

May 1, 2018

“Coincidence” or Preponderance of Evidence - Part 4

Wilfred v Dare Foods of Canada et al, Clintons’ “Bully Boys”

Interview Outline to Jason Goodman

Crowdsource the Truth

Part three, Episode 10 of this interview series outline focuses on the shocking dismissal of Carolyn’s oppression suit Appeal decision released by the Canadian Appeal Court on April 23, 2018. However, now we are more confident than ever that we will defeat the Dare “Bully Boys”!

As a backdrop to today’s update and interview, I would like to first present some facts and statistics regarding the current distribution of global wealth with a Dare/Rothschild “co-dependent” parallel:

- More than half of the World’s population (3.6 billion) live below the poverty line of \$2.50 per day.
- A new Oxfam report finds income inequality is benefiting as few as eight billionaires who already have “biblical” fortunes. The top 1% has as much as 50% of the world’s wealth.
- A recent report by [a CBS News Report](#) highlights the dramatic rise in income inequality by that the combined wealth of the world’s top 8 individual billionaires is greater than half of the world’s population.
- [The Rothschild family wealth](#) is reported as being five times that of the world’s top eight billionaires combined.
- The Rothschild’s have managed to keep themselves out of these statistics with their fabled trillion-dollar net worth. Perhaps because the World Bank statistics are controlled by the Rothschild’s?

The human global family is being torn apart by this rapidly growing wealth inequality. The proverbial “rich are getting richer while the poor get poorer”. “It calls for a fundamental change in the way we manage our economies so that they work for all people and not just a fortunate few.” [2017 Oxfam report](#)

Some would say that the exclusively rich have lulled the world population into a kind “of economic cult” or a destructive co-dependent relationship to maintain their power and control. The basic characteristics of a financial cult-like pattern include:

- Authoritarian leadership: The guy with the gold makes the rules
- Exclusivism: Requiring social and economic mores and boundaries
- Opposition to independent thinking: Group-think is required. You are deemed independently stupid
- Fear of disapproval and threats of isolation or actual punishment: like, dislike (social media?)

These financial cult standards are easily applied from the economics of a single wealthy family member (Dare and Carolyn) to the entire global family financial system. The evidence of the existence today of this financial cultish control is overwhelming just in the statistics alone.

What is the answer to this global dilemma? It's clear the global family must unite and stop enabling this destructive co-dependent financial inequality. In truth, the rich are actually more dependent on the global population than we are on them, as long as "We the people" are willing to *unite* and take peaceful but effective action.

What is the connection between the Clintons and Dare Foods of Canada? Follow the money!

1. I blew the whistle on the Clinton/CIA cartel in 1999. In order to avoid the cartel being exposed, the Clinton controlled US Justice Department had Canada arrest me for extradition in order to discredit me and stop any further exposure of the cartel. In collusion with the USJD, the Canadian Government violated my UN human rights.
2. In order to obtain approval for my extradition, the Canadian justice system allowed the USJD to manipulate the extradition case with lies and false evidence adding to their political crimes.
3. Once released from Canadian prison, I discovered that the Canadian authorities were once again cooperating with the Clinton USDJ to have me arrested again. In 2001, we were forced to flee Canada for fear of life and safety.
4. Upon leaving Canada and our arrival in New Zealand, Carolyn was ultimately served with a Departure Tax owing of 50% of the entire value of her estate of which she had not received any funds, and so far, cannot sell.
5. While living in New Zealand, I filed a UN human rights petition against Canada that included the collusion of the US that was accepted by the UN Human Rights Council in 2007.
6. Upon Canada's attempt to have the UN case dismissed in 2009, I filed for a judicial review that resurrected the case.
7. One month after my UN case was resurrected; the Canadian government used its tax agency, the Canadian Revenue Authority, to freeze all of Carolyn's assets so she could no longer fund the UN case. This was an obvious political dirty trick.
8. Starting in 2010, Carolyn decided to sell her shares in Dare Foods to pay off her tax. Her brothers and father deliberately obstructed the sale of her shares from 2010 to 2015 and paid her no dividends. In her father's words, "not one more penny" until you divorce Harmon and return to Canada under family control.
9. Carolyn then filed suit against her brothers for minority shareholder oppression in 2014.
10. By then it was clear that should she win her oppression case under appeal, which was totally justified in spite of the government influenced dismissal to date; she would receive tens of millions of dollars to easily pay her tax, continue with my UN Human Rights case against Canada and completely expose the Clinton/CIA crime syndicate. This as well as suing New Zealand for multiple human rights violations as outlined in the [March 12, 2018 Interview Outline](#).
- 11. Neither the Clinton/CIA/Rothschild cartel nor the Canadian Government can ever allow Carolyn to win her oppression case or receive funds for her shares for fear of being completely exposed.**
- 12. As to Carolyn's brothers and Dare Foods, whether they are deliberately or unwittingly involved in this conspiracy, they still stand to win by forcing Carolyn out of our marriage and maintaining complete control over her and the entire family fortune. Being bullies also comes naturally for the Dare Bully Boys in this family financial cult.**

The Canadian Government's Alleged Interference of Canada's Justice System

The following link is a recap of the summary of events presented in the March 12, 2018 [Interview Outline, Episode 3](#) that led to Carolyn's minority shareholder oppression case and the current dismissed appeal against her two brothers (Bryan and Graham Dare); and now more than ever, the alleged Canadian Government interference with that case.

As previously stated, the Canadian government deliberately used its tax agency, the CRA to freeze Carolyn's assets at a time when Canada was being confronted with my accepted human rights case financed by Carolyn's Canadian estate. Carolyn's estate not only included 27.7% ownership in the multi-national Dare Group of Companies through the family holding company, but unbeknown to her at that time, she has a one third beneficial interest in her father's (Carl M Dare) then unreported offshore Cayman Island Trust. The following is a bulleted summary of events thereafter that led to Carolyn's 2014 minority shareholder oppression case against her two brothers and the ensuing alleged Canadian Government interference with that case:

- In June 2001, with credible threats to my life and freedom by the CIA and Canada's continued collusive effort in that regard, Carolyn and I were forced to flee Canada.
- Although, for Carolyn this was a forced departure by Canada to be with her husband; Canada Revenue Authority then determined a "departure tax" on the value of her entire estate.
- To lawfully avoid the departure tax, Carolyn retained the national tax services of BDO Dunwoody and Frazier Milner. They employed a tax strategy that neutralised the departure tax to zero.
- In 2003, the CRA challenged this BDO tax strategy that had been utilised throughout Canada by retroactively changing the law. Carolyn's tax was initially reassessed at \$20 million.
- The challenge was appealed by a class action suit and ultimately went all the way to the Canadian Supreme Court. The government won the case (of course) in 2010 and Carolyn's departure tax was re-established.
- Carolyn immediately began an effort to sell her Dare shares to pay her tax and get on with life.
- Being a shareholder with her two brothers, she first offered her shares to them, and when they declined, the shareholder agreement gave her the right to sell to a third party.
- In 2010, she was informed by her brothers of the existence of her father's unreported Cayman Island Trust of which she was a beneficiary with her two brothers.
- She was told by her brother Bryan that they would provide her funds from the trust as long as she did not report the trust to the Canadian tax authorities. She refused.
- Carolyn encouraged her brothers to report the trust and make it legal. They did not.
- [Anatomy of a Canadian / Cayman Island Tax Dilemma](#)
- When her brothers stopped her dividends in 2010, while surreptitiously paying themselves, Carolyn retained a New Zealand financial group to sell her Dare shares.
- When her brothers deliberately obstructed her opportunity to sell her shares to third parties, she sued them for minority shareholder oppression in June 2015.
- [Canadian Dare Food Heiress Launches Oppression Suit against Brothers](#)
- Along with the oppression suit, and due to her brothers' dishonesty and cruel actions, Carolyn supported her daughter Carla as beneficiary to her late father's estate to sue for the removal of her brothers as trustees of the estate.

- The Carl Dare estate suit presented evidence of her brothers dishonest and criminal action of filing fraudulent tax returns from the non-reporting of the Cayman Island Trust and income received since 2000.
- Carolyn reported the Cayman Trust to the CRA as another possible source for payment of her tax.
- It was later reported that Carl Dare, before his passing, spoke with the CRA and made a deal to report and pay the back Cayman Trust tax owed. As a result, Carolyn's brothers were not criminally charged.
- Meanwhile, in spite of the Cayman Trust evidence of fraud and criminal behaviour presented in her daughter Carla's estate case, the case was dismissed, and her brothers carried on as trustees. In effect, what Carl Dare wants, Carl Dare gets.
- The International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, through the Paradise Papers, have since exposed [Carl Dare's Cayman Trust. Double worries in "Paradise" for the Boys at Dare Foods](#)
- Although the removal of her brothers from the estate would have strengthened the oppression case, this was not to be.
- Carolyn's oppression case was dismissed in a shocking decision on March 23, 2017. The judge based the decision on contract law instead equitable law which prejudiced the decision.
- Carolyn's appeal was heard on March 8, 2018. Again, case dismissed out of hand!

Carolyn's Personal Narrative:

In my life experience, the Dare family is an example of a very small rich cult, not so different to the Rothschild family, but of course on a lower financial scale.

Sexual prejudice and oppression:

From the time I was adopted into the traditional German Dare family, there was sexual discrimination and an underlying narrative that I, being a girl, wasn't very smart. From the time of my parent's marriage my mother was not allowed to work outside of the family even though she was a trained dental assistant and expressed interest in keeping her job.

When I was in my teens, I was refused work at Dare Foods, even just answering the phone.

- I later suggested they start a day-care facility in the company where I could work as I'm an Early Childhood Education graduate. The answer was NO!
- While living in New Zealand, I suggested that Dare occupy a warehouse or build a plant in NZ to utilize the free trade agreement between China and NZ. The answer was NO!
- I suggested they use our newly created global IT Company, ITtelenet to save on national and international phone and internet costs. The answer was NO!
- I suggested that they make a nutritionally complete cookie to feed the hungry...got a condescending chuckle and a NO!

The answer to everything I proposed was NO! Recently, during the oppression trial my brother Bryan said under oath that I didn't have the aptitude to work in the family business...so basically, they are saying that I am simple minded and totally incapable of learning or fitting in anywhere. Obviously, as a female, I was never encouraged to participate in the business at any time, at any level!

When I became involved with Harmon and he was arrested and then released from jail, the men in my family, especially my brother Graham (and his wife Sandy), decided that we were not socially acceptable and should be shunned. My mother, who was my best and only advocate, was very upset and crying, but because she was not well, could not stand up to the men in my family any longer.

Harmon and I were told that we would no longer be invited to any family gatherings other than my parent's birthdays which couldn't be avoided. To keep the peace, and to not cause my ill mother any more stress, we accepted this fate. This included the bribery from my father and brothers that if I would divorce Harmon and return to Canada, they would buy me a nice house, give me a small salary, and then I could find myself a nice young man. I was in my 50's at the time! Of course, I refused!

My brothers did not support my daughter Carla who graduated in business management from college, when she worked hard for five years at Dare Foods in the mail room, finance and marketing. She was told by the former president that if she thought she was going to get anywhere in the company, she was sadly mistaken. Graham didn't care, Bryan was weak-kneed, and the former president was a bully who kept moving her laterally instead of giving her opportunities to grow. They basically drove her out of the family business.

Her grand-father (my father) years later wanted to have the family spend more time together and become "more of a family". Carla told her grand-father how she was being treated, specifically by her uncle Graham and Aunt Sandy. He was very upset and went and talked to them. After his discussion, he told her that because she supported Harmon and me, she "was tainted for life!"

Carla used to hang out with her cousin (one of Graham's daughters), but it had to be in secret because of the prejudice between our families. When Graham and his wife Sandy found out about their friendship, they told their daughter that she could no longer be involved with my daughter (or suffer the consequences). End of friendship! It would appear that anyone who supports Harmon and me, suffers horrible retribution... just exactly what happens in cults!

In the final analysis, the family business was only for my father and my brothers to control the family using manipulation through money and corporate structures. If you disagree and disobey, you are shunned and completely cut off financially.

My father taught my brothers that ethics, morality, and even love can be purchased. Corporate law also means nothing, and the courts clearly agree. What Carl Dare wants, Carl Dare gets... and now his sons have inherited these affluent privileges. The rich and powerful own and control the governments, the courts, the tax system, and even law enforcement. The same rules seem to apply from the Dare's all the way up to the Rothschild's.

What the rich have clearly forgotten is that we the people can break this global co-dependent cult-like relationship by simply refusing to enable them the continued opportunity to pick our collective pockets!

OPPRESSION CASE UPDATE

After nearly seven weeks of deliberation on Carolyn's oppression case appeal, the Appeal Court Judges rejected every argument by Carolyn's attorney out-of-hand. Her case was pleaded on March 8, 2018 based upon numerous defences including the "principles of equity" codified in HARSH Canadian oppression law, and the fact that the subject shareholder agreement was structured on its face as *inherently* oppressive.

The lower court's decision was based solely on commercial contract law, in spite of the facts presented showing a 15 year inequitable pattern of extreme prejudice against Carolyn as a 27.7% minority shareholder in the Dare family holding company, Serad Holdings Limited ("Serad") and the only female shareholder of the company being constantly opposed, and in her words, cheated by her sexually prejudiced and controlling father and two brothers.

The Canadian oppression remedy of s. 241(2)(c) of the CBCA regarding corporations have granted the broadest rights to minority shareholders of any national or international common law jurisdiction. It is quoted as "The broadest, most comprehensive and most open-ended shareholder remedy in the common law world" (Wikipedia: Ref [Oppression Remedy in Canadian Corporate Law](#)).

The focus in Carolyn's appeal was the obvious error made by the lower court judge to only consider contract law and not the "law of equity" under which must be the primary focus of all Canadian minority shareholder oppression cases. In other words, "fairness" takes precedence. In effect, if the shareholder agreement itself was written, interpreted, or carried out in practice by the directors and/or majority shareholders in a way that prejudiced Carolyn's minority shareholding benefits, then she is oppressed.

Carolyn's brothers are the collective majority shareholders and the controlling directors of Serad, as well as of the international Dare Group of operating Companies held under Serad. In this case, Carolyn showed factual evidence of prejudice in non-payment of dividends for years, when her brothers were diverting funds away from Serad into its operating companies; then exclusively paid themselves generous salaries, benefits and dividends on operating company shares owned exclusively by them. The authority for this unfair distribution came from the boards of Serad and the operating companies of which her brothers are the controlling directors.

Although the unfairness and thereby oppression is obvious, even to the layman, let alone the justice system; the judge in the lower court and the appeal court have essentially ruled that Carolyn's brothers had the authority to justify their prejudice on the basis of commercial contract law. In effect any behaviour, however unfair can be justified if the authority is provided under contract. Carolyn's argument is that the shareholder agreement was written as inherently unfair and oppressive by allowing her shareholder benefits to be extremely diminished and or made non-existent in the very successful and wealthy Dare Group of Companies that has annual sales and assets in the hundreds of millions of dollars!

You may ask why Carolyn would sign such an unfair shareholder agreement. This was done under her father's direction when she was in her twenties with no involvement or knowledge in the business or the law. Her father in effect said in 1980, "I am giving you something in a 21-year living trust, so sign here". What followed 21-years later was a fair market valuation in 2001 of CAN \$40 Million for her shares as well

as the understanding in the shareholder agreement that allowed her to sell her shares at fair market value, first to her brothers, and if they decline, then to third parties. This turned out to be a complete scam!

Her attempt to sell her shares was completely blocked on numerous occasions from 2002 to 2014, along with non-payment of any dividends from 2010 to 2015. She then hired an attorney and sued for minority shareholder oppression in 2014 of which has culminated in a dismissal from the lower court and now the appeal court. Her attorneys are considering her options, understanding that the court has left her extremely disadvantaged.

It's time for "We the People" of this world to collectively rise up and bring truth, justice and peace to the earth. More to come in the next episode of Harmon Wilfred, America's First Refugee!

Best Regards to all!

Harmon and Carolyn Wilfred

Reference: documentary web site: www.luminadiem.com