. Suthers from "the Hill" that further efforts in this direction would not be advantageous to his political career? The only way Mr. Suthers made these charges stick in the first place for the purpose of the extradition was to use his personal influence in the extradition request with the US Justice Department. I have confirmed that information from the inside through my own contacts in Washington early in the game. As this game started out on the unofficial influence of Mr. Suthers, may we also send him an unofficial but clear message from Washington that it would be in his best interests to terminate such further activity?

I do not believe that Mr. Suthers' success in winning my extradition would be to anyone's benefit, except where he could eliminate and or cover up all credible evidence by eliminating me before I could get to a court room. With all the noise that has been generated around these issues in legal and political circles, albeit quietly, my elimination might also be foolish at best. My Colorado attorney, Dale Parrish, has made it clear that our primary defense in Colorado court, by necessity, would be in the re-opening of both the Pension Fund Embezzlement case and the Mitsubishi Note transaction. In both defenses, I have more than enough evidence to prove my case; however, the price of such a proceeding could be detrimental to me, my children, certain El Paso County officials, the State of Colorado, and the US Federal Government wherein the Pension Fund and Mitsubishi Note deal are dead issues and, as you have strongly suggested, need to stay that way.

The solutions to the above problems are obvious, although the accomplishment of those solutions remains a question of resources and co-operation.

1) Let it hereby be known that I have no further desire to pursue the Pension Fund or Mitsubishi Note Issues.

03/10/00

- 2) John Suthers must drop all existing and future plans for personal retribution against me for whatever he thinks I have done to him.
- 3) The charges against me must be dropped and the Mitsubishi Note investigation must be terminated with no wrong-doing found.
- 4) I must be given full and unfettered legal opportunity to pursue the fair and equitable custody of my children.
- 5) I must be given full and unfettered legal, business, and financial opportunity to satisfy all my investors in the Mitsubishi Note transaction.

Certainly, being given the opportunity to proceed with new business opportunities would also be to nearly everyone's best interest. In the above scenario, there are no losers.

One of your first suggestions during our meeting was to convey such a message to John Suthers and call an end to this mess. I say, Amen; however, I would like to send the same message to those in El Paso County, the State of Colorado, and those in the US Federal Government who would also benefit from this global truce. I am ready to do whatever it takes, within reason, to restore my family and to get on with what I believe to be a very bright future.

Comments? Questions? Suggestions?

Respectively submitted,

Harmon

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: November 18, 1999 3:51 PM
Subject: A Plea for the children

Dear Mr. Craig,

I am aware that my husband, Harmon, has sent you a message reflecting on our meeting on Saturday, and I am in agreement.

As you are a father of two children the same age as Harmon's, I ask from my heart as a mother, when you return to your office, would you please read the statements and affidavits that we are faxing to your attention regarding Danielle and Isaac Wilfred? It's time the focus is removed from that of money and vendettas and placed on the best of God's treasure . . . the children. Danielle and Isaac have been played as pawns, ignored by the system, and as a result, have been returned to an abusive environment where they do not want to live. I love Danielle and Isaac as my own and our family misses them terribly. I don't understand why, from the very beginning of this nightmare, no one has given any attention to the cry of the children. Would someone please pay attention now and help these two innocent children? These faxed affidavits say it all! They have also been forwarded directly to our Colorado attorney, Dale Parish, for submission in the custody battle.

With Hillary Clinton's personal interest in the welfare of children world-wide, is there any way that copies of these affidavits could be forwarded to her with a request for her direct assistance? It was the US Justice Department who were deliberately deceived into participating with John Suthers in this legal abomination in the first place. I am not asking for Mrs. Clinton's involvement in determining custody. I am only asking for her assistance in providing immediate relief for Danielle and Isaac while the attorneys continue with this ridiculous drawn out legal battle loaded with delay tactics and legal maneuvering.

Thank you for your kindness.

Respectly and sincerely submitted,

Carolyn Wilfred

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Craig, Gregory" <Gregory.Craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
To: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
Sent: November 19, 1999 1:40 PM
Subject: RE: A Plea for the children

Carolyn:

I just got back from Europe late last night and came into the office this morning to discover your e-mail and your fax message. I am somewhat troubled inasmuch as you refer to a communication from Harmon -- sent after our meeting in Toronto -- and I have not gotten any such communication.

I am not your lawyer or Harmon's and my advice is not given to you in that professional capacity. I am glad that you are focusing on the kids as a top priority. But, as I said to you and Harmon in Toronto, you will be unable to help the children if Harmon is in jail. So, in my view, the best way to help the children -- probably the only way -- is (a) to resolve Harmon's personal legal difficulties as rapidly as possible; and (b) to move on to help the children. It is of course possible to work on both projects at the same time. You should be doing that, and I think you are doing that. Harmon's standing as a father with enforceable claims vis-a-vis information about and access to his children is heavily dependent upon him being free and able to assert those claims personally.

Greg Craig

-----Original Message-----

From: Harmon & Carolyn [mailto:harmony@execulink.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 1999 2:51 PM
To: Gregory Craig
Subject: A Plea for the children

Dear Mr. Craig,

I am aware that my husband, Harmon, has sent you a message reflecting on our meeting on Saturday, and I am in agreement.

As you are a father of two children the same age as Harmon's, I ask from my heart as a mother, when you return to your office, would you please read the statements and affidavits that we are faxing to your attention regarding Danielle and Isaac Wilfred? It's time the focus is removed from that of money and vendettas and placed on the best of God's treasure . . . the children. Danielle and Isaac have been played as pawns, ignored by the system, and as a result, have been returned to an abusive environment where they do not want to live. I love Danielle and Isaac as my own and our family misses them terribly. I don't understand why, from the very beginning

03/10/00

of this nightmare, no one has given any attention to the cry of the children. Would someone please pay attention now and help these two innocent children? These faxed affidavits say it all! They have also been forwarded directly to our Colorado attorney, Dale Parish, for submission in the custody battle.

With Hillary Clinton's personal interest in the welfare of children world-wide, is there any way that copies of these affidavits could be forwarded to her with a request for her direct assistance? It was the US Justice Department who were deliberately deceived into participating with John Suthers in this legal abomination in the first place. I am not asking for Mrs. Clinton's involvement in determining custody. I am only asking for her assistance in providing immediate relief for Danielle and Isaac while the attorneys continue with this ridiculous drawn out legal battle loaded with delay tactics and legal maneuvering.

Thank you for your kindness.

Respectly and sincerely submitted,

Carolyn Wilfred

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: December 1, 1999 8:11 PM
Subject: Update

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly

Copy From e-mail

C/O Catie Forrest

Tel: (202) 434-5506
Fax: (202) 434-5029

Number of Pages (Including cover): 2

Dear Greg,

In keeping with our promise to provide updates on our progress in Colorado, we can now report that we are definitely getting the Judge's attention in the custody case. With recent filings by our opponent of Motions, including a Motion to Stay the Proceeding until I comply with the Judges order to "waive extradition and appear before the Court in Colorado", a Motion to Disqualify Attorney, that is, my attorney, for having had a discussion with my ex-wife's mother 9 years ago, and the latest is a Motion for Protective Order to prevent my ex-wife's scheduled Deposition and thwart our recent Motion for Placement of Special Child Advocate for the purpose of an examination of the children for possible child abuse and to provide a much needed buffer in order to minimize any negative effects of this controversy as we continue to battle it out legally.

With all of these legal maneuverings and more, the Judge has finally set a status conference hearing to determine all issues to date, set for December 10, 1999 at 8:45 AM MST. My opponent's primary argument will of course continue to be, "If Mr. Wilfred is innocent of any wrong doing and loves his children, he will comply with the Judge's order to waive extradition, and appear before this Court to fight for his custody rights." Frankly, I cannot imagine the Judge not pressing this issue. Without revealing the real reasons for my refusal to return (the John Suthers threat by way of the Pension Fund issue and Mitsubishi investigation still outstanding), we must admit their argument does have some merit. If it comes to the point of winning or losing my freedom (or upon return, my life) and my children, based upon revealing the Suthers' threat by releasing the Pension Fund and Mitsubishi evidence or not, the decision is certainly becoming increasingly more difficult.

With the pressure mounting day by day, and the continued total lack of knowledge of the whereabouts and welfare of Danielle and Isaac, it would be most helpful for us to receive some indication that you have passed the information and messages along that were provided to you in our last e-mail / fax communications entitled "Reflection" and "A Plea for the Children ", before this hearing takes place on December 10, 1999. You may well be our only opportunity to find justice in a political system that has become so completely corrupted as to even use innocent children as pawns to accomplish its self-serving ends.

03/10/00

Carolyn and I thank you again for your kind consideration, unofficially of course, in assisting us towards a fair opportunity for me and my children to be re-united and free from further threats and abuse, legally or otherwise.

Have you received any indication from the US Treasury Department as to the disposition of the laser copies I left in your safe keeping at our Toronto meeting? Please let me know if I can be of further assistance in this matter.

Thanks again for your kindness,

Sincerely,

Harmon L. Wilfred
Wilfred

Carolyn R.

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Craig, Gregory" <Gregory.Craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
To: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
Sent: December 1, 1999 8:24 PM
Subject: RE: Update

Thanks for the update. I have the laser copies right in front of me as I write this. I am still trying to figure where exactly to insert myself into the Treasury bureaucracy with my inquiry. I will let you know. Meanwhile, I wish you all the best in Colorado. Greg

-----Original Message-----

From: Harmon & Carolyn [<mailto:harmony@execulink.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 01, 1999 7:12 PM
To: Gregory Craig
Subject: Update

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly

Copy From e-mail

C/O Catie Forrest

Tel: (202) 434-5506
Fax: (202) 434-5029

Number of Pages (Including cover): 2

Dear Greg,

In keeping with our promise to provide updates on our progress in Colorado, we can now report that we are definitely getting the Judge's attention in the custody case. With recent filings by our opponent of Motions, including a Motion to Stay the Proceeding until I comply with the Judges order to "waive extradition and appear before the Court in Colorado", a Motion to Disqualify Attorney, that is, my attorney, for having had a discussion with my ex-wife's mother 9 years ago, and the latest is a Motion for Protective Order to prevent my ex-wife's scheduled Deposition and thwart our recent Motion for Placement of Special Child Advocate for the purpose of an examination of the children for possible child abuse and to provide a much needed buffer in order to minimize any negative effects of this controversy as we continue to battle it out legally.

With all of these legal maneuverings and more, the Judge has finally set a status conference hearing to determine all issues to date, set for December 10, 1999 at 8:45 AM MST. My opponent's primary argument will of course continue to be, "If Mr. Wilfred is innocent of any wrong doing and loves his children, he will comply with the Judge's order to waive extradition, and appear before this Court to fight for his custody rights." Frankly, I

03/10/00

cannot imagine the Judge not pressing this issue. Without revealing the real reasons for my refusal to return (the John Suthers threat by way of the Pension Fund issue and Mitsubishi investigation still outstanding), we must admit their argument does have some merit. If it comes to the point of winning or losing my freedom (or upon return, my life) and my children, based upon revealing the Suthers' threat by releasing the Pension Fund and Mitsubishi evidence or not, the decision is certainly becoming increasingly more difficult.

With the pressure mounting day by day, and the continued total lack of knowledge of the whereabouts and welfare of Danielle and Isaac, it would be most helpful for us to receive some indication that you have passed the information and messages along that were provided to you in our last e-mail / fax communications entitled "Reflection" and "A Plea for the Children ", before this hearing takes place on December 10, 1999. You may well be our only opportunity to find justice in a political system that has become so completely corrupted as to even use innocent children as pawns to accomplish its self-serving ends. Carolyn and I thank you again for your kind consideration, unofficially of course, in assisting us towards a fair opportunity for me and my children to be re-united and free from further threats and abuse, legally or otherwise.

Have you received any indication from the US Treasury Department as to the disposition of the laser copies I left in your safe keeping at our Toronto meeting? Please let me know if I can be of further assistance in this matter.

Thanks again for your kindness,

Sincerely,

Harmon L. Wilfred
Carolyn R. Wilfred

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: December 7, 1999 10:25 PM
Subject: Further Strategy

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly
C/O Catie Forrest

Copy From e-mail

Tel: (202) 434-5506
Fax: (202) 434-5029
Number of Pages (Including cover): 2

Dear Greg,

When we parted from our meeting in Toronto on November 13, 1999, you offered me your card and asked that we keep you informed as to our progress and for us to let you know if you could be of further help. Our first request for help involved asking your assistance to initiate an official probe into the Pension Fund and Mitsubishi note issues at the US Justice Department level. Your advice was consistent with the advice of our Colorado legal council, and that is to focus on the custody issues and not approach the Pension Fund or the Mitsu note issues at all. Of course, as you know, we will have no choice but to reveal all information on the Mitsu note transaction if legally asked to do so in this continuing investigation or if charges are filed as a result. You also suggested that if there were a way to approach my enemies in Colorado in order to call a truce and thereby level the playing field in the custody arena, that I should do so. We agreed with the suggested approach in principle, however, the practical application of such an approach had to take place in a way that my enemies not have to publicly admit that they were indeed behind the scenes at the State and Federal levels working their plan of retribution against me for my part in the exposure of the DA's involvement in the Pension Fund scandal.

In order to attempt to apply your suggested approach and satisfy the requirement for confidentiality, I asked in my e-mail and facsimile of November 17, 1999 that you consider the possibility of assisting me privately to affect such an arrangement through the same unofficial US Justice Department political channels that were originally utilized by my opponents in order to leverage my children in their game and thereby force my return to Colorado. Thus far, you have chosen to remain essentially silent with regard to that request for assistance.

The over-riding issues in all of our communication is and has been first and foremost, the safety and well being of my children. Danielle and Isaac have been deliberately and with full knowledge of the Colorado legal system, returned to an abusive environment by the El Paso County District Attorney's Office with the full and un-be-known co-operation of the US Justice System, and the threat to my personal safety continues to be a reality in the event that I am returned to Colorado, voluntarily or by legal force, again with the full and un-be-known co-operation of the US Justice System.

I recently reported to you the latest news of our first hearing in our custody challenge set in El Paso County Court for Friday, December 10, 1999 at 8:45 AM MST. I also pointed out that the biggest issue we are facing, and my opponents strongest position, is in their pointing out to the Judge my unwillingness to return to Colorado. Without knowing why,

03/10/00

other than the fact that I am simply exercising my legal right to resist extradition, there is a good likelihood that the Judge will agree with my opponent and disallow any further action on behalf of my children in the custody case. This would leave my children in continued jeopardy. In order to prevent that from happening, I would like to propose the following plan wherein I will agree to set aside the extradition and return to Colorado under the following conditions:

- 1) I would like you to formally represent me to the US Justice Department in presenting all of my evidence on the Pension Fund issue, only for the purpose of full disclosure, and in doing so, cause John Suthers and the El Paso County District Attorney's Office to be placed on notice that I must be given a fair opportunity to present my case in the custody and criminal arena without further prejudice, personal or political. Another primary condition is that I may attend hearings in Colorado and return to Canada on a hearing by hearing basis on both the criminal and custody matters without further threat of incarceration. With your assistance and joint effort with my Colorado legal council, I would like to obtain permission from the Colorado Court for the above arrangement as well as assurances from the US Justice Department that no harm can come to me during this process in Colorado.
- 2) The Mitsu Investigation must be terminated or put on hold immediately, and I must be given at least one year to solve all difficulties with my investor/lenders. No other charges or issues, past or present, real or otherwise may be entertained during this process until I am either exonerated and may return to my home in Canada a free man, or have paid what ever price the court determines for any wrong doing found, and then also permitted to go free.

As I compose this communication, I am overwhelmed with the thought that what I am proposing may be a waste of time. On the other hand, how can I get the Judge to agree to permit me to continue the effort to protect my children through the custody case without either returning to Colorado, and thereby carelessly putting my life on the line and exposing myself to further political and legal retribution or revealing my reason for refusing to return by disclosing the evidence of the DA's involvement on the Pension Fund embezzlement scheme? If I expose the DA by revealing the evidence on the Pension Fund scandal as the real motivation for the DA's filing of the criminal charges and the initiation of the Mitsu investigation, and thereby justify my refusal to return to Colorado, I am told that I will never see my children again. If I don't expose the DA, and do not waive extradition and return, then it appears as though I am guilty and thereby I am disallowed any court opportunity to have communication with or see my children, let alone rescue them from further child abuse. This is nothing short of legalised extortion! MY CHILDREN AND I ARE STUCK!

If any of the above makes any sense, please let me know. If you have any other creative alternatives, we are all ears. Carolyn is absolutely against my returning to Colorado under any conditions, and I am extremely wary of going against her intuition. Please also know that we want you officially on this case as additional legal council for what ever solutions are proposed. If anyone can understand and help find a solution to the hell that I and my children are going through, it is you! Try to imagine your two children of the same age in such continuous jeopardy for in excess of 22 months with no relief, and all because of a corrupt legal system that seems unstoppable. What would you do? How far would you go to save them, to be with them, to have them back in your arms? Please help us to free my children from this horrible legal, or should I say, illegal mess.

Sincerely,

Harmon & Carolyn

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: December 20, 1999 3:26 PM
Subject: Update

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly

Copy From e-mail

C/O Catie Forrest

Tel: (202) 434-5506
Fax: (202) 434-5029

Number of Pages (Including cover): 1

Dear Greg,

After some considerable discussion with my Colorado attorneys, we remain united with the approach to stay away from the Pension Fund issue entirely, and continue to progress in the custody case. On that matter, we have now obtained a Judge's order to assign a Special Child Advocate to the children and open up communication with me by telephone, albeit, my ex-wife has so far been uncooperative. We also believe we may be very close to getting the criminal charges dismissed. I will continue to keep you informed.

How are we progressing with the Treasury Department? I have had an inquiry today from the Chinese Association seeking a progress report. Please let me know ASAP so that I may report back.

Merry Christmas and Happy New Year to you, Catie and your families!

Sincerely,

Harmon & Carolyn

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: January 11, 2000 4:23 PM
Subject: Legal Update, US and Canada (Extradition!)

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly

Copy From e-mail

C/O Catie Forrest

Tel: (202) 434-5506
Fax: (202) 434-5029

Number of Pages (Including cover): 2

Dear Greg,

Our Colorado Attorneys are now pursuing the much needed information on the condition of my children as well as the competency of my ex-wife as their current custodian. However, since the Judges order on December 10, 1999 for the placement of the Special Child Advocate and communication with my children, we have discovered that she has left the jurisdiction of the State of Colorado. As her Colorado attorney knows her current out of state residence address, we are proceeding with the case. Unfortunately, my ex-wife has refused to co-operate with the ordered weekly calls to date and her attorney has managed to stall the entry of the Special Advocate to the extent that it may be another two weeks before we have so much as a report on the children's condition, let alone weekly communication. There is no doubt at this point that she and her attorney are bordering on an action for contempt of court. My Colorado attorney is researching the location where my ex-wife currently resides (we are not supposed to know the location at this time) in order to provide legal council and ask for a change of venue.

With the latest evidence presented to the El Paso County DA, we have now come to the point where they have expressed a desire to negotiate an out of court settlement, albeit, such a settlement would still require my return to Colorado. As you know, unless or until John Suthers and the related Pension Fund issues and the active Mitsubishi Note investigation are disarmed, I will not agree to return to Colorado under any circumstances. If what you and my Colorado attorneys are advising is true, that I must avoid the Pension Fund and Mitsu Note issues at all costs, then any Pension Fund and Mitsu note defense, as necessitated by my return, will cause the situation for me and my children to be worsened. I would therefore be in a catch 22, damned if I do, and damned if I don't.

As for the Canadian extradition appeal, the hearing is now scheduled for January 27, 2000. I am to be re-incarcerated on January 26, 2000 to await the Appeal Court's decision. With only two weeks to go, we are still very concerned about losing that hearing and thereby I would be extradited back to Colorado. I have attempted to make peace with John Suthers et al in every way I know how, but how do you make peace with people who will not admit they are at war in the first place? My Canadian attorney, Alan Gold has suggested we prepare an appeal to the Canadian Supreme Court, in the event we lose the current appeal hearing. Interesting to think that a case for the charge of "violation of a custody order" would go all the way to the Canadian Supreme Court. It is my prayer that someone in the

03/10/00

Canadian or American Justice Departments will simply ask the question, "Why are you refusing to come back to the US to face and defeat these charges if you are innocent?". I would love to tell them. A better question would be, "Why are the El Paso County District Attorney's office and the US Justice Department pursuing such a case as this so aggressively?".

That's the update for now. Thanks again for following the case. We hope to hear from you very soon with an update on the Treasury Department activity.

Sincerely,

Harmon & Carolyn

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: March 15, 2000 11:35 PM
Subject: Update- (Court Affidavit Faxed)

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly

Copy From e-mail

C/O Catie Forrest

Tel: (202) 434-5506
Fax: (202) 434-5029

Number of Pages (Including cover): e-mail- 2, fax with attachments- 13

Dear Greg,

Congratulations on your decision to represent the Cuban father, Juan Gonzalez for the return of his son, Elian. It is our prayer that you will also see the merit in representing us to the US Justice Department, if need be, to legally support my long awaited reunion with my children. Since we last communicated in January of this year, even with our continued intense legal effort, we have yet to obtain so much as a report on their whereabouts or their wellbeing for in excess of 25 months now.

In keeping with your original request to keep you updated on our progress in the custody / criminal case in Colorado surrounding my two children, Danielle and Isaac Wilfred, the following is the latest information. We have followed your good advice, for now, and stayed away from what we believe to be the real reason surrounding the charges filed against me, namely the John Suthers / Pension Fund embezzlement issue. As a result, we have managed to gain the co-operation of the El Paso County District Attorney's office in a joint pre-investigation of the charges while I remain in Canada on extradition appeal. The extradition appeal hearing has been extended to April 4, 2000 in order to permit the time to complete the examination and continue to assess the opportunity of a dismissal of the charges.

As you know, we have proceeded to prove our case by reopening the custody case and presenting our evidence and testimony in that arena while the DA has monitored the proceedings and received copies of all pleadings and written progress reports. The results of the examination have caught the DA considerably off balance as we have not only proven uncontrovertibly that I am innocent of any wrongdoing, but in fact my actions in the removal of the children from their mother, were taken legally and correctly to protect my children as a direct result of my now ex-wife's ("Dearna's") child abuse. Furthermore, all of my actions were consistent with the advice of council of my then attorney, Mr. Seymour Wheelock. The update affidavit to Judge Hall attached to the fax version of this message entitled "Status Report" was completed and filed in the Court record of the custody proceeding on March 7, 2000. Since the filing of the affidavit Status Report, Bob Harward, the Deputy District Attorney assigned to the case, has submitted the case to the District Attorney, Jeanie Smith, for dismissal. Unfortunately in spite of the clear evidence of my innocence, Jeanie Smith has thus far refused to dismiss the charges.

03/10/00

Bob Harward has since called Dale Parrish, my attorney, and indicated to him "off the record" that he believes the DA's office is refusing the dismissal for political reasons. Mr. Harward stated that the DA's office has made such a "big deal" out of this case, and the two-year international extradition effort through the US and Canadian Justice Departments, that a dismissal would be a huge embarrassment to the DA's office as well as to El Paso County. Mr. Parrish stated to Mr. Harward that he could not understand why no investigation was ever performed to confirm the charges in the first place, and as a result of the actual investigation recently performed by himself and Mr. Harward, regardless of the inevitable "embarrassment", it is his opinion that the DA should immediately drop the charges. Mr. Harward agrees.

In the mean time, my children continue to be victims of a corrupt and inept political system, having been placed back and left in an abusive environment over two years ago by the El Paso County Law Enforcement and Justice System. In spite of the absolute evidence of my innocence before them completed by their direction, the DA is continuing to refuse to admit their "mistake" and rectify the situation because of the consequences of their inevitable "embarrassment"! In spite of our constant written and verbal requests, no one, we repeat... NO ONE... in the Social Service System has so much as checked on my children since they were returned to their mother over 25 months ago.

We now have a hearing set in custody court before Judge Hall on March 21, 2000 to determine the fate of a contempt citation issued against Dearnia for refusing to comply with the Judge's order of December 10, 1999 for weekly phone communication between me and my children as well as making her "out of state" whereabouts known for the placement of a Special Advocate to report on the children's wellbeing. Dearnia's attorney, John Ciccolella, has recently withdrawn from the case and refuses to disclose her whereabouts by telephone or address, and thus far, Judge Hall has let him get away with it. We were told by Mr. Harward that the DA has recently interviewed her by phone and know of her whereabouts but have not disclosed this information to the court or my attorney. You may also be interested in knowing that since the DA has discovered the charges filed against me have no substance, they are back to actively soliciting my company's investors for complaints in the Guatemalan / Mitsubishi note transaction for possible new charges in what I and my attorney's believe is but another attempt to cover their "mistake" and thereby avoid the impending "embarrassment".

It is now clear by the evidence indicated in the attached affidavit Status Report that my children and I are, at the very least, victims of a huge error in judgement on behalf of the El Paso County District Attorney's Office and Justice System, wherein, the current safety and ultimate fate of my children are now in question as a direct result of the DA's "mistake". With the result of the recent investigation revealing clear evidence of child abuse, immediate action should be taken to insure Danielle and Isaac's current safety and wellbeing. In any case, with the safety and well being of two minor children clearly in question, state child protection laws should dictate that there be no further delay. We will be making this argument at the hearing on March 21, 2000.

We will provide the next update as soon as we have the results of the hearing referenced above. Also, would you be so kind as to forward a copy of this update to Hillary Clinton as a follow-up to Carolyn's original letter to her attention dated February 2, 1999. Thank you for continuing to monitor this case for the opportunity of possible US Justice Department intervention.

Sincerely,

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn Wilfred

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: March 26, 2000 5:51 PM
Subject: Urgently Need Your Help!

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly

Urgent !**C/O Catie Forrest****Copy From e-mail**

Tel: (202) 434-5506

Fax: (202) 434-5029

Number of Pages (Including cover): 2

Dear Greg,

I left you an urgent message at your office from Alan Gold's office in Toronto on Friday, March 24, 2000 to contact me. Due to the latest development on my case, I may now require your immediate legal assistance. Alan Gold informed me that because of a recent Canadian Supreme Court ruling, there is now little chance that he can win the extradition appeal hearing on April 4, 2000. There is also no further opportunity for extension or adjournment. He suggested that I immediately obtain an agreement with the El Paso County DA to avoid further incarceration in Canada and a forced extradition. In my discussions with my Colorado attorney, Dale Parrish, it seems that, in spite of our compelling evidence to the contrary, my only realistic alternative to avoid incarceration in Canada, with no guarantees in Colorado of same, is to waive extradition and to return immediately to Colorado under an agreement to plead guilty to a deferred judgement of "violation of a custody order". With the DA's recent renewed solicitation for complaints from my unpaid Arcore investors involving the Mitsubishi note / Guatemalan relief funding, it doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out what may happen the moment I step across the border. My attorneys may have no other choice upon my arrival but to co-operate with their "Mitsubishi investigation" in order to defend against possible further charges by submitting before the Court all of the confidential information and evidence we have surrounding the Guatemalan relief funding. Unfortunately, much of this documentation and evidence makes considerable reference to your client, President Clinton.

We now have clear evidence on hand that John Suthers and the current DA, Jeanne Smith (Suthers' former assistant DA) have fabricated and supported the original charges against me involving my children in order to cover their participation in the El Paso County Pension Fund embezzlement scheme, and in the process have recklessly placed my children back into child abuse for over two years. Without your help in exposing this injustice at the federal level, they may succeed in fraudulently utilising the custody arena to discredit me as the only witness against their illegal activity.

The custody hearing on March 21, 2000 was a joke. My ex-wife, Dearn, did not appear in person or by council and the Judge simply gave her an un-requested extension on the contempt citation to May 11, 2000. This certainly provides the DA more than enough time to force me back to Colorado, fabricate additional charges and thereby, as before, justify ending my bid in custody court for contact with my children and any possible current evaluation of their wellbeing. The custody Court admits that they do not know where Dearn or my children are and thereby have issued an order directed to her "last known address" in Littleton, Colorado, requiring her to "reveal her whereabouts"?! The Court is

03/10/00

also aware that her former attorney, John Ciccolella, knows her out of State telephone number and whereabouts, and yet the Judge has permitted his recent withdrawal from the case without requiring him to reveal this information. Unbelievable! In effect, the El Paso County DA's office and Justice System have now managed to successfully support the charges against me by suppressing the evidence of the original child abuse (the reason I filed for divorce and removed the children in the first place) for over 25 months. As a result, the DA has influenced the Court in order to prevent me from having any contact or report on Danielle's and Isaac's wellbeing for over two years by keeping the attention off my children and on the false charges against me, past, present and now, most probably future.

With the recent events in Colorado at Columbine High School and the Jon Bennett Ramsey murder case, Colorado's record for protecting and defending the rights and interests of children is grim indeed. Colorado Governor Owens' misplaced attempt at publicly showing his concern for children with his harsh criticism of Barbara Walters' interview with the Ramsey parents is a good example. Carolyn and I faxed sworn affidavits of my children's child abuse and a summary on this case to Governor Bill Owens' office in February of 1999 describing the Suthers' interference and urgently requesting the Governor's assistance in investigating the situation and checking on the wellbeing of my children. Bill Owens' solution was to pass the information on to Ken Salazar, the State Attorney General, whose office, unbeknown to us, contacted Jenene Kelley, a licensed Colorado Social Worker and one of the key affidavit witnesses who had also filed a report of child abuse against my ex-wife at the time the children were rescued in October of 1997. Ms. Kelley recently informed us that the State AG inquiry was confined to an interrogation solely on what they referred to as my "kidnapping" of the children, and what my relationship was with Ms. Kelley at the time. Ms. Kelley also told us that she is still outraged that they asked nothing regarding her report of child abuse or her affidavit providing evidence of same. By the way, John Suthers was given his latest official appointment as head of the jail system for the entire State of Colorado by Governor Bill Owens.

With the last known address of my children being "Littleton, Colorado", and the latest evidence of child abuse now confirmed, we are certain the national news media would love to investigate and report on this case. However, for the moment, I am continuing to follow the advice and warning of my Colorado attorneys, Lance Sears and Dale Parrish, and I quote **"If you attack or confront John Suthers or the El Paso County DA's office in any way, especially through the US Federal Prosecutor, you will never see your children again"**. Therefore, I continue to do what I can, as advised, in the custody arena. However, even with the uncontrovertible proof of child abuse provided to the custody court Judge and the DA in the recent affidavit Status Report submitted on March 7, 2000 (copied to you), the DA is stubbornly holding on to the charges, and the DA and the Court are continuing to ignore any responsibility they may now have toward the safety and wellbeing of my children as a direct result of their own "mistaken" actions. As a result, I am now being forced to plea bargain and further place my personal safety and the lives of my children in the hands of the El Paso County Justice System in a case where, not only have I been falsely accused, but I have been legally advised that I would have been considered guilty of participation in child abuse if I had not acted in October of 1997 in the rescue of my children from my ex-wife.

We believe it may be time to retain your services to submit all information regarding my case and related issues to the US Justice Department with a request for an investigation. **Please help us! We look forward to your call ASAP to discuss these most critical issues [519-275-2928]**. Again, we would appreciate a copy of this update forwarded to the attention of Mrs. Hillary Clinton.

Sincerely,

Harmon & Carolyn Wilfred

03/10/00

Harmon & Carolyn

From: "Harmon & Carolyn" <harmony@execulink.com>
To: "Gregory Craig" <gregory.craig@williamsandconnolly.com>
Sent: March 29, 2000 12:24 PM
Subject: Retention of your Services

CONFIDENTIAL FAX TRANSMISSION

Mr. Gregory Craig
Williams & Connolly

Urgent !**C/O Catie Forrest****Copy From e-mail**

Tel: (202) 434-5506

Fax: (202) 434-5029

Number of Pages (Including cover): 1

Dear Greg,

I received a urgent call last evening from Collin Finn, a business associate related to Arcore. Mr. Finn stated that he had just spoken to one of the Arcore investors, Mr. Bud Scott who had called to inform him that he was just visited by two El Paso County District Attorney investigators, Ms. Humphrey and Mr. Patton, accompanied by an FBI investigator, Agent Espinil. He has been approached numerous times in the past by phone and personal visit regarding the Mitsu Investigation by the DA's office and has refused to co-operate. According to Mr. Scott, they relentlessly beat on his door until he could no longer ignore them. When he answered the door, Mr. Scott was told, "We are here to get you your money back". When he expressed no desire in receiving their "assistance" or co-operating with their inquiry, they departed.

It has been a month or so since the DA's office has last solicited Arcore's investors on the Mitsu Investigation. Is it a coincidence that these investigators showed up on Mr. Scott's doorstep yesterday, only a few hours after my attorney obtained an agreement with the Deputy DA, Mr. Bob Harward for my immediate return and release? I am now due to leave Canada for Colorado on April 3, 2000 or I will be re-incarcerated. It is happening exactly the way I said it would in my last communication to you. Now, it seems they are involving the FBI. They are doing so, in spite of the constant unsuccessful attempts on the part of my attorney to co-operate with their Mitsu Investigation openly.

I believe these people are stalking me for an arrest upon my arrival, which would destroy any further opportunity for me to re-establish contact with my children in the next custody hearing scheduled for May 11, 2000. My attorney has made it clear that the only reason I am accepting a deferred judgement guilty plea is to be able to renew my relationship with my children without further delay. They are literally continuing to hide their involvement in the abuse of my children by continuing to use Danielle and Isaac as bait to get me back in their jurisdiction for further charges and incarceration.

We would like to retain your services *immediately* to represent me and my children in requesting a federal investigation, and for any other legal remedy that will stop this nightmare. We will contact Catie this afternoon for a telephone appointment to discuss the terms of your employment.

Sincerely,

Harmon & Carolyn Wilfred

03/10/00