

Mar 12, 2018

**“Coincidence” or Preponderance of Evidence
Wilfred v Clinton/CIA Cartel (US Shadow Government), Canada and New Zealand**

Interview Outline to Jason Goodman

Crowdsource the Truth

After sending you the connection between the CRA’s freezing of Carolyn’s assets and my UNHRC human rights case 1638/2007 application for judicial review that would potentially expose the Clinton/CIA Cartel funding mechanism, I started looking at other decisions made by Canada and New Zealand that offer the same Clinton pattern of so-called circumstantial “coincidence”.

Of course, a confirmed pattern or preponderance of evidence transforms what is ordinarily circumstantial into credible evidence. In order to ferret out these patterns, the question to pose is what has Canada and New Zealand done in collusion with the US that has established a paradigm that is completely out of character to hide their nefarious cooperation with the Clinton/CIA Shadow government?

Reasonable Conclusions from the discovery of consistent patterns of extreme prejudice and the supporting preponderance of evidence:

- 1. All roads in this matrix lead back to the one common element: the Clinton/CIA covert funding cartel (The US Shadow Government) and their money laundering Foundation**
- 2. Canada is in collusion with the US Shadow Government**
- 3. New Zealand is in collusion with the US Shadow Government**
- 4. We believe that even the Canadian justice system has been infected by this unholy alliance**
- 5. Harmon Wilfred can provide a preponderance of evidence that will expose it all**

What is the purpose of this pattern of Canadian and New Zealand collusion with the US?

- 1. To gain favour for trade and other international cooperative efforts**
- 2. To cover for the Clinton’s and their criminal Foundation’s involvement in the Clinton/CIA non-accountable covert funding and laundering of \$Billions**
- 3. To benefit themselves politically and personally as well as offer extended power to the US Shadow government over their allies**
- 4. With Hillary’s loss of the presidential election, Canada and New Zealand must down-play their involvement in the Clinton Foundation and their cruel treatment of Harmon and his family...**
- 5. Most assuredly to cover up the same pattern of multiple human rights abuses against Harmon and his family by all three countries for at least the past 20 years.**

The following in paragraph 1 and 2 is an account of Canada’s collusion with the US under the Clinton administration and beyond. This is followed by paragraph 3 with the account of New Zealand’s collusion with Hillary Clinton and the US Shadow government. You will find the New Zealand account strikingly similar with Canada to the extent that the pattern and preponderance of evidence leading to the Clinton’s Foundation with their CIA partnership is complete.

1. Canadian violations of Harmon and his children's human rights

Let's start with the accepted [UNHRC Case 1638/2007 against Canada](#) and Canada's securing an unfair advantage through use of the CRA to end the financing of the case by freezing Carolyn's assets. [The CRA Enforcement order](#) was issued Feb 13, 2009, just after my [Request for Judicial Review](#) to re-establish the UN case against Canada on January 9, 2009. The following is a bulleted chronology of the UN case to date:

- My UNHRC joint case was originally filed against the US and Canada in 2007
- The joint case was denied because the US does not consider the UNHRC competent
- I removed the US as a defendant and re-filed the case against Canada with the US actions as evidence in collusion with Canada
- The Commission agreed that this conformed to the rules and accepted the case
- When Canada did not respond to the case within the 6-month statutory requirement, I applied for a summary judgement
- Canada then requested a 6-month extension to reply. This was granted
- At the end of the extension, with still no reply from Canada, the US objected to their inclusion in the evidence of the case
- The Commission then acquiesced to the US objection and dismissed the case
- At that point the US and Canada were convinced they were off the hook
- I then objected and filed for a completely justified Judicial Review of the dismissal
- This reopened the case until the judicial review decision could be determined by the Commission
- One month after the Judicial Review reinstated the case, the CRA froze all of Carolyn's assets
- The CRA enforcement order openly referenced information in my web site that included the Clinton's criminal actions and the UN case against Canada
- Being aware that Carolyn was financing the case, with full knowledge, the Canadian government illegally used the CRA to stop the case
- Although still active, the judicial review is yet to be decided; we believe because the US essentially controls the UN Commission

This underhanded move by Canada to stop the UN case by unfairly and nefariously using the CRA was obvious and completely out of character by Canada, which is known for its fair play and positive human rights stance in the international community. What is worse are the 12 violations of the international Covenant on Civil and Political Rights documented, detailed and evidenced in the UN complaint. From PDF page 22 through 27 of the complaint, this reads like offences against a third world country.

Canadian Violations of the international Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

1. Article 6, Right to Life
2. Article 7, Torture ... inhumane treatment... *and now...*[The \\$1 Billion Hellhole, Toronto South](#)
3. Article 9.1 Right to liberty and security
4. Article 9.2-9.5, Unlawful arrest...compensation
5. Article 10.1, Under arrest... must be treated with humanity and dignity
6. Article 12, Residency with liberty of movement
7. Article 13, Deportation only by due process under the law
8. Article 14, Equal justice under the law... presumption of innocence
9. Article 15, Credible criminal offence with credible punishment
10. Article 16, Recognition as a person before the law
11. Article 17, Prohibit attacks on privacy, honour and reputation
12. Article 26, Equal protection before the law

Canada's collusive actions with the US also substantially contributed to the permanent cancellation of my rights as a father to my two minor children, making the US threat by Bill Clinton (then President) and Hillary Clinton's attorney, Gregory Craig complete: "If you take your evidence to the US Justice Department you will never see your children again". This was also outlined in the UNHRC complaint.

This behaviour is completely out of character for Canada. What could possibly motivate Canada to allow these crimes against humanity?

2. The Canadian Government's Alleged Interference of Canada's Justice System

As previously stated, the Canadian government deliberately used its tax agency, the CRA to freeze Carolyn's assets at a time when Canada was being confronted with a serious human rights case filed by me and financed by Carolyn's Canadian estate.

Carolyn's estate includes 27% ownership in the multi-national Dare Foods business as well as an unbeknown at that time, one third beneficial interest in her father's (Carl M Dare) then unreported off-shore Cayman Island Trust.

The following is a bulleted summary of events thereafter that led to Carolyn's minority shareholder oppression case against her two brothers (Bryan and Graham Dare) and the ensuing alleged Canadian Government interference with that case:

- In June 2001, with credible threats to my life and freedom by the CIA and Canada's continued collusive effort in that regard, Carolyn and I were forced to flee Canada
- Although, for Carolyn this was a forced departure by Canada to be with her husband; Canada Revenue Authority then determined a "departure tax" on the value of her entire estate
- To lawfully avoid the departure tax, Carolyn retained the national tax services of BDO Dunwoody and Frazier Milner. They employed a tax strategy that neutralised the departure tax to zero
- In 2003, the CRA challenged this BDO tax strategy that had been utilised throughout Canada by retroactively changing the law. Carolyn's tax was initially reassessed at \$20 million
- The challenge was appealed by a class action suit and ultimately went all the way to the Canadian Supreme Court. The government won the case (of course) in 2010 and Carolyn's departure tax was re-established
- Carolyn immediately began an effort to sell her Dare shares to pay her tax and get on with life
- Being a shareholder with her two brothers she first offered her shares to them, and when they declined, the shareholder agreement gave her the right to sell to a third party
- In 2010 she was informed by her brothers of the existence of her father's unreported Cayman Island trust of which she was a beneficiary with her two brothers
- She was told by her brother Bryan that they would provide her funds from the trust as long as she did not report the trust to the Canadian tax authorities. She refused
- Carolyn encouraged her brothers to report the trust and make it legal. They did not [Anatomy of a Canadian / Cayman Island Tax Dilemma](#)
- When her brothers stopped her dividends in 2010, while surreptitiously paying themselves, Carolyn retained a New Zealand financial group to sell her Dare shares
- When her brothers deliberately obstructed her opportunity to sell her shares to third parties, she sued them for minority shareholder oppression in June 2015 [Canadian Dare Food Heiress Launches Oppression Suit against Brothers](#)

- Along with the oppression suit, and due to her brothers' dishonesty and cruel actions, Carolyn supported her daughter Carla as beneficiary to her late father's estate to sue for the removal of her brothers as trustees of the estate
- The Carl Dare estate suit presented evidence of her brothers' dishonest and criminal action of filing fraudulent tax returns from the non-reporting of the Cayman Island trust and income received since 2000
- Carolyn has also reported the Cayman Trust to the CRA as another possible source for payment of her tax
- It was later reported that Carl Dare, before his passing, spoke with the CRA and made a deal to report and pay the back Cayman Trust tax owed. As a result, Carolyn's brothers were not criminally charged
- Meanwhile, in spite of the Cayman Trust evidence of fraud and criminal behaviour presented in the estate case, the case was dismissed, and her brothers carried on as trustees. In effect, what Carl Dare wants, Carl Dare gets
- Although the removal of her brothers from the estate would have strengthened the oppression case, this was not to be
- Carolyn's oppression case was dismissed in a shocking decision on March 23, 2017. The judge based the decision on contract law instead of equitable law which prejudiced the decision
- Carolyn's appeal which will be heard on March 8, 2018. The ICIJ have since reported [Carl Dare's Cayman trust](#) through Paradise Papers. [Double worries in "Paradise" for the Boys at Dare Foods](#)

Question to the Canadian public: How do you feel about Bryan and Graham Dare as having been involved in felonious tax fraud, only to be absolved by paying off the CRA? If you approve of that, then what about leaving these would-be felons to continue with the sacrosanct public position of trustees over Carl Dare's family estate with the potential to even be trustees on behalf of future public trusts? Did I mention that when the CRA was approached by Carolyn's attorney for the record of the tax deal given the Dare brothers, the CRA had sealed the file, even to the eyes of the court!

It has been clear throughout this ordeal that should Carolyn succeed in selling her shares, she will receive tens of millions of dollars to more than pay her outstanding tax and have the ability to end our forced separation and revive my UNHRC case against Canada to a fair conclusion, as well as seek redress against the US, Canada and New Zealand for the totality of human rights violated. With that in mind and the seeming pattern of "coincidences" involving the Canadian and New Zealand government's consistent effort to keep Carolyn broke and keep us separated, even to the extent of what we believe to be Canadian government influence over Carolyn's court cases by subverting the Justice system into wanton prejudice; all the while exploiting my vulnerability as an exile with no right to work or travel..., what reasonable conclusions can we make?

3. New Zealand's Violations of Harmon and his wife Carolyn's Human Rights

Once Carolyn and I were forced out of Canada to New Zealand in 2001 with threats to life and safety as recorded in the UNHRC case, and my US passport was confiscated by the US consulate upon renewal in 2004; I renounced my US citizenship effective April 1, 2005 to avoid deportation and further threats to my freedom and safety by the US.

- When denied NZ residency in 2006, I applied for political asylum which was denied followed by an appeal denial. This, in spite of the fact that the NZ refugee court judge agreed that I was "under siege" by the US and Canada and even confirmed that my life was indeed under threat. These facts are in the court documents and records of the case interviews.

- On July 3, 2017 a detailed [Legal Notice Letter](#) was forwarded to New Zealand Immigration by my attorney outlining 12 Article violations on pages 4-7 against me and my wife of New Zealand's numerous international human rights treaty obligations of the notice as follows:

New Zealand Violations of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, Articles 5, 9, 12, 15, 23 ...

- Article 5, Torture and inhumane treatment
- Article 9, Arbitrary arrest, detention or exile
- Article 12, Attacks on privacy, family, home correspondence, honour or reputation
- Article 15, Right to nationality
- Article 23, Right to work with fair compensation

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Articles 7, 9, 17

- Article 7, Prohibition of inhumane or degrading punishment
- Article 9, Right to liberty and security
- Article 17, Right to non-interference with privacy, family, honour and reputation

International Convention on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, Article 6

- Article 6, Right to chosen gainful employment

Covenant on Reduction of Statelessness, 1961, Article 8

- Article 8, A nation state must not deprive a stateless person of nationality

Convention relating to the Status of Stateless Persons, 1954, Articles 17, 27

- Article 17, Right to engage in wage-earning employment
- Article 27, Right to be issued with identity papers and a valid travel document

New Zealand's collusive and egregious actions with the US Shadow government has also forcibly separated me from my wife Carolyn (by deliberate deception) since September 5, 2015 (2 ½ years!) with no redress to date. These actions along with the latest correspondence from INZ has made it clear that New Zealand is using my wife to extort me into leaving the country and enter into another nation state unlawfully. I believe the real reason is to deliver me back into the hands of the CIA.

Up to the point of committing these human rights violations, New Zealand has had a stellar human rights reputation. All of these human rights violations committed by New Zealand are completely out of character. [New Zealand's international obligations on human rights mean nothing](#)

For New Zealand Immigration to violate human rights for the purpose of protecting NZ sovereignty, however morally wrong, may be within the exceptions provided by UN Treaties. However, to use these questionable actions for political retribution must disallow the exception and remove any and all veils of protection for the perpetrator(s). [The John Key/Clinton Cartel Political "Kiss of Death"](#)

Our most recent response from the Immigration Minister to our request for intervention with INZ states that he declines to intervene and does not have to give a reason. No mention or response of the comprehensive list of human rights violations outlined in our attorney's notice letter or our forced separation.

Since our last week's Crowdfunder interview on March 5, 2018 we have received a response from the New Zealand Ombudsman's on-going investigation of this government's abuse with a shocking dismissal of any further action. There was no acknowledgement from this so-called government watch-dog that INZ has not responded either to our attorney's human rights violation notice letter, or Carolyn's and my force separation for over 2 1/2 years. Clearly the government and the Ombudsman are daring us to file suit.

The Ombudsman's investigation dismissal added a reminder that I continue to be unlawfully in New Zealand and must keep INZ updated on my effort to leave. Of course they are fully aware that this continues to be impossible without breaking the law as a stateless person both leaving New Zealand and attempting to enter another nation state without travel documents. Evidence of my extensive failed effort to find a new home was provided in Carolyn's [Urgent Plea for Mercy](#) email to NZ Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern dated Nov 26, 2017 that included my Nov 17, 2017 update to the Ombudsman providing a detailed account of my unsuccessful applications to 15 countries.

And should I find an illegal way to leave, once in international territory, I am left vulnerable to the whims of the CIA. I believe this is their purpose as instructed by their US overseers. I refuse to even consider anything illegal or otherwise that will put my life or my freedom in jeopardy.

Not unlike the US and Canada, the New Zealand government, INZ and the Ombudsman continue to refuse to acknowledge any wrong doing or take responsibility for their unlawful actions.

What could possibly motivate New Zealand to allow these unacknowledged crimes against humanity to continue?

The facts presented in this interview outline are only the tip of the iceberg. Does President Trump have the guts to drain the swamp? Who will win the battle between the Clinton/CIA swamp leviathan and Trump's America? Mr. President, please let us help.

Best Regards to all!

Harmon and Carolyn Wilfred

Reference: documentary web site: www.luminadiem.com