

From: "Harmon & Carolyn Wilfred" <culla@zip.co.nz> | [Block Address](#) | [Add to Address Book](#)

To: culla52@yahoo.com

Subject: Norbert Schlei

Date: Tue, 12 Feb 2002 07:33:57 +1300

----- Original Message -----

From: John Rutherford <jr@pacsat.co.nz>
To: <culla@zip.co.nz>
Sent: Monday, February 11, 2002 8:19 PM
Subject: FW: Harmon Wilfred

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Rutherford-IHUG [SMTP:rutherford@pacsat.co.nz]
> Sent: Monday, February 11, 2002 12:53 PM
> To: John G Rutherford
> Subject: Fw: Harmon Wilfred

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: Norbert Schlei <nas@usinter.net>
> To: <Rutherford@pacsat.co.nz>
> Sent: Sunday, 10 February 2002 12:54
> Subject: Harmon Wilfred

>

>

> > Dear John:

> >

> > Thank you for the materials you sent me on the 6th.

> >

> > I feel quite apologetic about giving you a negative response, because I
> > feel there is a professional obligation to provide representation to
> > people who have been treated as Harmon has been treated. However,
> > partly for reasons of suitability and partly because I am so severely
> > overcommitted already, I feel that I cannot be your man, certainly as to
> > the mess in Colorado.

> >

> > It seems to me that the way for Harmon to proceed is to bring an action
> > against Suther, the judge and others for depriving him of his
> > constitutional rights. That action could only be brought in Colorado
> > because that is where the defendants live. There are obvious
> > statute-of-limitations problems, but these could perhaps be solved by
> > alleging an ongoing conspiracy extending into the statutory period,
> > whatever that is (it could be as little as one year). Such an action
> > could be brought only by a Colorado lawyer, although an out-of-state

> > lawyer normally would be allowed to become involved as co-counsel. The
> > action probably should be brought in a U.S. District Court.

> >

> > I am, of course, not a Colorado lawyer, and my other professional
> > obligations are such that I simply could not become involved in any
> > major way in a lawsuit in Colorado. I have some pleadings and memoranda
> > of law that might be of some use to whoever brings the action, and I
> > could possibly consult as to particular motions, issues, etc., but I
> > could not take responsibility for the action.

> >

> > There is a lawyer here in the Los Angeles area named Stephen Yagman, who
> > has been involved in a number of highly publicized civil-rights cases
> > involving police brutality and other official abuses. I do not know
> > him, and he certainly is persona non grata with members of the
> > establishment -- probably because he sues them! He clearly has some
> > ability and he seems to be totally fearless, taking an obvious delight
> > in tweaking the nose of officialdom. In a rather odd twist, he was
> > hired as a special prosecutor by the authorities in Idaho who prosecuted
> > an FBI sniper who shot a woman and child in the so-called Ruby Ridge
> > incident. He was once sentenced to jail by an angry federal judge here
> > because he refused to back down on something, but the judge was reversed
> > an appeal.

> >

> > I am not at all certain you would decide to hire Yagman, but if I were
> > you I would consider doing so. He would not be cowed by the defendants'
> > status and past behavior, and since he took the case in Idaho he would
> > probably not be bothered by the need to travel to Colorado. He has
> > brought many cases that are at least analogous to Harmon's. I am quite
> > certain he could and would stick pins into the defendants that would
> > have them squealing.

> >

> > According to my Parker's Directory, Yagman's firm is Yagman & Yagman &
> > Reichmann, 723 Ocean Front Walk, Venice, California 90291-3270, and his
> > telephone number is (310) 452-3200. I will call him and sound him out
> > if you wish, but you may prefer to do that yourself.

> >

> > I am assuming, of course, that you will be able to deal with the custody
> > and child-support issues.

> >

> > * * *

> > * * *

> >

> > I don't know enough yet to know whether or not I can help you with the
> > Harmon's Eastern problems involving Guatemala and Japanese bank
> > instruments. What does Harmon want to accomplish?

> >

> > I suspect that what's involved here is Japan's secret fund, accumulated
> > before and during World War II from occupied countries. The United
> > States has never admitted it exists for the very good reason that it
> > profited greatly from the fund, which should have been but was not
> > returned at war's end to the people from whom it was stolen, and has
> > used the money for a great many purposes that will not stand the light
> > of day -- buying elections, financing scumbag dictators, spies, etc.

> > There are secret agreements under which Japan is required to get U.S.
> > consent in order to use the fund, and the U.S. routinely demands a hefty
> > cut.

> >
> > What anyone who could get any sort of answer out of officialdom about
> > Harmon's Japanese instruments would be told is that the funds
> > represented by the instruments were not susceptible of private
> > ownership; that they were subject to inter-governmental agreements
> > governing how they could be used, and in this case that is how they were
> > used. Exactly what happened to the money, it would be said, is
> > classified information. It would be denied that any private person got
> > any benefit from these funds, and that could even be true.
> >
> > I am not at all sure that anyone can help Harmon in this area, and if so
> > I am not at all sure that I am the right man to do it or at least to be
> > the front man. Please tell me more about what happened and what Harmon
> > wants to accomplish, and I will then do my best either to help or to
> > make suggestions as to whom you might get to help.
> >
> > I'm sorry this is not more helpful. Best wishes to you and to Harmon
> > and his wife.
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> > Norb Schlei
> >
> >