From: John Rutherford [jr@pacsat.co.nz] Sent: Friday, April 12, 2002 5:43 PM To: 'Jenny Rutherford' Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred and Sandra Allen child support

Dear Ms Rutherford

I refer to our recent phone conversation and my hope to get the Wilfred/Allen matter back before a judge so as to get the history and present position examined with a view to getting a payment regime that fits the circumstances now that Mr Wilfred is in regular employment for the first time in many years.

During the years he was in Canada he was not permitted to work as he did not have residence there for that purpose. Earlier an order in respect to child support was made at a figure that seemed quite unrelated to his status as a bankrupt with no resources. Presumably the order was made on the assumption that he must have had funds from his former business secreted somewhere, but subsequent events confirm this was not the case.

He is in no position to leave his work and return to the US as he would lose his job and this would not assist his children get child support. He is keen to get a resolution of issues with both his former wives and their children and we really need a global settlement that is fair and affordable. Can we get the matter put before a judge based on an affidavit that he could swear here? If we don't have to employ counsel in the US such would enable more funds to go to the children rather than as has happened in the past, substantial legal costs taking precedence and absorbing his minimal resources rather than having funds applied to the more worthwhile purpose of child support.

Can you give me an indication of the financial status of Ms Allen and your views as to an appropriate payment of child support for a man with three children on a salary of US\$50,000 and taking into account the resources of the other parent? Are you able to look back in the records and advise on what basis the earlier award of child support in thousands of dollars a month was made? What was his proven or assumed income at that time?

Sincerely

7

John Rutherford Rutherford & Company, Barristers & Solicitors, Christchurch, New Zealand Direct Dial: +64 3 3841059 Confidential fax: +64 3 3841243 e-mail: <u>jr@pacamtel.com</u>

EXHIBIT NOTE

This is the annexure marked "TT" referred to in the within affidavit of HARMON LYNN WILFRED and sworn at Christchurch this 24th day of February 2006 before me:

1544. Christina Jane Glubb

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand (Solicitor to sign in part on Exhibit)

Christina Jane Glul Solicitor Christchurch

0761

-----Original Message----From: Jenny Rutherford [SMTP:JRutherford@da18.state.co.us]
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2002 1:58 AM
To: jr@pacsat.co.nz
Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred and Sandra Allen child support

The issues from your latest email are best taken up with the El Paso County child support office as the case you are referring to is with their office and neither Maureen or I have any documentation regarding that case.

The payments Mr. Wilfred is court ordered to pay to Sandra Allen is to be directed to the Colorado Family Support Registry (FSR). This is a payment processing center, not a bank and funds cannot be wired there. A certified check in US funds should be mailed to the FSR at PO Box 2171, Denver, CO,USA 80201-2171. You will need to reference FSR account number 157511 and IVD case number 03 913746 44 6A when forwarding your payment. Sincerely,

Jenny Rutherford Paralegal, 18th Judicial District, District Attorney's Office

From: John Rutherford [jr@pacsat.co.nz] Sent: Friday, April 19, 2002 2:39 PM To: 'Jenny Rutherford' Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred and Sandra Allen child support

Dear Ms Rutherford

Attached is a copy of a letter and cheques sent for child support on account of Mr Wilfred, from our office which should be the point of reference for the future as payments will be coming from Mr Wilfred's employer for the monthly payments.

Could you help me with the name of a person (and hopefully an e-mail and phone number) I could contact in the El Paso child support office to get further details of the file and on the points I enquired about below.

In the event of the monthly payments being made continuously for the next few months is it feasible for Maureen Lief to take the initiaitve and get the child support Order varied to reflect the present income of Mr Wilfred?

Sincerely

John Rutherford Rutherford & Company, Barristers & Solicitors Direct Dial: +64 3 3841059 Confidential fax: +64 3 3841243 From: John Rutherford [jr@pacsat.co.nz] Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2002 11:27 AM To: jherren@ifblaw.com Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred

Dear Mr Herren

Many thanks for the effort you have put in to move this matter along.

Unfortunately I cannot see any prospect of Mr Wilfred being able to find the funds your client seeks within 6 months particularly as I presume Sandra Allen would want a similar amount to what your client offers to settle for.

Frankly I felt I had done very well to extract an advance of \$50,000 from a new employer and I cannot get further commitments at this time. Earlier the FSR had suggested a payment on a good faith basis of \$25,000 for Sandra and monthly payments of \$4-500 as being appropriate to Mr Wilfred's income. On this basis a similar payment to Dearna plus the monthly payment as currently being invoiced by the FSR, is all that can be done in the meantime with available funds.

As earlier advised, even if funds were available, Mr Wilfred is very resistant to making a payment to the Colorado Springs attorney due to conflict of interest issues. I have recommended making an immediate payment of the amount you have paid the consultant and obtained funds for this but other than these items which will be airmailed in the next few days from our Trust Account, I cannot get anything further in the immediate future. I presume Dearna will make payment of your outstanding fees from the \$25,000 and it is over to her whether she makes some payment to the other attorney from that lump sum and the first monthly payment which should reach the FSR in the form of bank cheque within the next week or so.

Mr Wilfred will continue paying the monthly payments as prescribed, to the FSR, and in the event of his getting a lump sum commission payment of any magnitude we could revisit the prospects of a settlement by a larger lump sum at a later date.

It is a shame we are so far apart on the issues but the above is the best that can be done with the available funds in the meantime.

If there is any prospect of the ladies taking a more realistic view of Mr Wilfred's financial position and earning capability no doubt you will let me know and likewise I will be back in touch if there is a change of circumstances at this end.

Sincerely

John Rutherford

-----Original Message----From: Jenny Rutherford [SMTP:JRutherford@da18.state.co.us]
Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2002 9:38 AM
To: jr@pacsat.co.nz
Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred and Sandra Allen child support

Mr. Rutherford thank you for your recent email but I was not able to read the attachment.

I have turned the billing back on and your office should start receiving the monthly invoices. On July 25, 2001, our office sent an action to the Family Responsibility Office of the Ministry of the Attorney General for Ontario, Canada. At the point, that the case was sent to their office to request assistance it was their responsibility to notice Mr. Wilfred and our office was obligated to cease our invoices. In light of the recent payment and willingness of Mr. Wilfred to work directly with our office, I have informed the Ministry's Office that we no longer require their assistance.

If Mr. Wilfred is ready to request modification of his order we will need a written statement signed by Mr. Wilfred requesting so and a reason for the request. Our office will assist however we can to proceed with a review for modification of the order. If a court hearing is called for we will motion the court to allow Mr Wilfred appear by phone but the approval of such request will lie ultimately with the judge that will hear the case. We will not be able to affect that decision.

I have not received a call back from Ms. Allen regarding the payment or her willingness to continue with negotiations. We will need her cooperation to go forward with an arrears settlement but she has stated in the past she would be willing to negotiate.

Sincerely,

Jenny Rutherford

-----Original Message-----From: John Rutherford [mailto:jr@pacsat.co.nz] Sent: Thursday, May 09, 2002 5:15 AM To: 'jherren@ifblaw.com' Subject: FW: Harmon Wilfred

Dear Mr Herren

Here is a copy of the e-mail earlier sent. Also sent to you was a bank cheque for \$965 to repay your payment of disbursements. Please confirm receipt. More recently another 2 months' payments sent. I hope we can move toward a resolution of outstanding issues with the Registry in this matter as is being advanced by the staff at

the 18th Judicial District on the basis that the payments now being made are appropriate as regular child support.

Best regards,

John Rutherford

-----Original Message-----From: Jeff Herren [SMTP:jherren@ifblaw.com] Sent: Sunday, May 12, 2002 6:14 AM To: jr@pacsat.co.nz Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred

Dear Mr. Rutherford,

Thank you for re-sending me your April 18th e-mail. I have forwarded it to my client. I wish to report that Sandy Allen has decided to remain with the Arapahoe County District Attorney's office, Ms. Jeanie Rutherford, to assist her in collection of her past due support and maintenance. She has agreed that I can speak to Ms. Rutherford and that we can coordinate our responses to Mr. Wilfred's offers.

Second, I will acknowledge that Family Support Registry has received on April 30, Check 1515261, in the amount of \$25,753.55. On May 7, Check 1515266, in the amount of \$2,471.10. Both of those amounts have been paid over to my client. While my client accepts these amounts gladly after four years of no support, the amounts are not sufficient to change her position. Mr. Wilfred needs to pay his monthly support amount until he can make his next lump sum payment. At that time we will again look at the offer and respond. I am unclear from the amounts paid for current support. Mr. Wilfred has paid slightly over two months support at \$1,092. per month. Please advise. I look forward to future communications in an effort to settle this matter.

Jeff Herren Inman Flynn & Biesterfeld 1660 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80264 PH- 303-861-5300 FAX-303-861-2726 jherren@ifblaw.com

From: John Rutherford [SMTP:jr@pacsat.co.nz] Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2002 10:29 PM To: 'jherren@ifblaw.com' Subject: Harmon Wilfred

Dear Mr Herren

The monthly child support as invoiced by Family Services is \$735.55 per month and not \$1092.00 per month as you suggest. Payments for each quarter for the accumulated 3 month period are made at the beginning of each respective quarter. Although I cannot confirm the dates the cheques were received by Family Services, I can provide the dates the cheques were sent by courier from our office.

The actual amounts submitted have been as follows:

April 19, 2002 \$25,735.55 (\$25,000 toward Back child support and one months April 02) support of \$735.55)

May 1, 2002\$1,471.10 (This amount was two months child support to
finish out the second quarter through June, 02 and begin the quarterly payment
schedule)June 18, 2002\$2,206.55 (3rd quarter payment for July, August and
September 02)\$5,000.00 (Extra payment to be applied to back child support)

If Dearna Wilfred received \$2,471.02 in the May payment, the Family support services distributed the money incorrectly. \$1,000 of the money sent to Family Services by your office was to be applied to Sandra Allen for support payments for Tyler Wilfred for May and June as per your written instructions that accompanied the cheque. It is unfortunate that we just now find out that this money was inappropriately distributed, however we will correct the situation in the next quarterly payment distribution.

To answer your question regarding a reliable schedule, Mr Wilfred will continue making the quarterly payments of \$2,206.55 of which the next payment is due on October1, 2002.

He will do his best to provide further back child support payments as he is able, however he cannot make any promises as to amounts until at least 30 days before each quarterly payment. I am happy to provide such predicted amounts 30 days ahead of schedule so that Dearna can budget more effectively. The expectation is that Mr Wilfred will continue making payments within the scope of his existing employment and no change is expected.

Sincerely,

John Rutherford Rutherford & Company, Barristers & Solicitors Direct Dial: +64 3 3841059 Confidential fax: +64 3 3841243 e-mail: jr@pacamtel.com www.pacamtel.com

-----Original Message-----From: John Rutherford [mailto:jr@pacsat.co.nz] Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2002 4:52 AM To: 'jherren@ifblaw.com' Subject: Harmon Wilfred child support Importance: High

Dear Mr. Herren,

This e-mail is to notify your office that I have forwarded Mr. Wilfred's latest quarterly payment for child support to Dearna Wilfred via the Family Support Registry on behalf of his two children, Danielle and Isaac, for the period of October through December, 2002. Also included is an additional arrearage payment of \$3,000.

As per your e-mail of August 20, 2002 and my subsequent reply on August 21, 2002, it is the desire of Mr. Wilfred to comply with Dearna Wilfred's request for an opportunity to budget on future child support payments that include payments for arrearage. It is Mr. Wilfred's intent to provide an additional \$1,000 per month toward child support arrearage each quarter. He will endeavor to send more as the resources become available; however, Mr. Wilfred is agreeing to make a minimum arrearage payment of \$3,000 per quarter for the foreseeable future.

Sincerely,

John Rutherford Rutherford & Company, Barristers & Solicitors Direct Dial: +64 3 3841059 Confidential fax: +64 3 3841243 e-mail: jr@pacamtel.com www.pacamtel.com

-----Original Message-----From: John Rutherford [mailto:jr@pacsat.co.nz] Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2002 12:44 AM To: 'jherren@ifblaw.com' Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred Child Support

Dear Mr. Herren,

You are correct in your information that there were two quarterly cheques provided to the Family Support Registry. The cheque for \$5,206.55 was designated on its face under the FSR #00157501, which is the support designation number for Dearna Wilfred. The second cheque in the amount of \$4,500 was designated on its face under the FSR # 02098614, which is the support designation number for Sandra Allen (Wilfred). The FSR was also provided with written instructions enclosed from my office and copied to the Arapahoe County DA's office C/O Jenny Rutherford, 18th Judicial District, Family Support Division as to the distribution of the funds as per my clients payment arrangement for Sandra's Allen's benefit, therefore I find it highly unlikely that the Family Support Registry provided both of these cheques to Dearna Wilfred.

As for the confused amounts, never has the FSR monthly support invoice given the amount of \$1092.00 per month since our payments have commenced in April, 2002. It is possible, however that the initial \$25,000 was interpreted by the FSR as payment forward. In any case, it would now appear that the \$735.55 that has been posted on the invoices may be a calculated amount for an arrears payment only, of which we have exceeded this amount monthly (including the monthly child support obligation) since we have commenced payments. This is indeed confusing! In any case, with the latest amount of \$5206.55 Mr. Wilfred's payment is still in excess of the monthly amount of \$1,092.00 per month with an arrears payment to spare.

After some considerable discussion with Mr. Wilfred, and as the amount now being provided is in excess of the monthly child support, even as you have designated, Mr. Wilfred has now agreed to stop focusing on a break down of the amounts and simply provide a new and increased gross monthly amount of \$2,000 (quarterly amount of \$6,000) starting with the next quarterly payment, which includes the required monthly child support of \$1092.00 plus excess. The FSR can then disburse the money and apply the new amount however they wish. In any case, I am pleased to provide this additional improvement in payment as of January 1, 2003 as yet another show of good faith on the part of my client to provide for his children. As I have previously stated, Mr. Wilfred's commitment is to always do better as his financial circumstances improve.

Regards,

John Rutherford

-----Original Message-----From: Jeff Herren [SMTP:jherren@ifblaw.com] Sent: Wednesday, November 06, 2002 12:42 PM To: jr@pacsat.co.nz Subject: Harmon Wilfred Child Support

Dear Mr. Rutherford,

Thank you for your e-mail of November 1st. I have confirmed with the Family Support registry that in fact two checks where paid recently to Ms Wilfred's account. Those amounts are \$5206.65 paid out on 10/9/02 and \$4500 paid out on 10/10/02. Thank you very much for supplying me with the information. The last time my staff checked the Family Suport Registry was October 9th and that first check must not have been posted at the time that she called.

Jeff Herren Inman Flynn & Biesterfeld 1660 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80264 PH- 303-861-5300 FAX-303-861-2726 jherren@ifblaw.com -----Original Message-----From: Jeff Herren [SMTP:jherren@ifblaw.com] Sent: Wednesday, November 13, 2002 7:35 AM To: jr@pacsat.co.nz Subject: RE: Harmon Wilfred Child Support

Dear Mr. Rutherford,

Thank you for your response. I am going to check into this situation a little more before I comment on the payments just received by my client. Thank you for your impute in that regard. Ms Wilfred appreciates the increased commitment of Mr. Wilfred in raising his quarterly payment to \$6,000 US. I assume that he will continue to make a second payment each quarter to pay down the arrearages. I am tracking the payments that he has made on my schedule and after he has paid for four quarters, will send you a print out of the status of his arrearages.

Jeff Herren Inman Flynn & Biesterfeld 1660 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80264 PH- 303-861-5300 FAX-303-861-2726 jherren@ifblaw.com

-----Original Message----- **From:** John Rutherford [mailto:jr@pacsat.co.nz] **Sent:** Friday, April 04, 2003 8:02 PM **To:** JRutherford@da18.state.co.us **Subject:** Harmon Wilfred Family Support payments

Dear Ms. Rutherford,

Attached is a copy of my second quarter, 2003 letter of instruction to the Family Support Registry on behalf of my client, Harmon Wilfred to accompany the disbursement of his two separate child support payments. In light of the previous FSR mistake in the allocation of past funds submitted in September, 2003, I have also included a scanned copy of the bank cheques with the FSR numbers written on the face, as has been my usual practice.

Also attached is a reconciliation of Mr. Wilfred's payments to his children from April, 2002 through June, 2003. I suggest an audit by the FSR of the two support accounts for this period as it seems some payments have been misapplied. I recall in one of several e-mail messages from Dearna Wilfred's attorney, Jeffrey Herren, he indicated that Dearna Wilfred may have received both amounts provided to the FSR not only in the September, 2002 payments as recently discovered but also from the May 4, 2002 payments of \$1,000 allocated to Sandra Allen for Tyler and \$1,471.00 to Dearna Wilfred for Danielle and Isaac. My written instructions accompanying all payments have been quite clear, and if they have been disregarded and payments misplaced, I

would appreciate a record of how payments were in fact applied so that any adjustments required can be made as it is unlikely we will recover any misapplied payments from the recipients.

In my last e-mail exchange with Mr. Herren, he offered to provide a record of payment for Dearna Wilfred at the end of 12 months which is essentially the end of March, 2003. As we have now exceeded this period with the current payments, may I suggest that you make contact with Jeffrey Herren directly and include his information in order to reconcile both accounts with the FSR? Mr. Herren is a Denver attorney with Inman Flynn & Biesterfeld and can be contacted at (303) 861-5300 or by e-mail at jherren@ifblaw.com .

With all parties communicating at this time, and a record of steady and worthwhile payments by Mr. Wilfred over the period of a year, I would like to follow up your earlier suggestion of a phone conference with a judge or other move toward resolving what appears to me to have been a historical situation where orders have been made that did not take account of the fact that Mr. Wilfred was a bankrupt without the capability of complying with them or the resources to seek re-hearings. Now that the circumstances have changed and there has been a demonstration of good faith with steady payments appropriate to his income, this would be a good time to cancel any arrears under old and now inappropriate orders and achieve an agreement for a new approach to the Court and the making of orders appropriate to current circumstances. Such a review would remove Mr. Wilfred from FSR's delinquent list, and with no further monitoring required from your end we will insure continuation of payments in accordance with an Order made with knowledge of the present circumstances. Your advice on the best method of getting finality on these outstanding issues would be very much appreciated.

Yours sincerely, **RUTHERFORD & COMPANY**, Law Offices

John Rutherford

Direct Dial: +64 3 3841059 Confidential fax: +64 3 3841243 e-mail: jr@pacamtel.com

-----Original Message-----From: Jenny Rutherford [mailto:JRutherford@da18.state.co.us] Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2003 3:05 AM To: jr@pacsat.co.nz Subject: Harmon Wilfred

We have been receiving regular quarterly payments from Mr. Wilfred and I have contacted Ms. Allen to see if she would be willing to make a settlement offer for your review. I am awaiting her response.

Mr. Harmon can at any time request a modification review of his child support and maintenance order. To start the review process we will need a written request signed by Mr. Wilfred.

I will contact you as soon as I receive any information from Ms. Allen. Thank you for your continued cooperation with our office.

Sincerely,

Jenny Rutherford Interstate Paralegal

-----Original Message----- **From:** Meghann Pence [mailto:MPence@Policy-Studies.com] **Sent:** Wednesday, April 21, 2004 6:22 AM **To:** 'jr@pacsat.co.nz' **Subject:** Child Support Services RE: Harmon Wilfred

RE: Case Number 21-186339 00 4 A Order Number 08041-1-97DR-003393 Non Custodial Party: Harmon Wilfred

Mr. Rutherford:

I need to get in touch with Mr. Harmon Wilfred in regards to the above mentioned child support case, and this is the only address I have to contact him. I understand you represent Mr. Wilfred as his attorney, but without an entry of appearance from you I am not allowed to discuss the details of the case with anyone but Mr. Wilfred. I am not sure how international legal laws work in regards to filing an entry of appearance, or if it's even possible, but it's important that I get in touch with Mr. Wilfred in regards to his case.

Our office is required to collect both child support and spousal maintenance when it is ordered. We have received the payments your office has forwarded to us but they do not coincide with the total order. I need to find out if Mr. Wilfred has been making any payments directly to Ms. Wilfred, and if so, to obtain proof of these payments so that we may credit his ledger.

As you and he are both in New Zealand, our most effective method of communication may be email. My email address is <u>Mpence@policy-studies.com</u>. I would request that either you or he email me at your very earliest convenience. Thank you very much for your time!

Meghann Pence

Enforcement Case Manager

PSI 30 E. Pikes Peak Ste 203 Colorado Springs CO 80903

719.457.6330 x 119 Direct 719.457.6340 Fax mpence@policy-studies.com http://www.policy-studies.com Confidentiality Notice: This electronic communication and any attachments may contain confidential and proprietary information of Policy Studies Inc. (PSI). If you are not the intended recipient, or an agent or employee responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, or if you have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, retransmit, disseminate or otherwise use the information. Please indicate to the sender that you have received this communication in error, and delete the copy you received. PSI reserves the right to monitor any electronic communication sent or received by its employees, agents or representatives. Thank you.

----Original Message----From: John Rutherford [mailto:jr@pacsat.co.nz]
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2004 10:21 AM
To: Meghann Pence
Subject: RE: Child Support Services RE: Harmon Wilfred

Dear Ms. Pence,

In answer to your inquiry, I do represent Mr. Wilfred and am familiar with the case. I have communicated with Dearna Wilfred's Denver attorney, Jeffrey Herren relative to payments to his children, Danielle and Isaac, appropriate to his present income. Mr. Wilfred is also making payments through the FSR to his first wife Sandra Allen for his son Tyler as agreed with the Arapahoe County Child Services through Ms. Jenny Rutherford Paralegal, 18th Judicial District.

In my communications with Mr. Herren and Ms. Rutherford I have made it clear that Mr. Wilfred's income has never been appropriate to the current orders which were made in his absence when he was in bankruptcy and/or out of work, so all the circumstances were not before the Court. Since I have assisted him in obtaining gainful employment in early 2002, based upon my direction, he borrowed the money to make a significant good faith payment and then commenced paying the amounts as per discussions with Mr. Herren and Ms. Rutherford according to his current \$50,000 USD per year income. The circumstances have changed for him and no doubt the same applies to his former wives. We now need to review the situation based on present relevant facts as to the financial situation of the parties.

Upon his employment, Mr. Wilfred borrowed \$50,000 USD in April of 2002 and then paid each former spouse \$25,000 USD toward the support of his children. He then carried on making 4 quarterly payments totaling \$37,619.65 for a total amount of \$87,619.65 in the first year ending in March 2003. For the one year period of April 2003 to the end of March 2004 his quarterly support payments have increased to \$6,000 to Dearna Wilfred (two children) and \$4,500 to Sandra Allen (one child) for a total annual amount of \$42,000 compared to the first year of \$37,619.65. It is Mr. Wilfred's intention to continue increasing the amounts as his income improves, however there is no way he can pay more at this time. As Mr. Wilfred is now remarried and as his new wife's income supplements his income, he has been able to devote a higher proportion of his income to child support than a Court would award in the present circumstances.

In the meantime, Mr. Wilfred has been allowed no access, communication or any information whatsoever regarding his children over the past 6 years. With such an extended period, I would ask that you check Dearna Wilfred's current situation with respect to her marital status as well as the status of the children in order to confirm her continued entitlement. Mr. Wilfred believes it possible that Dearna Wilfred may have re-married during this period and in any case, the children may not actually be residing with her.

With Mr. Wilfred's increased second year payment schedule successfully completed, now that he has shown good faith in making regular and significant family support payments since March 2002, it is his plan to approach the Court for an alteration in the amounts required in the original orders.

With Mr. Wilfred living and working on the other side of the world, Ms. Rutherford has suggested the possibility of arranging a phone conference hearing with a Judge in Arapahoe County to adjust the support payments to a more realistic amount and to assist in working out a final reconciliation with Sandra Allen. If you could also be available to assist in working out a similar arrangement with the El Paso County Court as well as a final reconciliation with Dearna Wilfred, this would be helpful to conclude all family issues at once. Certainly, as the payments for both cases are being made to the FSR in Arapahoe County, the best idea would be to place all of this under the Arapahoe County jurisdiction for a composite settlement relative to both former wives and children, taking all circumstances into account, and save everyone a lot of time and expense. You may wish to discuss this with Jenny Rutherford and if this approach is possible, have her get back with me by e-mail on how to proceed. Ms. Rutherford can be reached by e-mail at <u>JRutherford@da18.state.co.us</u>

Sincerely,

John Rutherford Rutherford & Company Law Offices

From: Meghann Pence [mailto:MPence@Policy-Studies.com]
Sent: Monday, May 3, 2004
To: 'jr@pacsat.co.nz'
Subject: Child Support Services RE: Harmon Wilfred

Thank you for your response! I have reviewed all of this information with my supervisor.

Mr. Wilfred has the right to request a modification of his court order, based on the fact that his financial circumstances have changed since it was first entered. We are able to process modification requests through our office, run the state guidelines here, and if a court hearing is necessary, set one to have the modification issues addressed by the courts. If Mr. Wilfred would like to request a modification through us (rather than going directly through the courts himself) he may do so by sending the request in writing to:

Child Support Services of Colorado Attn: Meghann Pence 30 E Pikes Peak, Ste 203 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 USA

Once I have received his request, I will mail out a financial affidavit to both Mr. Wilfred and Ms. Dearna Wilfred. Mr. Wilfred would typically have 20 days to fill the affidavit out and return it to us; since mailing time may be a factor I will ask if we may have an extension in this particular case to give him enough time to return the affidavit to us. I will need a mailing address to send the affidavit to.

When we receive the affidavits back, we will use the information to re-run the Colorado state guidelines to see if the child support amount should change. If a change is indicated we will send a stipulation with the proposed amount to both parties. If they agree to the amount they

may sign the stipulation and return it; if either party disagrees they may send a challenge letter and we will set a court hearing.

If Mr. Wilfred prefers to attempt the modification through the courts on his own he is welcome to do so. We just request that copies of any legal paperwork that is filed in regards to his case be sent to us so that we can add the information to his file and keep track of it.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can do to help! Thank you again for the information.

Meghann Pence Enforcement Case Manager Child Support Services of Colorado