1	DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY OF ARAPAHOE, STATE OF COLORADO
2	Case No. 89DR477, Division 10
3	
4	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
5	
6	In re the Marriage of:
7	SANDRA WILFRED,
8	Petitioner,
9	and
10	HARMON WILFRED,
11	Respondent.
12	
13	The above-entitled matter commenced on Wednesday,
14	June 6,1990, before the HONORABLE JOYCE S. STEINHARDT,
15	District Court Judge.
16	APPEARANCES
17	
18	FOR THE PETITIONER: Elaine Edinburg Attorney at Law
19.	Accorncy at han
20	FOR THE RESPONDENT: Harmon Wilfred Pro Se
21	
22	GUARDIAN AD LITEM: Susan Dycus Attorney at Law
23	necorno, as as.
24	

INDEX

1

FOR THE PETITIONER: 2 PAGE WITNESSES: 3 DAVID CAMPBELL 4 11 Direct Examination by Ms. Dycus 5 Direct Examination Cont'd by Ms. Dycus 60 6 67 Cross-Examination by Ms. Edinburg 7 79 Redirect Examination by Ms. Dycus 8 ReCross-Examination by Ms. Edinburg 79 9 MARK BAGWELL 10 39 Direct Examination by Ms. Edinburg 11 51 Cross-Examination by Ms. Dycus 12 PAT BUSH 13 54 Direct Examination by Ms. Edinburg 14 WAYNE CRAVIN 15 Direct Examination by Ms. Edinburg 81 16 TOM JONES 17 Direct Examination by Ms. Edinburg 90 18 104 Cross-Examination by Ms. Dycus 19 EXHIBITS: 20 FOR THE PETITIONER: 21 57 Exhibit 1 - check ledger 22 60 Exhibit 2 - copy of check 23 89 Exhibit 3 - lawsuit 24 136 Exhibit 4 - account of cash balance 25 25 Exhibit 1-A - letter 145 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

MORNING SESSION, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 1990 1 (The Court was in session, and the following 2 proceedings were had, to wit:) 3 THE COURT: This is Wilfred. 4 5 MS. EDINBURG: Good morning, Elaine Edinburg. I'm 6 the attorney of record. I appear with the Petitioner, Sandra Wilfred. 7 MS, DYCUS: Susan Dycus, Your Honor, appearing as 8 Guardian ad Litem for the minor child. We have not yet seen 10 Mr. Wilfred this morning. He did indicate yesterday that he 11 would not be participating. 12 THE COURT: Do you think you should wait? exactly 9:30 at this time. We can wait five minutes, or we 13 14 can start. MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, perhaps for five minutes. 15 16 He has been consistently about five minutes late every 'imp ww 17 have come to court. 18 MS. EDINBURG: We'll wait five minutes. THE COURT: We did -- my clerk got a phone call from 19 a lady who said she was calling for him and wanted to decide the 20 21 time.

MS. DYCUS: The time for this morning? We called to check the time.

THE COURT: Well --

22

23

24

25

MS. EDIMBURG: Unless it was somebody else.

THE COURT: We'll take a short recess.

(Whereupon, the Court recessed at 9:34 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. 89DR477, In re the Marriage of Wilfred. Counsel, make your appearance, please.

MS. EDINBURG: Good morning, Your Honor. Elaine Edinburg, attorney of record, appearing with the Petitioner. Seated at counsel's table is Pam Heckencamp (phonetic), my paralegal to assist me.

MR. WILFRED: Good morning, Harmon Wilfred, representing myself, pro se.

MS. DYCUS: Susan Dycus appearing as Guardian ad Litem for the minor child, Tyler.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, I just have a few preliminary matters that I need the Court to address. First of all, the Court may recall that I filed a subpoena duces tecum to First City Federal. and a Motion to Quash was filed by Ms. Kipper regarding that subpoena. Ms. Kipper was here yesterday. I insisted that they provide us with the documents. You would not rule on the Motion to Quash, and Mr. Wilfred had not complied with any of the discovery requests that I had submitted to him dispite the Court ordering him to comply, dispite the Court order of May 8th.

Mr. Wilfred gave me no documents, so I talked to Ms.

Kipper after the Court continued this matter, and told her that

the documents were absolutely essential, and she has requested

that you order that they produce all the documents.

There's one witness, Mrs. Alma Rhodes (phonetic) who is available if I need her this afternoon. I would ask the Court to deny the Motion to Quash the subpoena duces tecum so that we can technically just clarify and clear up that one.

THE COURT: What's the grounds for the Motion to Quash?

MS. EDINBURG: Well, in that they are very substantial. In my opinion, they basically set forth the documents which are fairly significant, and it was arranged that Mrs. Rhodes would have to be here for two days dispite the fact that I put her on call.

THE COURT: Is there anybody here from the bank to argue the Motion?

MS. EDINBURG: No.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Wilfred, do you wish to argue the Motion?

MR. WILFRED: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court is going to order that the bank's Motion to Quash will be denied. The bank will supply the documents as requested.

MS. EDINBURG: Thank you. Another preliminary matter, is First Bank was subpoenaed, Your Honor, to produce certain documents to us, some of which they have done including bank statements, copies of the cancelled checks we have asked

for, signature cards and other documents in order to take a look at some of the accounts that Mr. Wilfred has taken Mrs. Wilfred's name off of. So, we're asking for you to order, I guess, the First Bank to comply with the subpoens as it's detailed out. I have a copy.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court will enter that order.

MS. EDINBURG: Third matter, Your Honor, is I faled
a Motion for Sanctions with this Court. It's dated May 22c.

It was two weeks ago after the May 8th pretrial wherein the
Court ordered Mr. Wilfred to do several things including but
not limited to turning over to me all of the bankruptcy
documents and all of his bank statements for the past two
years.

He failed to do that. Mrs. Wilfred and myself have been on an incredible hunting expedition for the last two weeks trying to subpoens the documents, and it's been extra-ordinarily cumbersom and expensive, and pursuant to Rule 348.

28, I would ask the Court to impose sanctions against Mr. Wilfred for his failing to comply with the discovery requests. We are also asking the Court to award us not only the attorney's fees, and for all the costs for the photocopying service, the process subpoens fees and other fees we have had to incur because Mr. Wilfred has failed to comply with these orders.

THE COURT: I will certainly consider the attorney's

fees and all costs in this matter. However, in terms of your request for sanctions, the Court will certainly consider that.

MS. EDINBURG: Thank you, Your Honor. Those are the only preliminary matters I have. I don't know if the Court wants opening statements or not.

THE COURT: I think we ought to have some opening statements.

MR. WILFRED: May I, Your Honor?

THE COURT: She gets to open first unless you have other preliminary matters.

MR. WILFRED: That's fine.

THE COURT: If you have other preliminary matters, you can raise them.

MR. WILFRED: I'm not sure that you would call this a preliminary matter or not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, go ahead, Ms. Edinburg.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, as outlined in our trial data certificate, there was a marriage that was commenced April 2, 1982, and the parties were separated February 28, 1989. One child, Tyler, was adopted during the marriage. He's approximately two and a half years old now.

Tyler's custody obviously is a major issue. Mrs. Wilfred has had exclusive custody of Tyler since the separation, and this Court might recall in May, there was a three-hour hearing before Your Honor when Mr. Wilfred asked the

Court to set aside the restraining order that had been issued requesting an order for supervised visitation.

This Court denied that motion and appointed Ms. Dycus in the middle of that hearing in order to represent Tyler.

Mrs. Wilfred has proven herself in the last year and a half to be a fit and proper person to have exclusive, sole custody of this child, and I think one of the biggest and most difficult issue before the Court today is not necessarily focusing on Tyler's custody, but the question of visitation.

Mr. David Campbell will be called as an expert witness by Ms. Dycus, and I will ask some questions. Mr. Campbell has been selected and chosen by Mr. Wilfred to do this supervision. I met Mr. Campbell for the first time yesterday morning. Mr. Campbell has had in excess of 500 hours of observation of Mr. Wilfred with his child, and has some pretty serious and significant concerns about Mr. Wilfred's bizarre behavior and inappropriateness and difficulty in terms of properly caring for Tyler and attending to Tyler's best interest during these visitations.

At this point, Your Honor, given some of the behavior that's taken place in the last three weeks, Mrs. Wilfred had been very torn about whether or not there should be any visitation, and I would address that I believe more thoroughing in my closing argument.

It is our position, Your Honor, based upon the

various documents that we will submit to the Court, Mr. Wilfred is an absolute master and genious at financial manipulation.

Mr. Wilfred has the ability to take money and somehow make thousands and thousands of dollars and yet, he presents himself as very poor and is currently in bankruptcy.

We believe that Mr. Wilfred's earning capability, and we will show documents from 1988 and 1989, that his earning ability is in excess of \$10,000 per month. Therefore, the child support guidelines in and of themselves don't apply.

At the time that we went to Court on July 7th before Judge Virginia Ware for the third temporary orders, Mr. Wilfred, at the eleventh hour filed a Chapter 11 which changed this Court's ability to proceed with the temporary orders hearing. We at that time had introduced several documents as exhibits which shows Mr. Wilfred withdrawing \$22,000 from one bank account, \$36,000 from another bank account, and we will continue to support our documentation with various witnesses that we have called before this Court today.

Mrs. Wilfred is requesting maintenance. She has not worked significantly during this marriage other than to be paid by Mr. Wilfred to assist him in some of the real estate deals. She will be requesting maintenance.

Your Honor, basically the situation with marital property is one of incredible concerns to me. Mr. Wilfred during the marriage acquired the Promenade Shopping Center, and

that was a multi-million dollar phase. Mr. Wilfred was solely or the primary person in charge of managing those.

In early 1989, shortly after the divorce, foreclosure proceedings were brought by both the lender, City Fedoral because Mr. Wilfred had failed to pay the monthly mortgage payments. In foreclosing those, that resulted in a Chapter 11 filing by Mr. Wilfred. Yet he continues to be debtor and possessor and managing the rent of those property. One property was in excess of \$70,000.

We believe that there is a minimum of \$300,000 that Mr. Wilfred in 1989 has taken which is unaccounted for. We have tried to do tracing. We will show the Court to the best of our ability, what documents we have. We cannot find out what's happened to that. Mr. Wilfred had converted to a Chapter 7 the day after Your Honor issued an order for the \$75,000 that was in the bankruptcy court's registry.

The Court might recall that there was a telephone conference, I believe in May of this year. Mr. Wilfred at that time was represented by Mr. Moore of Mr. Epstein's office. Mr. Wilfred indicated to the bankruptcy court that he was working everything out with his creditors. He wanted the \$75,000 released to him. I even asked this Court to take jurisdiction over that money.

Mr. Wilfred's Chapter 11 has put us in a very precarious situation because anything we find particularly now,

belongs to the bankruptcy court. Mr. Jeffrey Hill, who is a bankruptcy trustee. he's very interested in these proceedings as well. Given the dilemma that we're in, it's going to be difficult for this Court to issue a property settlement. So T think any property that is due Mrs. Wilfred is going to have to be given to her in the form of maintenance and child support.

· 7

With respect to other issues, there's a home that Mr. Wilfred is living in dispite the fact the home is twice — once gone into foreclosure. Mr. Wilfred has the ability to cure the mortgage at the eleventh hour. We're asking that that home ordered to be sold, that Mrs. Wilfred have the ability to maintain that sale.

We don't believe that Mr. Wilfred will cooperate with that sale, and Mrs. Wilfred is listed on both of the mortgages encumbering that home. Your Honor, I don't know whether there is a dispute about personal property or not. I'm sure we're not going to get into the pots and pans today. We have provided a detailed list on the back of our financial affidavit regarding the items that are in Mrs. Wilfred's possession. If the Court wants to hear testimony, we'll do so.

At this time, Mrs. Wilfred will be asking for a permanent injunction against Mr. Wilfred from contacting and harassing her. At this time, I would tender to the Court, the Petitioner's original financial affidavit.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wilfred?

MR. WILFRED: First of all, Your Honor, let me apologize for my behavior yesterday. I was pretty nervous about being here and having to represent myself. My attitude was poor especially towards you, so I'd like to apologize.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILFRED: However, my position as I stated yesterday remains the same, Your Honor, and with your permission, I'd like to restate that for the record.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILFRED: I cannot in good conscience participate in this proceeding as long as I believe my constitutional rights of due process and religious freedom have been and are being violated. That's all I have to say, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, the Guardian ad Litem would waive an opening.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, given the fact that custody is the most important issue before the Court today, I think Mr. Dave Campbell is the most appropriate witness to go first, and I've met with Mr. Campbell and asked him to be present so Ms. Dycus will do direct examination of him if that's okay.

MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, I would call David Campbell to the stand.

DAVID CAMPBELL.

was called as a witness to testify, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. DYCUS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

· 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Mr. Campbell, I understand that you have a condition Q of the vocal cords that makes it difficult for you to speak up. If anyone of us has trouble hearing you, we'll let you know. If you will pull the microphone closer and sit up closer to it, that will help. Would you state your name and address for the record, please.

My name is David Campbell, 7073 East Maplewood Avenue, Englewood, Colorado 80111.

- Okay. Would you lean just a little bit closer. Q Thank you. Mr. Campbell, are you here today under subpoena?
- Yes, I am.
- Okay. Would you please tell the Court how you happened to be acquainted with the parties in this case?
- I responded to an ad at the Denver Seminary for visitation supervision to which last June I started working for Harmon Wilfred, and I have been doing three visits a week for approximately three-quarters of an hour for a total of 130 visits for approximately 425 hours' worth of visitation,
- Okay. Now, would you tell the Court what kind of deducation you have? 25

A I have a Bachelor in Psychology, a Masters in inner personal process both of them at Temple University of Philadelphia. I'm a registered hypnotist and also in psycholinguistics.

THE COURT: What's that?

- A The form of pre-psychotherapy. I'm also certified in psychophysiology which has to do with the study of the inner action between the brain and body chemistry particularly related to stress disorder.
- Q Can you tell the Court what was involved in your Masters in inner-personal communication process?
- A Certainly. The Masters degree was primarily a study -- a two year study of major psychotherapy and the understanding of communication and its application for therapy.
- Ω Mow, would you tell the Court what, if any, axperience that you've had in the working world.
- A Quite a bit, actually. There's been so much. Would you like me to focus in on anything in particular?
- 20 G Well, why don't we look at perhaps the last five 21 years.
- 22 A Okay. For the bulk of the last five years, I was
 23 self-employed. I do freelance writing and editing. I'm
 24 currently a student at Denver Seminary. I occasionally do odd
 25 lobs on the side. I've been selling water filters for a

```
company up in Boulder.
 2
              Do you have any training or experience in counseling?
         C)
 3
              Yes, I do.
 4
              Have you ever practiced as a counselor?
              I've had a private practice in Lakewood for
 5
    approximately three years.
 6
 7
       . (3
              Okay. Let me get one thing real clear, do you have
 8
    any educational background or specific experience in vistation
    -- supervised vistation?
10
              No, I do not.
11
         (:}
              Okay.
12
              MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, I would offer Mr. Campbell
13
   as an expert in inner-personal communication processing and
14
    applied psychology.
15
             MS. EDINBURG: I have no objection.
16
              THE COURT: Sir (Pause) Mr. Wilfred, you don't
17
   care to speak?
18
              (Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred did not respond verbally,
   nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)
19
20
             THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
21
             MS. DYCUS: Thank you.
22
             (By Ms. Dycus) Mr. Campbell, what was your under-
23
   standing of your role as a visitation supervisor?
24
             I have responded to the Court primarily to uphold
   the wife's custody over the child. I was there primarily
```

as an observer to see that those custody orders were upheld.

- O Now, you've already indicated that you're here under subpoena. Did you have any concerns about testifying?
- h I did. I suspect Harmon of being a very vindictive human being. I've had some concerns about speaking.
 - Q Do you feel there have been threats against you?
- A Che valid threat, one day when we were driving down I-25, and I. of course, have a pickup for the visitation, Harmon, out of the blue, started discussing the whole business of visitation supervision and alluded to the fact that a person in my position without liability insurance could be at risk when the bullets start to fly as he said in court when both parties could point to a person in my position and plame the conditions on the superviser.
- Q Let's talk about the visits. Would you describe for the Court just the mechanics of the visits, where they occurred, how long they were, that sort of thing?
- A Typically, Harmon would pick me up at my home at a quarter to three in the afternoon, Tuesday, Thursday, and Sunday afternoon. We would then proceed during the week to Promenade Shopping Center where the day care is located where we would pick up Tyler. That's the location where Sandy works. She was also there at the time. We would then go almost invariably back to the house back to Harmon's home where the visits would take place. Sandy would come and pick up Tyler

1 and myself at 6 o'clock, drop me off at my house and then proceed from there. On Sunday, basically the same routine except in recent months, we've gone up to Harmon's -- excuse 3 me, Sandy's residence in North Denver to pick up Tyler. 5 Now, what have you charged for this supervision? 6 It's \$10 an hour. 7 Mr. Wilfred has paid you? 8 Α Yes, he has. 9 **(1)** Would you describe for the Court what Mr. Wilfred's 10 interaction are like with Tyler? 11 From my perspective, they tend to be, in a sense, 12 Harmon is very active and involved with Tyler, and the visits 13 tend to be, to my mind, very busy for the child from beginning to end; constant interaction. I would say Harmon is in the 15 child's face. 16 THE COURT: Is what? 17 Α In the child's face almost every minute of the day, very readily, very heavily directing his attention to the 19 interaction, etcetera. 20 How does Tyler respond to this? 21 Well, he loves it. It keeps him real busy. 22 Q Does he keep going for the entire three hours of

23

24

25

the visit?

Α

Q

Yes.

How does he act?

- A It's a very active, very full three hours, typically.
- Q How does he act at the end of the visits?

A Typically, the visit is — well, around 5:15 or

so after, Harmon and Tyler take a bath. Harmon will bathe

Tyler. Then they will come out of the bathroom, relax on the

sofa for a few minutes. It's not unusual for Tyler to be

quite eager to reast at that stage and want to just relax.

Occasionally, he just wants to cuddle up to his dad. Harmon

will frequently start something going at that point whether it's

playing basketball in the living room or pillow fighting on the

sofa, so they're back into heavy interaction — heavy active

commitment, etcetera.

One of my concerns during the course of visitation, has been that towards the very last when they are very busy playing in the living room, Tyler is racing around really getting up steam, Sandy drives up, and Harmon insists that Tyler stop playing just in a moment's notice and get ready to go. This has frequently resulted in a struggle between the two where time after time, Harmon has literally had to put the child on the floor to get him into his coat and get him out of the door. That seems to me rather a backward approach to the separation.

- Q Now, he describes that kind of behavior as willful, didn't he?
 - A Yes, and one may comment to at least on two

occasions that I recall, Tyler was being willful, he was going 1 to win. THE COURT: Tyler is two and a half? 3 Yes. Α 5 THE COURT: You've been doing this for 18 months? 6 Α Yes. 7 THE COURT: Is Tyler potty-trained? 8 Uh-hum, yes. (By Ms. Dycus) Harmon has taken a strong interest 9 Q 10 in that? 11 Harmon has taken a strong interest in the potty-12 training of the child. 13 Q Okay. Have you had any observation which relate to 14 medical concerns? 15 Harmon and Sandy apparently disagree on the use of the 16 doctor's medications. Tyler is prone to ear infections in bis 17 life. He gets a number of ear infections that the doctor 18 typcially medicates him for. Harmon objected to the 19 medication, and on a number of occasions, has simply made the 20 statement that he would let Sandy take care of the medicine. 21 He wouldn't bother with that sort of thing. 22 There was one incident where Tyler was under 23 medication. The doctor had requested that he not receive dairy products, that he spend the time during the visitation 24

full mode. A request was passed on to me by Sandy for Harmon

to make sure he didn't have dainy products. The visitation as active as usual.

In the course of the pick up for one visit, when the child was prought out, there were instructions regarding medication and his current condition. Harmon made the statement that he refused to believe, in Jesus name, that the child was sick. That there was, in his words, too much illness. He had the attitude at that time, that the child was well.

- Q To your knowledge, has Harmon ever taken the child to the doctor during the time that you've been involved?
- A Yes, on a couple of occasions, Sandy has had concerns about Tyler health. At the beginning of a visit, she had made an appointment which had previously been scheduled where she has arranged through me with Harmon for a doctor's visit to take place during the visitation, primarily, I think from the standpoint that the doctor's office is located close to Harmon's home, isn't that far from mom's home, so we would to take Tyler to the doctor's.

The most recent indication where Sandy requested that we go up north to pick up -- I'm sorry, I'm going to have to pass on that. I don't remember the pick-up arrangements, but Harmon chose not to do that. He wanted Sandy to take the child. He didn't want to be bothered with that.

Q Okay. Now, what is Mr. Wilfred doing to Tyler when

Tyler goes to Mr. Wilfred's home for a visit?

A I clearly felt in the early stages of the visitation, Harmon was indoctrinating the child on each and every visit for some weeks, for longer perhaps than a month, month and a half. When they would arrive or coming up close to the house, Harmon would start talking about home. He said, "We're home, Tyler. This is home," and frequently repeated that phrase, words to that effect a dozen or more times. And I mentioned this to Sandy. She sort of shrugged it off and recognized the issues there. Tyler did seem to have a clear understanding where his home really was. He knew where he slept and where all his toys were, stuff like that. She did recognize that there was a possibility he was indoctrinating the child.

THE COURT: Do you feel there's a religious reason for the medical issues? In other words, there are religions that don't believe in medication.

A Insofar as I understand the Pentecostal faith that

Harmon had belonged to up until recently does not practice any
abstention from medicine.

THE COURT: Okay,

Q (By Ms. Dycus) They don't abstain. Do they do any laying on of the hands or healing through the religion in addition to medical care?

A Yes, they do. In fact, there was one incident during a visit where Harmon was teaching Tyler how to lay hands on a

doll and heal it. Mr. Clown had gotten burned by the fire, and therefore, had a fever, and it was requested to Tyler to put his hands on Mr. Clown's forehead, and just as he did, Mr. Clown had miraculously cooled off.

- Q Have you had -- let me start that over. Could you describe Mr. Wilfred's interactions with you to the extent that you're aware of his interactions with people?
 - A Could you ask that in a slightly different fashion?
- Q Okay. You're not currently doing the visitations, are you?
 - A No. I'm not.

- Q And why did you stop doing it?
- A I stopped doing the visitation supervision about two, two and a half weeks ago. Harmon and I have had a significant number of fairly serious discussions about theology and Christian religion over the past year. We but heads on a number of issues. There were a number of points where Harmon commented about religion would be one thing; his behavior would be to my mind totally opposite of what he's actually saying or what the Christian faith is prone to believe.

He's been following the teaching of a fellow named Kenneth Copeland, a well know television evangelist who is teaching, among other things, suggests that since God created us, we are, therefore, children of God which means, therefore, we are little Gods inheriting all the power of God.

∢;

....

There's also been, in one our most recent discussions, the whole issues of accountability. The Christian Church recognizes overall that individual members are accountable to no one but God, but to associate with other members of the body, and that in case of a dispute on theology where there's really a question at issue, some real matter at issue where someone's behavior is out of line with what the Christian faith is, that the parties in conflict, like Harmon and myself, should go to a third party that's hopefully, mutually agreeable to both of them as an authority on religious matters, and seek their counsel.

In the course of one discussion, I pointed out some significant areas of problems in regard to the accountability of the teachings of Kenneth Copeland to Mr. Wilfred. He was very unhappy about the whole discussion and kept asking me to be silent. I pursued it, and he repeatedly asked me to be silent. I pursued it. I pointed out since we were having a discussion and since Harmon claimed to be a committed Christian and is apparently heavily involved in Christianity in one form or another, that the only scriptural out for a disagreement of this sort was to go to a third party and seek some advice from a from somebody else. He refused to do that. That got me interested in checking that out with other Christians or just to see how best I should proceed in a case like this.

The scriptures are very clear. If you have a

theological issue with one of your brothers, you are to address that issue to them personally. You are supposed to approach a third party. If that third party's meeting cannot take place or the disagreement has not been resolved, then I would be required to remove myself from the situation.

I'm not one to quit easily on a matter like that.

I'm concerned for Harmon. I'm concerned for his emotional well-being, for his psychological well-being, particularly for his spiritual well-being. So I thought it would be a good idea for me to see if I could get a third party hoping that the tension from that particular discussion would die down; that he would be agreeable to discuss matters with somebody else.

I discussed the matter with my pastor, with Harmon's ex-paster, Pastor Bagwell, with a representative of the Navigator's which is a church ministry, international church ministry based out of Colorado Springs. I have some friendships with their different area representatives. I also discussed the matter with a fellow who is an ex-Denver priest, chaplain and local evangelist. They were pretty much unanimous that I should remove myself from the situation particularly in view of the fact that Pastor Bagwell had separated himself from Harmon and would not permit any of his congregation having anything to do with Mr. Wilfred.

He was quite explicit. He spoke to me for perhaps 20 minutes or so telling me of some of the problems they had had together. Harmon, for one thing, cast a quote, prophecy against Pastor Bagwell speaking in God's name against the Fastor suggesting doom and gloom for the Pastor personally; that he would lose his pastorate within 30 days which was apparently by January 1st of 1990.

At the time I spoke with Pastor Bagwell, which was perhaps a month or so ago, he was still in charge of his church, and doom and gloom had not fallen on it as it had been prophesied.

Scripturally, false prophecy is an extremely serious offense. If you're going to speak for God, you have to be correct, 100 percent right, no ifs, ands, or buts, no question about it. The scriptures are extremely clear on this matter.

In Old Testament times, which Harmon is quite familiar with having read the Old and New Testament all the way through on a number of occasions, those who cast false prophecy are to be stoned to death right off the bat. So, false prophesies are an extremely serious matter.

I have mentioned that to Harmon on at least two occasions trying to get him to see the fact that he had cast a prophecy that was not correct; called into question, his understanding of God and his relationship with God, which larmon believes is very close and intimate.

He has, you know, repeatedly refused to see that, but as a result of these discussions with these four different

recognized church leaders. I decided that it was best for me to remove myself from any further relationship with Mr. Wilfred.

MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, I'd like to have an exhibit marked.

A I think it's worth pointing out that Harmon's behavior within his church has been such that his Pastor wants nothing further to do with him. When I asked the Pastor to counsel with Harmon and myself he flat out refused and said ne wouldn't waste two hours of his time on Mr. Wilfred. At this stage, Harmon was unwilling to get any assistance; that unless he could convince me that Harmon had requested the counseling, that Harmon was, in fact, interest in making some changes or looking at his own attitude a bit more deeply, that Pastor Bagwell would absolutely refuse to waste any time, would refuse to permit any of his members of his congregation to do so.

I thought it was quite significant in the course of the conversation not only did he mention the false prophecy. We also mentioned the problem with Harmon lying and being deceitful, attempting to split his church, having a relationship with a woman, I should qualify that, having a relationship, a friendship, a business relationship with a coman whom the church had, I guess, officially kicked out, a coman by the name of Phylis Lambert, who was originally the secretary to Harmon Wilfred and was present, as a matter of act, at some of the early visitations, so I have met the

woman . MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, I've had this document 2 marked as Exhibit 1-A. I've provided a copy to Mr. Wilfred and 3 to Ms. Edinburg. (By Ms. Dycus) Mr. Campbell, could you identify that 5 6 document, please. Yes. This is a document you showed me this morning. 7 It is purported to be a letter from -- excuse me -- a letter from Harmon Wilfred to Pastor Tim Bagwell, and it has the contents of a false prophecy that I mentioned. 10 So, it's your understanding that what is written 11 there is the prophecy that was made? 12 13 Yes. Α 14 Thank you. . Q MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, I will not be offering this 15 at this time. I would offer it either through Mr. Wilfred or 16 17 Pastor Bagwell. I have no objection to it at this MS. EDINBURG: 18 19 time. MS. DYCUS: Then I'll offer it now. 20 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wilfred, do you object? 21 (Whereupon, the Respondent gave no verbal response, 22 nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: G.A.L. 1-A will be admitted.

(Whereupon, G.A.L. Exhibit 1-A was admitted into

23

24

evidence.)

- Q (By Ms. Dycus) Mr. Campbell, I realized through part of your testimony, I failed to ask you how you happened to be answering an add at the Denver Seminary?
- A Much to my loy and delight, my wife works there.

 She's been employed there seven years. She originally came
 across the request and passed it on to me.
 - Q Are you a student at the Seminary?
 - A Yes, I am.
 - Q What are you studying?
- A I'm going for another Master's degree, and this one will be in New Testament biblical.
- MS. DYCUS: Also, Your Honor, I would like to offer Mr. Campbell as an expert in the New Testament.

MS. EDINBURG: No objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred, do you object?

(Whereupon, the Respondent gave no verbal response, nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: He'll be admitted as an expert.

- Q (By Ms. Dycus) Thank you, Mr. Campbell. You ve indicated that Mr. Wilfred made prophesies, that he has received other messages that he feels, at least, are from God or the Spirits?
- A Yes. Apparently I gather from some of his statements, he has been told to pursue some of the legal

lactions over the past year as a result of his conversations. 2 I'd like to mention early in the visitation ---

THE COURT: He was told to pursue some of the legal actions, you mean by God, is that what you're saying?

3

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Yes, or by the voices perceived to be of God. Α I think it's worth mentioning that early in the visitation procedures, perhaps a month ago or two months after we began. sometime last summer, Harmon shared some of his writings what 9 The called his writings with me; a writing that he, "received 10 #spiritual messages," that had been given to him. The writings were noteworthy from the standpoint, there was confusion of dentity as to who was speaking. It would change from the first person to the Spirit -- to the voice of the spirit, to the voice of God. I pointed out some of that confusion to Harmon .

As a result of me pointing some of the errors in his thinking about Christianity, particularly in regard to that issue, he slowly but surely stopped sharing that kind of material to me, stopped opening up to me about his practice of religion.

(By Ms. Dycus) Mr. Campbell, referring you again to **(2)** Exhibit 1-A, is this representative of the kinds of things that you saw in the writings he showed you?

Yes, it is, and I think in writing that, I saw the Α identity -- the confusion was more marked than it is in the

prophecy that was submitted.

MS. EDINBURG: I didn't understand what you said.

A I think the writing that I saw, the confusion of the identity was even more marked than it was in the documents that Susan just handed to me.

O (By Ms. Dycus) Now, do you feel there is any chanco that what you're saying is an identity confusion, could have been a writing style or poor writing ability or anything like that?

A Foor writing ability, no. Harmon is a very intelligent, competent human being. He writes well. I believe he writes prolifically, so, no. What was the other part of your question?

Q Whether it would be a writing style?

A It could be, but if so, it's a poor one from my standpoint, as an editor and writer, it would be more to confusion for your average reading to follow, and any consistent reading of it — I mean, what you're writing, you need to make it clear where the ideas are coming from. If, in the course of a one-page document, the person writing, and the person speaking changes from Harmon to the Spirit to God, to a thing in just a matter of sentences, it gets pretty confusing.

Q Okay. Mr. Campbell, you have a unique background and expertise both in religion and in counseling. Where does one draw the line between a very devout religious person and

1 someone who has become hyper religious in a psychiatric sense?

A It's not always an easy line to draw. A point that I have brought up a number of times with Harmon, at least one in writing to Harmon, has to do partly with the nature of truth. Harmon claims the truth is passed on to him directly. In essence, that truth can apply, supersede the scriptures from the Christian standpoint.

There are four basic ways of recognizing truth. One is scripture, one is the Spirit as it impinges on you, the other is personal experience, the wisdom of our own experience and history of others, and the fourth is the witness of other committed knowledgeable Christians. So scripture, the voice of God, past experience, good judgment, call it.

Harmon won't recognize the importance of anyone else's opinion regarding Christian matters. At the point in one conversation, we discussed a number of times the issue of divorce, pursuing lawsuits, pursuing a position of leadership in the church which Harmon has apparently been doing, and the scriptures that relate to him. It's real clear from the scripture Harmon should not be pursuing a position in the church. Harmon should not be pursuing a lawsuit.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

A Should not be pursuing a lawsuit if it's all avoidable. His position in regard to his wife is that he still recognizes, as the church does, that he and his wife

should be one.

25.

THE COURT: Has the fact that she wants a dissolution of marriage created some of this?

A Not that I know of.

MS. DYCUS: I think Mr. Campbell has Mr. Wilfred's religious background.

Q (By Ms. Dycus) And you understand it?

A I understand that he was raised Southern Baptist.

From hearsay, I understand that he was kicked out of the Southern Baptist faith. I understand from Sandy in a conversation yesterday or the day before that he told her that he had been kicked out of the church. His mother apparently reports otherwise. Harmon's mother apparently reports otherwise.

Q Excuse me, Mr. Campbell, could you lean forward a little closer to the microphone.

A Within the past three years, Harmon has been heavily involved in Judaism, the New Age religion, and Christianity. So he'd heavily invested through different times, to my knowledge, to three rather significantly different religions.

THE COURT: You said Judaism, New Age. and what was the other one?

A And Christianity.

 Ω /By Ms. Dycus) Mr. Campbell, you indicated that it was hearsay to you that he had been kicked out of the Southern

Paptist church. Is it consistent with what you do know of your
2 pwn knowledge about him, would that be consistent?

A If I understand the question correctly, you're asking me if, out of my knowledge, I know one way or the other whether Harmon was kicked out of the Southern Baptist church?

Q Now, sir, you've indicated you don't know that of your own knowledge. I'm asking, based on what you do know, would that be consistent? Is there a pattern that fits this?

A Well, in a sense, I have to say, yes, although I'm sure the Southern Baptist thing took place when he was a teenager. He's committed to religion. It seems to be fairly short and intense.

Q Now, in your discussions with Mr. Wilfred, do you have any sense of whether he would follow these messages he gets from the Spirit over, for example, a Court order?

A Given the fact that Harmon doesn't seem to be either accountable to anyone but himself, and the voice that speaks to him and given the conversation with Pastor Bagwell and the letter from Pastor Bagwell to the Respondent in response to a subpoena for information, I would say Harmon would do what the voices told him to do regardless of whether or not that was in violation of established law.

Q I'm not aware of anyone, but Mr. Wilfred is saying that people are saying he's a religious fanatic. Let me ask you that question. Is he a religious fanatic?

I would say, /es. from the standpoint that by definition, a person is a fanatic when he has extremely critical beliefs either religiously or politically, critical from the standpoint where some point is at issue, Harmon is more than willing to take his own opinion as gospel rather than pursuing another opinion from someone else in matters of faith. I gather from his wife that both of his previous four areas. into religion have been sparked by the presence of a business partner. Judaism was one case; New Age was another. became a Christian as a result of a business associate. time he's with a business partner, he's committed to taking on a new religion.

1

6

11

12

13

15

16

18

20

21

 \odot I believe you indicated in your testimony earlier lacksquarethat Mr. Wilfred was manipulative. Could you give the Court some examples of that?

I mean that Harmon is a master at manipulation. 실습 has bracked to me, and again I use the word brag carefully; that he has taught manipulative sales techniques; that he's are expert at manipulative sales techniques.

There was one incident where he was considering buying an Alpine stereo system for his car, and the salesman 22 was using a number of sales techniques on him, and Harmon 23 |commented on the fact that he just loved watching the guy work. 24 He really loved it when people tried that stuff on him. all, he taught it and trained people in it, and that he was a

master in it himself. He's an extremely controlling person.

I think he, as well as being manipulative, he comments that in dealings with a fellow by the name of Leo Weiss, who was a bankruptcy trustee in the past, that he was, I'll say, sideling up to the man seeking his advice, asking questions of him as if he were hungry for advice, etcetera, with the knowledge that Leo would respond to that kind of response. He had heard through the grapevine that Leo was approachable that way, and that's the way he was approached.

Q Can you give the Court any examples of manipulation with Tyler or Mrs. Wilfred?

A One incident that I thought was kind of snotty, was a number of months ago, Harmon piled all of Sandy's possession in the garage and asked me to ask her to come pick them up. And among those possessions was a large carton full of documents, tax records, business receipts, whatever. A week or so later. Harmon requested through the Court that those documents be photocopied and sent to him. That apparently cost Sandy in excess of \$300 photocopying those things. Judging from the comments that the wife had made, this has been a consistent and reoccurring process throughout these divorce proceedings, that he has been keeping the pressure up in that way, and it had been pretty constant.

That seems consistent with his behavior in my presence. Harmon likes to win. He does not quit. I've seem

. . .

documents that indicated that that was actually one of the things that attracted Sandy to him in the first place. He did not give up. An incident I found somewhat funny and kind of frustrating at the same time was during the early stages of visitation, I was taking quite a few photographs at Harmon's request, and Harmon had gotten some developed. He mentioned this to me during the pick up — on the way to the pick up of Tyler, that he had a batch of photographs. He had put them in an album. When we got back to the house, that album was sitting on the sofa right where I normally sat. I sat down with my books and pushed it aside and proceeded with my work which was something I wanted to do.

Probably five or six times during the visitation,

Harmon stepped into the room and asked me if I had looked at

the photo album yet. It had gotten to the point where I wasn't

particularly eager just because I felt I was being pressured.

It's a small point. When we sat down to dinner, Harmon and

Tyler would eat dinner together. I would sit and keep them

company. I wouldn't eat because I wanted to eat dinner with my

wife. He mentioned the photo album again. This was probably

the eighth or ninth time but now.

At the very end of the visit when we were sitting together around the coffee table in the living room, he reached over at picked up the photo album and handed it to me. That was just kind of a classic instance of many over the course of

the visitation of what Sandy must have had to put up with in terms of control and behavior. The pressure must have been constant.

3

5

7

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In terms of watching Harmon's actions with Tyler, I think that's doubly apparent, the interaction was almost constant. The pressure is almost constant. I have made the comment to Susan what she thought was relevant in Harmon's presence. Tyler has not much of an opportunity to be himself.

- Okay. Now, has Mr. Wilfred ever made any statement to you about representing himself in court or being in court?
- Harmon loves being in court. He admitted it to me. He has said that in the courtroom, he likes the controversy. 13 He has bought law books to improve his ability in court, and he has made jokes. He has made the comment that maybe he should become a lawyer. He looks forward to the controversy. He likes the battle. I might add those were statements he has made directly to me. That's not an inference.
 - Do you have any concerns that Mr. Wilfred could try to take Tyler and leave the jurisdiction of the Court?
 - I think Harmon is capable of that particularly if the's quided by those voices in his head which I gather from conversations with Harmon, those voices occur to him when he's in a trance state in his morning spiritual routine. He has commented to me he prays more than anybody else in the City of Denver. I believe that's possibly true.

At least in the early stages of the visitation, I believe that might have been the case. He claims to spend two hours at it. I gathered from the comment regarding speaking in tonques and that sort of thing, that by my understanding of such process, he will spend some of that in a trance state. He's indicated several times that he has received writings, thence—from the voices.

O Now, was there any time during any of these 130 visits that you had an actual concern that he might be trying to leave?

A On one occasion, we had stopped at the grocery store to pick up food for Tyler's dinner at King Soopers on South University. We stopped at the flower selling booth within the store. Harmon was going to buy some things. I wanted to put up something for my wife while he was there. Harmon had no problem with that and said that he would meet me at the front of the store by the mechanical horse. It was not unusual for us to stop and give Tyler a ride on the horse which was again up front of the store. I was going to be in the front of the store. I was forcerned about Harmon dashing off with Tyler.

At this stage of the visitation, I was comfortable with him. We had been doing it for a month. I really did not have any concern with him dashing off. We agreed to meet at the horse. I was standing in line at the checkout counter, and

1 D glanced over. He was there. I got busy with my own stuff. 2 and a few minutes later, I looked up and he was gone. 3 right over to the front of the store and out the door. He was 4 meaded briskly for his car. He was walking right along. 5 wasn't running. He wasn't poking either. Tyler was kind of **6** ¶hanging over his shoulder looking backwards. He saw me when I came out the door and apparently said something that kind of slowed down the exit a little bit. I just proceeded up to the 9 car and got in. Nothing was said about the incident.

I mentioned it to Sandy. Harmon did act a little bit 11 Luncomfortable for the rest of the evening. I mentioned this to 12 Sandy. She wasn't concerned that he was going to abscond with Tyler. She said it was typical. He was just teasing me, pushing me to the limit to see how far he could go.

10

15

17

19

23

24

Q Mr. Campbell, this is perhaps a little bit off of what you were doing most of the time, but there are some serious financial issues in this case. Did you ever hear any statement by Mr. Wilfred about his financial condition?

He has pleaded poverty on a couple of occasions, but made it clear to me that paying for visitation supervision was a priority which I was very happy about. He's paid money to me for some of the expenditures he's made during the course of the Wisitation.

Again I'll use the word brag. I hate to lay that at anybody's doorstep. He's prone to do that. He has commented

that the cost of his car is \$22,000. He's talked to me about

buying new ski gear. He's bought three cords of oak firewood

for the fireplace. There were other things that to me seemed

kind of inconsistent with a person who was in bankruptcy,

particularly Chapter 7 bankruptcy. His car has a car phone.

It's in the shop, I would guess during recent months for

visitation, has been in the shop perhaps on the average of

three times a month, mostly for fine tuning rather than service

pr significant repairs. From my knowledge that I've had in

bankruptcy, they probably wouldn't issue that. It kind of

struck me just thinking about my own situation.

Again, if I were in bankruptcy having a car phone and paying the kind of bills that entails having my car detailed, professionally cleaned by somebody else that costs in excess of \$75 and having it professionally washed and polished every week by somebody who apparently came out to the house. I think there was a team of two guys that came out to the house to clean the house. That would be an unusual expense for me to maintain.

Q I think, Mr. Campbell, do you have concerns for ongoing visitation between Mr. Wilfred and Tyler?

A I do. I mentioned I have those concerns. Well,
I guess, I've mentioned a number of times, most recently when
Harmon believed that visitation should be totally
unsupervised. I disagreed. I don't think he should be in the

```
reaons.
 3
              I'm having trouble hearing you.
              THE COURT: Maybe we ought to take a recess.
   been on the stand well over an hour.
 6
              (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken at 10:56 a.m.)
 7
              MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, with the Court's
 8
   permission, we'd like to interrupt Mr. Campbell's testimony.
   Pastor Bagwell, from the church, is here. I have very few
10
   questions, and Ms. Dycus has agreed.
11

    PASTOR MARK BAGWELL,

12
   was called as a witness on testify on behalf of the Petitioner,
   having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
13
14
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION:
15
   BY MS. EDINBURG:
16
              Would you state your name, spelling your last name
         Q
17
   for the record.
18
              Mark, M-a-r-k, Timothy Bagwell, B-a-g-w-e-l-l.
         Α
19
              How should I refer to you, Pastor or Reverend?
         Q
20
              Pastor or Reverend, I don't care.
         Α
21
              What is your occupation?
        Q
22
              I'm a pastor.
         Α
23
        Q
              Where?
24
              Word of Life Outreach Center.
         Α
25
             How long have you been a pastor?
        Q
```

presence of a child without adult supervision for a variety of

1

```
1
   over five years. I've been a minister for 20.
2
             Okay. Do you refer to yourself as a penterostal
3
        C)
   manister?
5
        Α
             That's as good a title as any.
             The Word of Life Church is, as I understand it, a
6
7
   church that is of the pentecostal faith? .
8
             Yes.
             MS. EDINBURG: Does the Court want more information
   labout that, or is the Court familiar with that?
10
11
             THE COURT: I'm not familiar with it, so if you
12
   think it's part and parcel of my need to know, go ahead.
13
             MS. EDINBURG: It's only in terms of trying to
14
   protect the record as far as the nature of his religious
15
   faith.
             THE COURT: All right.
16
             (By Ms. Edinburg) Could you describe briefly
17
        α
   what beliefs the pentecostal church holds?
18
19
             For the most part, our beliefs are very much. I
20
   believe, standard with majority christian evangelicals.
21
   We believe we are classified as born again, have had salvation
   experience. We do believe in the baptism of the Holy Spirit
  with the evidence of tongues. We believe that God can still …
23
   can heal the sick, and the Lord is still able to perform
24
```

miracles and really function in divine capacity in this day.

I've been pastor there for the last -- a little

24

25

associate with our church.

Q

Why is that?

A I'm not — I'm not totally aware of exactly the reasons behind it other than he stated to me in a letter that he felt that the Lord had directed him to not attend Word of Life any more, and so his reasons behind that would only be a presumption on my part.

O But I was asking you before that question about his beliefs last June when you believed that his beliefs were consistent with your church, and why do you believe those beliefs are no longer consistent with your church?

A Well, first, I believe that our church teaches very strongly that people need to be involved. We need to be involved in a church home. They need to have a pastorial minister that they can counsel and get direction from. We hold to some strong beliefs, that is, the Word of God.

If there are issues that deal with what l, myself consider a personal direction of God. that those issues do align with the scripture; that our actions are what I would consider to abide by the laws and the statute of the land. It's hard for me to say his beliefs are in total direct conflict as much as I feel about his departure which there was some issue that put him into conflict either with myself or what our church stood for.

Q Do you believe it's possible for yourself or members of your church to get communications from God?

A Yes.

25

1

6

10

15

18

19

21

22

23

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$. Do you believe that Mr. Wilfred is getting direct communication from God?

A Let me answer that question in this way. It is impossible for me to go inside somebody's head or go inside someone's spirit and say what is going on? But I do believe if a person, by inspiration, has God dealing with him personally in their heart about a particular issue, then I believe there are some things that should consistently stand.

Number one, if someone says, the Lord dealt with me to do this, and then down the road dealt with me or spoke to me to do something else, first of all, I don't believe that God is in conflict with himself. I don't believe, you might say, that God tells us to go this way and then tells us to go the complete opposite of what he told us the first time.

The only way I can illustrate that is that a few weeks before, Harmon wrote me a letter, and he said the Lord spoke to me, or the Holy Spirit dealt with him to leave the church. And a few weeks before, the Lord spoke to him to completely submit his life and development of his ministry to my ministry and to our church, that we could have help to grow and to develop. Now, what I'm saying in essence with that is that a few weeks before God had directed him to do one thing. Then a few weeks later, God directs him to do another thing. I believe that's in direct conflict with what comes from the lord.

This should have been in agreement with what we classify as the Canons of Christianity, in the inspired written word of God in both the Old and Hew Testament. I could not say on all catagories, but there seems to be, in certain territories, there seems to be conflict with certain issues which I would say would be scriptural in our personal contact toward our brother and toward other people.

So for me to say, does he or doesn't he hear from God, I can't go into his spirit. You know, all I can do is, in a sense, judge his actions to perceive what the Lord said or bid not say.

G So, tell us about Mr. Wilfred's actions based upon these, "Good communications," that he gets.

A Well, I think the Court is probably more aware than :

am of the multiplicity of lawsuits over different things that

seem to be surrounding this situation and others.

The scriptures gives us very strong teachings on that. As Christians, what we believe is that it's not a totally proper action for one Christian brother to be taking another Christian brother to court because of the damages it would produce to the Kingdom beyond that.

Again, I would just say, my observations were that the communications seemed aligned one day, and then would not be on other days, it would go the other way. You are supposed to find an agreement.

1 Now, at the temporary orders hearing, you testified one of the major concerns at that hearing was visitation, 2 should it be supervised or not, and Mr. Wilfred had communicated to Mrs. Wilfred the day she left the family home that God told him he must take possession of Tyler. Do you 6 remember he got that communication? 7

Α Yes.

8

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ω He shared with you he had received that communication?

And I remember asking you, do you recall that if God told him to take possession of Tyler, that that would result in him removing this child from the state or leaving the state; do you recall?

Α Yes, I remember.

What was your testimony at that time?

My testimony at that time is that I felt that Mr. Wilfred with his commitment to the scripture would be subject to the principle of abiding by the laws of the land. Court directed him that he could not remove Tyler from a certain area of jurisdiction, that I did not feel that he would.

Do you still hold that same belief?

I will answer that in this way. In my opinion, I feel that Mr. Wilfred has come to a point where there does not appear to be anyone that has pastoral or any kind of counseling influence that can help him decipher at different points in time when he feels God is saying things to him and when he's not. I feel that if he felt strongly that God told him to do something with Tyler, that, again, if he felt it was the at the direction of the Lord, I believe his commitment to what he feels is the direction of the Lord, he would obey that commitment. That is the only way I can answer it, but for me to say what the man will do, I can't.

O So, I understand at this point, you don't believe his faith in the scriptures or his commitment to the church, so to speak, would be sufficient to make him a law abiding citizen, so to speak?

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

A Well, I will put it this way, that I feel that he feels that his life is subject to a higher authority and being subject to that higher authority; that I believe that he would be provided that authority above other authority.

THE COURT: Let me step you. Your church does not pelieve that way; is that correct?

A No. I teach our people that God has strictly
taught us to be people that abide by the laws of the land.

That doesn't mean that as a church, we agree with every law
that's in the land, but it does mean that if the speed limit is
that's in the land, but it does mean that if the speed limit is
that's in the land, but it does mean that if the speed limit is
an issues, we have to accept that our nation, our status,

```
our country set up certain laws. If we are Christians, we
 2
   abide by that, and that I believe that God has set things up to
 3
   where we can be Christians and be law abiding citizens
   especially in the United States of America.
 5
              THE COURT: I'm sorry to interrupt you. I have an
 6
   emergency phone call.
 7
              (Whereupon the Court took a brief recess from
 8
   11:25 a.m. to 11:31 a.m.)
 9
              (By Ms. Edinburg) Fastor Bagwell --
10
              Yes.
11
              During the time Mr. Wilfred was a member of your
12
   church, did he make any contributions financially to the
13
    church?
14
              Yes.
        Α
15
             And do you know the amount that he contributed to the
         Q
16
   Church?
17
              The Court informed me that I was going to be asked
        Α
18
   this, so I wouldn't have to speculate.
19
        G)
             Okay.
20
              In the year of 1989, he donated $4,869 from the first
21
    of 1989 through the third week of July 1989.
22
             Was one of those checks a $4,000 check in the month
        Q
23
   of January?
24
             How much?
25
             Was one check $4,000?
        Q
```

```
2
             In January?
 3
              In January.
        Q
              Was a second check in January for $2,000, the total
 5
   donation for the month of January 1989?
 6
             No. Ma'am, it was not.
        Α
 7
        Q
             Do you know what the total was for '88?
 8
             No. I -- I asked my office when it was told to me
   that this would be asked, but the '88 files were put away.
   They're easily accessible from my administrator, but there was
11
   hot any substantial donations in '88.
12
             Does your church ever receive anonymous donations?
13
             There are gifts with no name attached.
14
             Is that yes?
        Q
15
              I suppose that we have.
             Did you announce last year, 1989, to the congregation
16
17
   that the church had received $30,000 from an anonymous
18
   donation?
19
             Yes, I did. That donation did come in from
        Α
20
   Mr. Wilfred.
21
             How do you know that?
22
                       I found out who the anonymous donator was.
23
             Was there any donation that you've not traced to
        Q
24
   anybody?
25
                  Mr. Wilfred never made a donation of that
```

No. Ma'am. One check was for \$2,000.

1

A

magnitude.

- Q You're sure?
 - A I'm sure of that. I'm in court. I'm under cath.
 - O The Court has received in evidence an exhibit.
 MS. EDINBURG: If I may approach the witness.
 - Q (By Ms. Edinburg) I'll show you a copy. It's a letter written, I believe, to you. Do you remember receiving that?
- A Yes.
 - Q And who wrote the letter?
-]] A Mr. Wilfred.
 - Q And what was your response and reaction to this letter?
 - A I asked him to leave my office. He hand-delivered it to me and asked me to read it in his presence.
 - G Do you believe that these words in the letter are in fact, these messages that he gets from God? Do you believe that this is a valid communication that he's received?
 - A Well, in the letter, it said, "Unless you, the leadership, turn to me totally and completely and destroy your idols of pride and self-induced scriptural interpretation in order to justify your sin, I shall remove you from the leadership over the body within 30 days."

This was on the morning of November the 30th I
received this somewhere within a couple of days after that, and

so, if this thing, this communication was valid, I should be out of leadership in My church in 30 days. It also said that, "The entire leadership of the Word of Life shall see My judgment inflicted upon them just as I have done upon Thomas Jones for his deceitful and wicked deeds."

Then he instructed me to read the 24th Chapter of Etekiel. That was a very very strong prophecy, and concludes by, "Thus saith the Lord." I have to say under oath that as I read it, I have not responded to what he said, repent on any issue or change in your direction within our church or within our process with scriptural interpretations, and I'm alive. I'm still in leadership, and no one on my staff has received any type of what I would classify as any judgmental action.

O Thank you.

MS. EDINBURG: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

MS. DYCUS: Your Honor ---

THE COURT: I think Mr. Wilfred would go first. So
I will ask if he wishes to inquire of Pastor Bagwell.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor. I just think the record should reflect every time the Court addresses Mr. Wilfred, he remains silent. He's not participated for the record.

THE COURT: The record will reflect that.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

1 BY MS. DYCUS:

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Pastor Bagwell, were you subpoensed to come here 3 Hoday?

- Yes. Ma'am. I did not want to be involved in this.
- Did you have any concerns about testifying in this matter?
- Yeah, I'm concerned about testifying. I just --this thing has drug on for quite a while, and I just don't especially want to be a pingpong ball involved in this for lack of a better way of saying it.
- Pastor, there's a fine line between a person being very devoutly religious and a person having a psychiatric |oroblem?
 - Α Uh-hum.
- 15 Do you have any -- can you help the Court at all with (Q 16 Mhow to draw that line?
 - I think that being devoutly religious, I believe, comes into multiple territories. First, our lives become not just directed by God, or our lives do not just become directed by scripture on how it affects us personally, but also in how we can help and how we can love and how we can encourage and come to the aid and assistance of others.

Christianity, I believe, is a mixture of blessings, but it's a mixture of sacrifice, and it's a difficult thing. but again, you're dealing with what a person is feeling on the

I inside of them, what they are bearing. I usually draw my 2 conclusions from the overall stability that a person manifests Lin their relationships, business, personal involvment with society. I don't believe that Jesus Christ, in a relationship with him. makes us freaks. I believe it makes us unique. thin! it makes us deal with things differently.

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I just think that -- I'm not a psychiatrist. had counseling training. I am not a psychiatrist. but I have been advised by a pschiatrist that there is a very evident trait that appears at times when people are emotionally troubled, that is when everyone else is at fault for their problems. One side of it that wants or what they totally feel they are solely responsible for everybody's problems that's in their life. They say that's a very telltale sign of some bind of an emotional disorder.

Again, I'm not a psychiatrist. I have relied on that at many different times. I believe when anyone starts blaming everyone around them; that every relationship starts to deteriorate. There's a sign of some abnormal stress or some emotional upheaval.

- Do you have an opinion which side of the line Mr. Wilfred was on?
 - Let me put it this way. I feel he's on the line.
- 24 Okay. Finally, when we spoke yesterday, you 25 characterized Mr. Wilfred's pattern of communication with God.

Could you tell the Court about that?

A Harmon, for quite sometime, has kept a diary or a written account of his things that God has dealt with him about and spoke to him about, but whatever God lays on his heart, whether scriptural interpretations or business directions or so on and so forth, he always documents this and kept it in more or less of a log. I was not made privy to very much of that. I just know that everything that he felt God was speaking to him personally about the affairs of his life, he put it in written form, and I know that he has many many — has accumulated many many books or notebooks of these communications.

- Q Didn't you also say that the pattern of his communications fit his own convenience or were self-serving?
 - A My opinion was that, yes. That's my opinion.
- Q Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Edinburg?

MS. EDINBURG: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred?

(Whereupon, the Respondent gave no verbal response, nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: You may step down. Do you have any more witnesses you'd like to call out of order?

MS. EDINBURG: I have a very brief witness, and Mr. Campbell is prepared to stay all day.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MS, EDINBURG: I'd call Pat Bush. 3 PAT BUSH. was called as a witness to testify on bahalf of the Petitioner, 5 having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. EDINBURG: 8 Would you please state your name spelling your last name for the record. 10 Patricia Bush, B-u-s-h. 11 Q What is your occupation? 12 I'm a real estate developer. 13 Q And how are you currently employed? 14 I'm self-employed by a company named BBD Development Α 15 Company. 16 Q Mrs. Bush, do you know Mr. Wilfred? 17 I have met him in the past. 18 Were you employed by a restaurant known as Papacitos Q 19 in the Promenade Shopping Center? 20 That's correct. 21 That's how you got involved in Mr. Wilfred's case? G 22 Α That's correct. 23 Why were you involved, what did you do? 24 I was hired by Chuck Herrera (phonetic) who is the 25 president of Papacitos. The reason I was hired is he was not

obtaining his tenant finish monies from either Harmon Wilfred or the corporation, the Promenade LTD or the other various corporations that were brought up to Chuck Herrera during the course of Papacitos being finished out.

- Q And did you, in fact, investigate for Papacitos why Mr. Herrera did not receive the tenant finish money?
 - A I did.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

23

24

- Who was he supposed to receive it from?
- A It should have been received from the Promenade or Harmon as his attorneys so represented.
 - Q Was Mr. Wilfred, at that time, the majority managing partner, so to speak, of the Fromenade, Limited?
 - A That I don't know.
 - Q Okay. Have you, in fact, evidenced that at least on one occasion Mr. Wilfred did not provide Papacitos with a tenant finish?
- 77 A I did.
- 18 Q What was that?
 - A I have documentation of a wire transfer from City Federal to the Promenade in the sum of over \$73,000 in 1989, and I also have the check ledger showing a check cut to KCT Construction for that tenant finish money. Those monies were, in fact, not given, none of it to KCT or the restaurant in the Promenade, but that check, in fact, at a later date, was cashed for a much smaller amount of a thousand and some odd dollars.

THE COURT: Was the \$73,000 cut for \$1,000?

A The ledger supposedly said it was written to KCT for the tenant finish, and I believe the evidence will show that that check was later cashed in November of 1989 and written for a sum of just a thousand dollars.

- Q (By Ms. Edinburg) Let me see if I can help. 1 m
 going to hand you what's been marked as Petitioner's Exhilt:

 1. Can you identify this document?
- A Yes. This is a check ledger which I would like to protect the source of this information because I have had a meeting with Leo Weiss, who is the trustee on behalf of the Promenade and City Federal attorneys, because of some death threats not only to me but my client. But this check ledger was documented by my investigation as purporting to be reported to the bankruptcy court as to the checks that were supposedly written.
 - Q So, that's the \$73,000 check?
- A Check No. 1002 dated 4/4/89 was written to KCT Inc., for Papacitos in the amount of \$73,286.65. That check was never received by the KCT Corporation, and I do have with me their complete banking filed; nor did Chuck Herrera or any other person of Papacitos or KCT receive that tenant finish money, and this dollar figure is reflected by the exact wire transfer from City Federal to the Promenade.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, I would offer

2 MS. DYCUS: No objection. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred? 4 (Whereupon, Respondent gave no response to the 5 Court.) 6 THE COURT: Exhibit 1 will be received. Mr. Wilfred 7 does not answer. 8 (Petitioner's Exhibit 1 was admitted into evidence.) 9 MS. EDINBURG: Thank you. 10 (By Ms. Edinburg) Ms. Bush, I've handed you what's 11 been marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 2. Can you identify that 12 document? 13 Yes. It's a check off the First Bank checking 14 account. No. 1002, dated 4/19/89. It was paid to the order of 15 KCT Inc., in the amount of just \$7,386.65, not the \$73,000. 16 e e And that check shows that it was endorced by KCT. 17 does it not? 18 A I would have to check it. It shows that it was 19 endorced, but this check was never received by KCT. 20 You're sure of that? 21 I can check the account number just quickly, if you 22 want. I have them. 23 Ω Okay. Maybe you can do that. 24 Okay. KCT received on April 5th a check for 25 \$7,386.65, but they did not receive \$73,000.

Petitioner's Exhibit 1 into evidence.

1

1	Q	Okay. In the amount of tenant finish was for	
2	\$73,000?		
3	A	That's correct.	
4	Œ	That was \$7,300	
5	Ĥ	Eighty six dollars and sixty five cents.	
6		MS. EDINGURG: Your Honor, I'd offer Exhibit 2 which	
. 7	is the check.		
8		THE COURT: There's another check for \$7,271 on this	
9	ledger.		
10		MS. EDINBURG: I know. It's really a problem.	
11	Q	(By Ms. Edinburg) In the course of your investiga-	
12	tion, have	e you found monies that were not paid by Mr. Wilfred	
13	on behalf	of Promenade to other tenants or Papacitos?	
14	A	I've been involved in the bankruptch proceeding just	
15	on the Fr:	omenade issue, and five attended, I believe, all $z ilde r$	
16	the hosein	ngs. And he did not list a majority of creditors 🖘	
17	well as he	e did not disclose to City Federal all of the rents	
18	that were	collected.	
19	a	He being Mr. Wilfred?	
20	A	And Promenade, correct.	
21	Q	And do you have an estimate of what the amount of	
22	rents tha	t were being collected that were not recorded totall:	
23	A	No. Again, it was only a guesstimate because in ac-	
24	dealing w:	ith City Federal, I've learned that the loan had been	

written on two if not three occasions, and I have not, to this

7

8 9 10

11 12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

date, been able to obtain those loan workouts to find out how much rent should have been coming in versus how much rent should have been given to City Federal, but I can say, posit-petion, Harmon and his attorneys, when they did turn over all the records to the court, did not record all the tenants that were currently at the Promenade at that time.

- Ω Do you have an estimate based on -- how many hours? have you spent in terms of your time working on this whole problem on behalf of Papacitos and City Federal? How many hours have you estimated that you've been involved?
 - Thousand.
- Do you have an estimate you can provide to the Court of what you think Mr. Wilfred has taken or absconded with or unaccounted for?
- As a structural engineer and having had worked for the FBI, in just the department in lender cases, it s in my best estimation that could be upwards of two to seven million that is unaccountable because it was put into property.
- When you say you based it as a structural engineer. can you tell the Court briefly why you're saying that?
- Your Honor, my expertise in working with not only the FBI itself, but just the department, I'm capable of going into files of lenders showing that they've been lent "X" amount of money on a project, and I also know how much it costs to build. And given I've been working for the Attorney's General

Office in Texas which have led to indictments of lenders, I a 1 still currently working with the Justice Department on various 2 cases, and hope to pursue an active career in that. 3 MS. EDINBURG: I have nothing further of Ms. Each. THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred, do you wish to cross-5 examine? 6 (Whereupon, the Respondent did not respond, nor such 7 he look at the Court when being addressed.) 8 MS. DYCUS: I have no questions, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: You may step down. 10 Thank you. MS. EDINBURG: Should we resume with Mr. Campbell? 11 I'm going to ask one of my witnesses if he can come back this 12 afternoon. 13 (Whereupon, Mr. Dave Campbell resumed the withdes 14 stand.) 15 THE COURT: Mr. Campbell, you're still under cath. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 17 BY MS. DYCUS: 18 Mr. Campbell, in all your observations of ũ 19 Mr. Wilfred, is there any pattern that you're concerned about 20 in terms of future visitation with Tyler? 21 I have a lot of concerns about visitation. 22 briefly, given my personal experience of Mr. Wilfred, the 23

feeling of persecution that he's discussed, he has an

25

evasiveness; the fact that he's lied to me on a couple σ^*

occasions, I've been in his presence when he's lied to other 2 speople, the spiritual condition is what I perceive to be manic behavior and a strong need to have control over people's 4 benavior, hints of suppressed rage that I see in his discipline of the child; his lack of emotional support or structure; feeling of omnipotence; his difficulty to maintain relationships with other Christians; his relationship with his 8 |church: relationship with his business partners, considering 9 his poor understanding of cause and effect in his relationship 10 with Tyler; lack of recognition on his part that he frequently N isets up situations in which Tyler will rebel and has to punish Tyler for rebelling; the fact that he's emotionally manipulative; seems to be vindictive in his pursuit of his 14 wife; the fact he lacks insight -- significantly lacks insight 15 in the course of discussing problems, many of the things I 16 have mentioned, to me, with Harmon, I've discussed -- I've mentioned to him and suggested to him the problems. 17 discussed the whole issue of false prophecy and the laws, his lattitude toward Sandy, etcetera.

I've suggested to him these problems and these
factors in his life, and he has repeatedly failed to admit of
recognize any problems. He has told me outright, "I'm
religious. I'm religious. I see no problem. I have no
problem." That has been the typical attitude on his part; his
failure, downright absolute refusal to recognize that there is

anything in his behavior or in his attitude that is potentially troublesome.

There's been a certain unwillingness to face reality. For example, he firmly believes that his wife was pursuing or maintaining the supervised visitation to punish him; that she could stop that at any time; that she had the free will to release him from supervised visitation. I told him in our conversation, I did not think that was correct.

Following that visit, I called the Guardian ad Litem's office to check that out. She said to me very definitely that Mrs. Wilfred could not drop supervised visitation; that at this stage, that was not in her power. I went back to Harmon and told him that. He just pretty much refused to believe it, which I found pretty amazing.

There's been a point in time where Harmon has said 's me on no uncertain terms, he feels he's being persecuted or crucified as if he were a religiou martyr. I tried to point out to him there was a significant difference between religious persecution and legal prosecution, and I didn't see any evidence of him being persecuted or crucified. And again, there was an unusual failure in Harmon to see or acknowledge ...

Most of these feelings of persecution and lying and identity confusion. I've discussed with him to one degree of another over the course of the past year. And it's come up in

stand.)

other converstaions where I've suggested on at least two occasions, "Harmon, you might want to consider getting some counseling, some psychological counseling," and he said he really has no problem. He sees no difficulties.

have to say we got along. He's extremely outgoing, particularly when it's in his interest. I think basically he can be a good human being if he turns his energy in that direction. He does not seem to have an interest in doing that at the moment.

I think one of the things to me, the strongest evidence of a problem, is the false prophecy. I mentioned to him, specifically that might be evident to him the fact that his prophecy proved to be false, that might be evident there was some sort of problem. He flat out refused to accept that.

THE COURT: We're going to have to quit. I have to get to a meeting and it's noon. We'll reconvene this matter at 2 o'clock.

(Whereupon, a lunch recess as taken at 12 p.m.)

THE COURT: We're back on the record with Wilfred.

Ms. Dycus, you can recall David Campbell.

(Whereupon, Mr. David Campbell resumed the witness

THE COURT: You're still under oath, sir.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

MBY MS. DYCUS:

Q Mr. Wilfred, when whe left off, you had listed some of your concerns about continuing visitation with Mr. Wilfed and Tyler, and one of thee was a hint of supressed rage. Could you describe that for the Court, if you would.

A It's in the course of disciplining Tyler, I've seen Harmon get real worked up on a couple of occasions. The intensity seems to be far greater than the situation dealing with a 19 year old.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have children?

A I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Do you have children?

A Unfortunately, no. 'I recognize that they can be very exasperating in their behavior. Tyler's discipline has always been perfectly acceptable. I've not seen anything overboard in any way either verbal or physical. But there's a sense of so much — whatever you'd like to call it — anger, rage that seems to have been behind it on a couple of occasions, but it caught my attention.

Q You also mentioned lack of emotional or emotional
support structure. Would you describe that more fully,
lolease.

A As far as I can tell, I'm not in position to deferming that. He has no close friends or contacts. The year that I'm been there, there's just been minimal contact with other people

1 other than that of his business associates, two successive
2 secretaries, and some casual acquaintenances with other family
3 and an occasional phone call.

THE COURT: Where are his parents?

- A I believe they're in Ohio, but I'm not sure of that.

 That concerns me. I hate to see a guy who is having some significant emotional problems without any kind of support structure. He's been cut off by fellow Christians, his church, by his family, and by his wife; apparently by numerous business associates, and it seems he's becoming very isolated.
- Q (By Ms. Dycus) Mr. Campbell, you also recommended before lunch that there be continued supervised visitation by an adult. Does that can that be any adult, or does the adult need some qualifications?
- A I would be concerned if it were if the supervised visits were supervised by anybody other than a professional in some relatively secured surrounding given the fact that Harmon's behavior is somewhat unpredictable at times. There's a hint of rage.
- (R) Now, had you had an opportunity to meet with Mr. Wilfred just a few times, do you think you would have seen all of the things you've testified about today?
- A No, I don't, because Harmon, on the surface, is a very nice human being. He comes across very credible. He is very intelligent, very competent, very well-spoken. He goes

out of his way to be very good natured. I said it before, and I'll say it again, he has a potential for becoming an extremely nice human being, but the potential is there, I think, I'm saddened to say, it's not actualized, not actively.

Q Mr. Campbell, you've expressed a number of opinions today. Do you hold all of the opinions that you'ved expressed to a reasonable degree of professional certainty in your area of expertise?

A Yes.

Q One final area, I subpoensed you here today, did I not?

A Yes, you did.

Q Was there any agreement concerned payment for your expert testimony?

A No, there was not.

Q Would you like the Court to consider entering an order to compensate you for your time?

A That would be pleasant, yes.

Q What do you feel is a reasonable hourly rate?

A In the past, I've charged \$35 an hour for private counseling. I currently charge \$25 for editing and free language writing. I was thinking \$30 would be reasonable.

Q And can you tell the Judge exactly how long you've spent both preparing for your testimony and testifying here today?

1	A	I'd say approximately 19 hours.
2	ฉ	I'm sorry?
3	A	Approximately 19 hours.
4		MS. DYCUS: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: Ms. Edinburg?
6		MS. EDINBURG: Thank you.
7		· CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. EDINBURG:	
9	Q	Mr. Campbell, when was the first time that you and
10	I met?	
11	A	Yesterday.
12	a	Did I ever speak with you on the phone or prepare
13	your exa	mination in any way in this case?
14	A	No, you did not.
15	Q	You're not hired by Mrs. Wilfred; is that correct?
16	A	That's correct. I was hired by Harmon.
17	g	Okay. Let me ask you, first of all, what is your
18	impressi	on regarding Mrs. Wilfred's fitness as a mother with
19	Tyler?	
20	A	I'd say she's extremely fit to be a mother.
21	Q	Have you in any observations, in any of the visita-
22	tions or	in any communication with her, found her to be, in
23	any way,	detrimental to Tyler's best interest?
24	A	No, not in the slighest, not even any hint.
25	ធ	I'm sorry?

- Not even any hint of it. 1 Has Mr. Wilfred told you Mrs. Wilfred had bornible 2 parenting skills, and that's why he feels be has in proceed with his custody battle? 5 I don't clearly recall anything. Has he explained to you that he feels he must 6 7 continue this custody battle, bring Tyler home, so to speak, as 8 he calls it? I don't recall that he said anything specifically 10 along those lines one way or the other. 11 Has he ever said he believes if he's awarded custody of Tyler, that Sandy will return, and they will again be a 12 13 family? He hasn't said anything to that effect. 14 15 Do you believe that that may be some of his Q 16 motivations? 17 I think it would be conjectural. Did he ever tell you that he was told by God that 18 he was to take possession of Tyler last February, the day Mrs. 19 20 Wilfred left? 21 No, not specifically. 22 What did he tell you?
 - A I don't remember any exact wording. It was along the Jines that the voices, the Spirit had told him that he would have custody of his son. He was going to get custody of

23

24

25

1 Tyler. 2 Had he ever told you he'll stop at nothing until 3 Tyler is with him? 6.4 I we heard that by hearsay. I have not heard it 5 directly. 6 Ø You have ---7 I have not heard it directly, no. 8 I'd like to spend a little bit of time talking Q 9 about this photographing in the bathroom from Mr. Wilfred. 10 Mr. Wilfred and Tyler take baths together; is that right? 11 That was true in the first few months of 12 visitation, yes. 13 Q Was Mr. Wilfred dressed? Did he have any bathing 14 suit on, or was he naked? 15 He was wearing a swimming suit -- excuse me, 16 swimming trunks on for my benefit, I believe. 17 Q For your benefit. Had you not ---18 Which I thought was --19 Q --- been there ---20 -- which I thought was polite. 21 Had you not been present, he probably would not have 22 had swimming trunks. Did you think this was unusual or strange 23 taking baths with his son? 24 No. I did not.

Now, how frequently were these baths?

25

Q

```
1
        Α
             Every visit. I gather that privilege was requested
2
  by him of Sandy.
3
             Do you think that this behavior seemed unusual or
        G)
   inappropriate to you?
5
             Unusual, yes. Most of the guys I know would not
6
   be at all interest in giving a kid a bath.
7
             Even if they were not clean?
        C)
8
             I thought it was a bit unusual to want to have some
   of the photographs taken.
             Now, you also mentioned, I believe on ---
10
        Q
11
             THE COURT: All the photographs were of them
12
  bathing?
13
             No. by no means. I took quite a few pictures at
14
  Harmon's request particularly during the early portion of
  our year-long stint of visitation, and they would have been a
15
  wide variety of circumstances.
17
             You mentioned that Tyler is potty trained?
18
             Yes.
19
        Q
             And Marmon had unusual interest in that.
20
  blaborate, please.
21
             Again, most of the guys I know would tend to steem
22
  clear of that and handle it with minimal interest. Harmon has
  asked me to ask Sandy there was anything in particular he could
23
  to in regard to that. If I recall correctly, it had to do
  with whether he needed to be molded in any particular male
```

- behavior for Tyler's education, etcetera. 1 2 (3) Have you ever heard him refer to Tyler's penis as 3 a binky? No, I haven't. Α 5 In terms of the Mr. Clown incident, I'm not 100 percent clear. I understand that Mr. Clown is this big toy 7 that Harmon had bought Tyler; is that right? 8 A Mr. Clown is a large stuffed clown doll. Is this something that Harmon bought Tyler? 9 10 Yes, and it's a toy. For a number of months, it was a particular favorite of Tyler's and they played with 11 Mr. Clown quite a lot. 13 Q And the toy was near the fire, and the toy got 14 hot, is that what happened? 15 Yes. Α 16 What did Harmon tell Tyler to do? Ω 17 Harmon asked Tyler to place his hand on the doll's forehead and speak the word of Jesus, and the doll would be 19 healed. 20 Q And did Tyler do that?
- 21 A I don't know. I was around the corner out of sight

 22 at the time.
- 23 Q Were there ever any instances where Harmon would
 24 put Tyler's dinner in front of him and not allow Tyler to eat
 25 until he had prayed?

That concerned me.

1

Α

Q When you confronted Mr. Wilfred about some of your observations about a month or two ago, he stated to you that he was religious. He had no ability to understand what he was doing, what the effect of his behavior was. Did he ever say anything like, "You're covered in the blood of the lamb?" Do you recall that?

A Not offhand, I don't. It wouldn't be unusual given his understanding of the his theology. It wouldn't be an outrageous statement. I don't recall him using that particular phrase directly.

- Q What about a statement, "Jesus takes all of his sins?"
 - A He said words to that effect, yes.
 - Q What did that mean?
- A Well, to Harmon, as he has said to me, it means he is totally righteous. He is free of any stains for his misbehavior, etcetera.
- @ Were you aware Tyler was having some pretty significant nightmares during the period of time after the visitation?
- A Yes, I was. There was a period of time where he had apparently woke. There had been -- I'm forgetting what the issue was that sparked the tension between Harmon and Tyler, but there was a period where Harmon had to enforce some fairly strong discipline. It was not a situation, as I recall,

I've been in Tyler's presence on a number of occasions at the pickup with the visitation or where he has "" where his mom has been getting him ready at the day care center, and he said, "No, daddy, take toy. Ho more, daddy. Stay with mommy." That sort of think has happened both at the day care, and it's happened more frequently at Sandy's house.

Q Okay.

20.

- A He just doesn't want to yo.
- Q He didn't want to go?
- A He didn't want to go.
- Q Let me ask you this question. Do you believe it's appropriate to continue to force a child to visit with someone who is so intense with him and so overbearing, so to speak, over controlling or intensive to a child's needs, do you believe that continued visitation is in Tyler's best interest?
- A That's a hard one to call. I see a lot of factors both ways. If it were my child, I have the power of the situation I wouldn't want it to occur.
 - Q You would not?
 - A No.
 - Q Do you think, given your expertise in a variety of

different areas that the behavior that you've described today about Harmon's relationship with Tyler, that Tyler's emotional development could be significantly impaired by continued visitation?

A Entirely possible, yes. I can readily see a stage where as a result of Harmon being so much in control over situations in covert ways, there would come a time sooner rather than later where there was a significant blowup between Tyler and Harmon. Tyler is a fairly strong-willed child and has a mind of his own, I can certainly imagine a scenario in his teen years where he would just say, "No, way," which he's already doing.

Q He's already saying, "No." What about Tyler's physical health, what about if Harmon lost control of some of this suppressed rage, do you think that Tyler could be physically endangered?

A Certainly it's conceivable. But again, I've seen no evidence of that. He has certainly not abused the child in my presence. There was that hint of rage in my presence.

Q Do you believe, based again upon your understanding of Mr. Wilfred, the scriptures and his religious belief, if he got a message from God or whomever he gets messages from, to take Tyer, he would do that?

A I believe if the voices told him to take Tyler, he would do his best.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

- Are you familiar with a woman named Phylis Lambert? C)
- Yes. Α
- And was she present at the earlier visitations last year?
- Yes, on a number of occasions, I gather, she was employed then as Mr. Wilfred's secretary.
- Would Mrs. Lambort send food home with you in Ø bring food for Tyler to eat?
 - Yes, both. e,
 - Was there anything unusual about that or concerning? Ω
- I thought it was unusual she was bringing me food before she even met me. My wife and I have, when I discussed the scenario of her giving me food, just kind of looked at one another and immediately poured the bottle of beverage down the ${\bf t}^{\rm the}$ sink. Neither my wife consider ourselves paranoid.
- Were you aware that Mrs. Lambert has told another G! witness, who will testify here today, she almost poisoned her awn children?
- I've heard that. I believe it was after of Α these earlier incidents that I mentioned. Food seems to be a big issue in those earlier months. What was currous to me has why Phylis would bring gifts of food, hanging around to prepare food, pressing food on me.

THE COURT: What kind of food?

Moddle dishes, a bottle of Sherry that she brought

down from the mountains at various times. It always seemed to be a big deal. I told them at the beginning that I wouldn't eat dinner with him. I preferred to eat my meals at home with my ware. Meal time is important to us. He continued to press the issue. He would bring it up perhaps two or three times out of politeness, but it went for weeks which was constant pressue on me to sit down and eat with him.

I went through a period where Harmon became miffed a lot. I was pressed to take muffins home since I wouldn't eat them on the spot.

Q (By Ms. Edinburg) Were you ever aware that Tyler got sick after some of the visits, physically sick?

A There was one incident of that, but as I recall, Sandy and I both kind of felt that would hard to relate it.

Q Was there ever an incident where visitation had to be cancelled, and Mr. Wilfred indicated to you that he was so enraged that he put a hole in the wall?

A It just could have been in a manner of speaking.

He mentioned that he had been so angered by a visit that

Sandy had cancelled, that I shouldn't be suprised the next time

I came over that he had kicked some holes in the wall.

- Q Did you ever see holes in the wall?
- A No, I didn't. I assume that was just a figure of speech.
 - Q Mr. Wilfred has maintained literally that Sandy

stripped the house and took everything when she moved out February 28th. When you were first in the home, I believe it was in June?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Would you say that this was a barren home that was stripped with nothing for Mr. Wilfred to sleep on or have any furniture?

A No. it was not stripped. The living room wasn't empty. All the other rooms on the ground floor seemed to have plenty of furniture. The bedroom was certainly adequately supplied. As far as the living room and kitchen, of course, I have no way of knowing how much furniture they had before the separation.

Q There was a family room full of furniture, and then a living room full of furniture?

A Yes.

MS. EDINBURG: May I have just a moment? Your Honor, I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred, it's now your turn to cross-examine this witness. (Pause) I take it from your silence, you do not wish to cross-examine the witness.

(Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response. nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: Ms. Dyous?

MS. DYCUS: Your Honor, I just have a couple of

```
1
   bankruptcy and yet was detailing his automobile, having his
   car cleaned weekly. Was there a dinner where he took
 3
   someeone to the Chateau Pyrenees in a limousine?
 4
        Δ
              One time, I'm aware when he took the tesnagad
 5
   daughter of his secretary to dinner.
 6
        Ω
              To dinner in a limousine?
 7
             Yes.
 8
             Did he brag to you about this?
 9
             Yes.
10
        Ω
             He has a private gardener that does landscaping
11
   and works around the house?
12
              Yes.
                    I understood that he has his lawn regularly
13
   maintained by a professional as well.
14
        Œ)
              Thank you. Would he also buy Tyler expensive to,:
15
   and other things?
16
             Not a large number of toys, but the toys he has
        A
17
   bought, he made a point to make sure they were in top quality.
18
             MS. EDIMBURG:
                             I have nothing else. Thank you.
19
             THE COURT: Anything fuufrther?
20
             MS. DYCUS: I have nothing further
21
             THE COURT: You may step down. You may be excused.
22
   Ms. Edinburg?
23
             MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, I'd call Wayne Cravin
24
   to the stand, please.
```

WAYNE CRAVIN,

```
was called as a witness on behalf of the Petitioner, having
 1
    first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
 3
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MS. EDINBURG:
              Would you please state your name and spell your last
 5
         Q
    name for the record, please.
 6
 7
         Α
              It's Wayne Cravin, C-r-a-v-i-n.
         Q
 8
              What is your occupation?
 9
              I'm a real estate broker.
10
              How long have you been so employed?
         Q
11
         Α
              Approximately 18 years.
12
         Q
              And do you know Mr. Wilfred?
13
              How do I know him?
         Α
14
         Q
              Do you know him?
15
         A
              Yes, I do.
16
         Q
              How are you familiar with him?
17
              I was associated with him for approximately ten
         А
18
   months, I guess the better part of '89.
19
         Q
              And what was the entity that you worked with him
20
   in?
21
              I was the corporate broker, and he was a licensed
22
   associate.
                It was involved in leasing a shopping center
23
   known as the Promenade and Regatta.
24
        Q
              Was it the Westmarc?
25
             That's correct.
```

- That's the entity that the two of you worked Q 1 together? 2 Α That's correct. 3 Were you partners in that? Q 4 No, not really. I strictly did my own brokers 5 transactions, and he needed a broker in order to maintain a 6 marketing relationship with City Federal. 7 Were you aware that Mr. and Mrs. Wilfred had Q 8 separated in early 1989? 9 Yes, I was. 10 What did Mr. Wilfred tell you about Sandy in terms 11 of paying her for child support or alimony when she first 12 left? 13 I initially was informed that they had separated 14 which was following a trip to Las Vegas. That was towards 15 the end of March, and it was after that, he indicated that 16 Sandy had moved out, and he did indicate to me she was dating 17 other people, and that he had her investigated --- by having her 18 investigated, and that it was later that he mentioned that he 19 was -- that he was taking very good care of her as far as child 20
 - Q Was this before June 15th?
 - A I'm sure it was, yes.

support for Tyler.

21

22

23

24 G Okay. What happened when you decided to move 25 Westmarc Brokerage? A Well, what precipitated that was the situation in the office became sort of intolerable. I found that I was spending less and less time around. There were things going on, behind closed door activites. I had responsibility to my wie and five children. I was concerned about what may be happening, and then over a weekend, I can't recall the date, but over a weekend, Harmon chose to move the office out of the office building that we were in and which was a financial plaza, over to the shopping center. And that was literally over a Friday and Saturday move.

. 7

what concerned me is that Harmon had purchased or -excuse me, intered into a lease contract under my brokerage as
a salesman working for me essentially collecting commissions
that I had, he did not participate in whatsoever. I felt that
as a broker, I was exposed with quite a bit of liability.

At that point, I had to make some other arrangements outside listing, and the property I was dealing in under the corporate name of Westmarc as the broker, and as president of that coporation, I was responsible to the other participants that were involved in the corporation.

They agreed to turn their interest over to me and allow me to make whatever decisions I had to, which is what I did. I moved out of the office and moved my broker's license and operated independently.

Q Do you know how much money Mr. Wilfred would have

gotten under your commissions, any idea of money he could have taken that was not taken? Under the only transaction that I was aware was that 3 lease, and I think that was sixteen or eighteen thousand. 5 Q Which lease? 6 That was the lease on the office which was in the 7 financial plaza building. Now, after they separated? 8 Q 9 You know, I don't know when these funds were generated, so it could have been, I'm not really sure when 10 11 those monies were funded. 12 Did Sandy Wilfred ever receive any money from a CS 13 lease? 14 No. She never received any compensation from me 15 as a broker. If she did at any time under Westmarc, it had to 16 be when Harmon was involved. 17 Was Mrs. Wilfred a signer on the account for Q 18 Westmarc? 19 No, she was not. I was the only one. Α 20. And were you and Mrs. Wilfred in regular contact Q 21 after June 27, 1989? 22 No. We haven't been since actually --23 You were subpoenaed to testify here today? Q 24 That's correct. Α

Has Mr. Wilfred swed you?

25

Q

A You know, I'm not sure whether I was in the suit or not. I had asked to give testimony in reference to a transaction. I assume that I was. Let's see, I heard from two different people that I was being pursued by Mr. Wilfred or his attorney, and I was asked to give testimony. I called Mr. Rosania (phonetic). I agreed to meet with him on a given date and provide testimony giving the separation of Westmarc.

Q Are you aware there was a lawsuit where Mr. Wilfred was suing you and Westmarc Brokers?

A You know, I just learned about this -- found out that's what it was. I don't know, I thought I was giving testmony. I had thought it had to do with the bankruptcy, and then Mr. Wilfred had taken it on himself to serve for creditors that he felt owed money to the bankruptcy court which I thought that a little funny. I agreed to go ahead and answer any questions which is what I did.

- Q You had a deposition taken?

- Q With Mr. Rosania?
- 20 A In the presence of Mr. Wilfred.
 - Q Did Mr. Wilfred learn anything, or was it mostly harassment?

A I really don't know. I agreed to go ahead and spend some of my time answering the questions which I did. I asked him at the meeting if all of this was accomplishing

questions from cross.

BY MS. DYCUS:

Mr. Campbell, you sort of acted as an intermediary between Mr. and Mrs. Wilfred in arranging the visit times and that sort of thing, did you not?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

A That's true, yes.

Q In your contact with Mrs. Wilfred, did you ever hear her saying anything or see any behavior at all which could be interpreted as being her using the child for leverage against Mr. Wilfred?

A Not in the slightest, no.

Q Do you feel that she had Tyler's best interest as her first concern?

A I really do. She has been more than willing to adjust visits for Harmon's schedule. She was willing to let him increase visits earlier. In the visitation process, she has been quite considerate about the whole arrangement.

MS. DYCUS: I have nothing further.

MS. EDINBURG: I have two just very brief.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. EDINBURG:

Q Mr. Campbell, I neglected to ask you this. You've mentioned in direct, you thought this was a man, who at one point was saying this was a poverty situation. He filed

A Uh--hum-

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q What was an average amount of commission for you?
- A Commission, ten thousand would be a reasonable transaction.
 - Q Where you're writing a lease?
 - A Uh-hum.
- Q Was Mr. Wilfred a capable real estate salesman in that area?

A I have heard that he was capable. I've heard of some substantial transaction that may put him in a position to get involved in ownership in some shopping centers, marketing endeavors, what his commissioned earnings are during this marketing period of time which would put him in a position

financially to branch out and get into some development.

When you say significant, what is that?

- A He told me a quarter of a million dollars commission on one given transaction. I could be wrong. He told me it was unit capability.
 - Q On one transaction, he could make \$250,000?
 - A He made a quarter of a million dollars.
- Q How long does it normally take to close a commercial real estate lease?
- A It can vary. On the average transaction, say two thousand foot space or five thousand foot space, let's say 60 to 90 days would be normal.

If it was a larger base, quarter to a million dollar range, six months to a year, but the shorter transactions, one that generates ten to twenty to thirty thousand dollars can be accomplished in 90 days.

- Q Do you believe Mr. Wilfred has the ability and with wherewithal and the skills to work with leasing clients during a short term period?
 - A Definitely, I'm sure.

THE COURT: What's the market now?

A It's picking up. It's a little bit better. If you don't have time in the business and have business contacts, then I'm sure it would be difficult to anyone new getting into the field, but the market is picking up. The retail activity

is solid for office space, and as I understand it, it's been consistent, but it hasn't turned around 100 percent. 3 (By Ms. Edinburg) Has Mr. Wilfred ever told you 4 Ithat he was going to sue anyone? 5 Yeah. I forget some of the people's names. There was one, Laura Helker (phonetic). He indicated he was going to 7 sue her for divulging some information to Sandy. There was an accountant that he mentioned he was going to sue, and I really can't recall who else. 10 G) Mr. Cravin. I'm going to hand you what's been 11 marked now as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3 and ask if you've 12 ever seen this document before? 13 Yes, I have. 14 What is that? Q 15 It is Harmon Wilfred, Westmarc Commercial Brokerage, 16 Wayne Cravin, Sandra Wilred, and --17 Is that a lawsuit, in fact, being filed by Mr. Q 18 Wilfred personally? 19 Again, the testimony I gave, it was with Rosania, the Α previous bankruptcy trustee. It seems like I thought he was 21 representing him in the bankruptcy, not in an actual lawsuit. 22 Have you ever been personally served with those 23 papers? 24

I really don't recall. Perhaps I was.

Okay. Thank you.

Α

Q

```
2
    into evidence.
 3
              MS. DYCUS:
                         No objection.
 4
              THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred. (Pause) Mr. Wilfred.
 5
   [I] I'm going to assume since you don't answer anything I'\veee
 6
    asked you, that you have no objection.
 7
              (Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response to
 8
    the Court, nor did he look at the Court when being addressed ::
 9
              MS. EDINBURG: I have no further questions of this
10
   witness.
11
              THE COURT: Three will be received.
12
              (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3 was admitted into
13
   (evidence.)
14
              THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred, cross-examination?
15
              (Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response to
16
    the Court, nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)
17
              THE COURT: Ms. Dycus, cross-examination?
18
              MS. DYCUS: I have no questions, Your Hopon.
19
              THE COURT: You may step down.
20
              MS. EDINBURG: Thank you very much. Your Honor,
21
    I'd call Mr. Tom Jones next to the stand.
22
                             THOMAS JONES,
23
   was called as a witness to testify on behalf of the
24
   Petitioner, having first been duly sworn, testified as
25
   follows:
```

MS. EDINBURG: I would offer Petitioner's Exhibit 3

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2	BY MS. EDINBURG:		
3		G)	Would you please statement your name and spell your
4	last	name	for the record.
5		A	Thomas Jones, J-o-n-e-s.
6		Q	What is your occupation?
7		A	I'm a day care owner working in real estate part
8	time.	-	
9		Q	What day care do you own?
10		A	Regatta Freschool and Depot Hills Academy.
11		Q	Where is Regatta Day Care Center?
12		A	12300 East Cornell, Aurora, Colorado.
13		Q	And is that in the Regatta Shopping Center that was
14	form	erly (owned by Mr. Wilfred?
15		A	Yes.
16		Q	Who are the partners in the Regatta Day Care Center?
17		A	In the Regatta Preschool.
18		Q	I'm sorry.
19		A	My wife.
20		Q	But there is a day care center?
21		A	There was an infant center.
22		Q	And what happened to that?
23		A	We shut that corporation down.
24		a	Who were your partners in that corporation?
25		A	Harmon Wilfred, Sandy Wilfred.

1 How long have you known Mr. Wilfred? Q 2 Approximately two and a half to three years. Α 3 When you first met Mr. Wilfred, what was his Q 4 religious beliefs or faith at that time? 5 Well, at that time, it was New Age. He was dealing with some people up in Colorado Springs, and these were 6 7 spiritualists, and I call them fortune tellers, and like I. 8 said, it was New Age. 9 And did Mr. Wilfred ever tell you anything about .10 the out-of-body experiences that he had? 11 On one occasion, he was supposed to have been Α 12 floating above his house. 13 He told you that? Q 14 Uh-hum. 15 Was he joking or was he serious? 16 I took him to be quite serious. When did Mr. Wilfred get involved in the Christian 17 Q 18 faith? 19 Well, at the time, I met him -- I'm a Christian, 20 and when I meet someone, I witness to them about my faith, and then we got acquainted, and I witnessed to him, and before you 21 22 knew it, he was a Christian, he said. 23 So, are you the business partner that, can we say, 24 was responsible for his movement into the Christian faith?

I guess you could say that, yes, uh-hum.

- 1 2
- 3
- _
- ╸.
- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q Do you have a close relationship with Mr. Wilfred?
- A Yes, uh-hum.
- Q Would you consider yourself not just a business partner, but also a close personal friend of his?
 - A Yes, a friend, yes, uh-hum.
- Q Now, were you aware of what happened after Mr. Wilfred took over, what you say is known as the Tower Group?
- A Well, once again, he took over the Tower Group.

 I think a lot of things I found out later was withheld

 from me by Mr. Wilfred. I feel lik going back to apologize

 to a lot of people that left the Tower Group at that time

 because of things Mr. Wilfred had told me in his own words.

 Later I found out it wasn't true.
 - Q Can you give us a for instance?
- A Well, he used to -- he made it look like everybody was against him, was after him, and taking advantage of him, and when I found out later, he was taking advantage of people. And, you know, I still see some of the people from the Tower Group over in the shopping center. I really feel sad, you know, that I left on that type of relationship thinking what he said was true, but he could make you believe he was a good sales person.
 - And how many people ended up leaving?
 - A Approximately 25.
 - Q And the Tower Group was the entity that was

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

responsible for managing the Promenade Shopping Center; is that right?

Yes.

Do you have that right -- during the time before Mrs. Wilfred left the home, was she an employee at some point in time for Mr. Wilfred?

- Yes.
- And was she fired by him?
- Yes.
- Q And do you know why he fired her?
- Because she wouldn't submit to him. I quess the arrangement at home had changed, and he said she wouldn't be a wife. She didn't sleep in the same bedroom, I guess, because she wouldn't be a slave.
 - C) Okay. Do you know a gentleman named Sam Watne?
 - Yes.
 - Who is he? Q
- He was a person that was working in the office Α I quess he was supposed to be a loan broker. And Sam was supposed to be the man that found million-dollar deals as a broker for these types of loans, which I never did see. And Sam, I guess, called himself a preacher or something and confessed to be a Christian. He just kind of stayed around, and it was like a parasite to me.
 - And did Mr. Wilfred do business with Mr. Watne?

- A They called it business. I don't know what it was.
- Q. Was there then a point in time where that relation ship was terminated or ended?
 - A Yes.
- Q Was there anything significant about Mr. Wilfred's reaction to break it off with Mr. Watne?

A Yes. This is another incident where Mr. Wilfred created a big scene, a big incident like Sam hit a big multi-million dollar deal, and Sam was hiding it. He just blew it out of proportion once he confronted Sam, and went through all of Sam's personal belongings. Sam got angry, and Sam told Harmon he was going to get him one day.

At that point, Harmon took that as Sam was going to really threaten his life. We had an ex-FBI agent as a body guard, and other body guards walking through the office, and people being escorted down the elevator and to their cars.

Harmon made himself believe that Sam was involved in the motia or something. He found some names, and the next thing I knew, Harmon was driving Sandy over to her house because he was afraid to stay at home thinking someone was going to kill him. And the next night, Harmon went to his combouse with a body guard with a gun sleeping on his family room sofa.

(i) Was there any evidence that Mr. Wilfred's reaction to this whole event was appropriate?

A No. He just blew it up. It wasn't appropriate, no.

Q Now, in terms of business transactions and the relationships, you were in the office with Mr. Wilfred at Westmarc?

A Yes.

Q And was there some religious practices that he wanted you to be involved in or engaged in, or the people in the office would have to do?

A Well, he want to institute that we start off every day with prayer and have forced bible studies, which I didn't agree with, and plus, he wanted me to listen to all his talk that he said God was speaking to him every morning. He wanted to call me in and talk to me every morning. I can't take that. I'm a Christian, but I'm my own man. I know when God 2s speaking to me, not him. But he's the type that wants to force everything on me, and make me believe what he believes, and any time you challenge Harmon, he gets angry.

Q Now, these messages, communications that he had from God, did he ever tell you that God had told him to take possession of Tyler?

A Yes. I heard a lot of messages, and he told me that God said he was supposed to have custody of Tyler, no matter what. He was supposed to have custody of Tyler.

Q Now, was there a family cat that was living with Mr. Wilfred in the family home after Sandy and Tyler

A Yes. Harmon would get up and go through his ritual in the morning. He said this cat would come in and disturb him and was running by his ley, and he said that cat had some evil spirits in it of the devil that was trying to prevent him from his prayer time, so he said he took the cat to the shelter.

- Q Was it Sandy's cat?
- A Yes.
- Q And do you think there was anything vindictive about that move on Mr. Wilfred's part?
 - A Yes. I think that was just to get back at Sandy.
- Q Have you ever met with Mr. Wilfred or seen him when he was, how do I use the word, out of it, or weird looksng?
- A Yes. The first of the year, we went down to Mexico.
 - Q Let me interrupt, first of the year of 1989?
 - A '89, I'm sorry.
- Q Year and a half ago?
- that point, that's when I first started noticing some strange behavior. He started staring off into space and looking like, you know, kind of a zombie look. I called as wife. I was standing at the phone one night two hours trying to call off Mexico. I called my wife and told her that Marmon

was acting so strange that this was the last time that I would every go anywhere near him, and that once I got back, I was going to start stopping this relationship because he was too strange.

And then at the last meeting that I had with Harmon, the next to the last meeting was at the Pepper Mill Restaurant which was off of I-25, and he called me, and he said that God had told me to sacrifice the company, Westmarc the same way that Abraham sacrificed his son. He was closing down that day, and I tried to explain to him there were other people involved in Westmarc, and this was going to affect their lives. I told him, who's to say you're hearing from God. He hasn't spoken to anybody else, and at that time, he was really looking like a zombie and talking strange. It was embarrassing to sit with him in that restaurant.

We had a hearing before Judge Steinhardt. Mr. Wilfred came in court and was represented by Mr. Seagall. That was to set aside a restraining order and lift the restriction on supervised visitation, and do you recall that Mr. Seagall made an offer of proof to this Court that your testimony was that Harmon was a fit person, and that he would not abscond with Tyler, something to that fact. Do you recall that you were sitting in court?

A Yes.

Is that what your testimony would have been that Ø 1 2 day? No, no, and also at that point, I think Harmon 3 knew also my wife, and I told him we felt Sandy should have custody of Tyler, and I don't think they wanted to on the stand 5 that day. 6 Had you met with Mr. Seagall and told him that? 7 C) I never met with Mr. Seagall or told him. That Α 8 was the first time I'd seen him. Were you subpoenaed to court that day? 10 I didn't know I was going to be testifying No-11 in court that day. Nobody told me anything about it. 12 When the Court was told what your testimony was 13 going to be, that was not correct; is that right? 14 No. I hadn't been told by anyone about it. 15 Let's talk about after you came, after that, you 16 and Mrs. Wilfred had contact after that May 10th hearing. 17 Then we had a hearing in June, temporary orders hearing. 18 Then I subpoenaed you to the July 7th hearing. That was 19 postponed because of the bankruptcy. Did anything strange 20 happen at tht point in your life? 21 When I started communicating with Sandy? 22 23 Yes. My van's windows at the school were both 24 Yes.

broken twice. My back window at the school was kicked out.

My car windows have been broken, stuff had been thrown on my windows of my school. I've been sued. I have a box of letters, all kinds of things from Harmon that it is just total harassment.

I have received -- in one month, I have received letters every day from courriers bringing things over. I've called the police twice to protect this shopping center.

Q For protection?

- A Yes, the shopping center. I used to do the maintenance of the shopping center, and Sandy and I were working in the maintenance department, and Sandy had brought in lawn mowers, and I had put them in the storage room next door, and Harmon and Phylis Lambert called the police and told them I had stole them. This happened twice, and the police came over to arrest me. They were sitting right there in the shopping center.
- Q Now, let's talk about Mrs. Lambert for a moment.

 Do you know Phylis Lambert?
 - A Yes.
 - Q How do you know her?
 - A Her kids used to be at the day care there.
- Q And after the day at the Pepper Mill meeting when God told him to sacrifice the company, what did he do the next day?

 Did he close the company down?
 - A He closed it down for one day. I'm not for sur@

3

5 6

7

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

then it opened back up.

- Who did he hare to take care of the books?
- Phylis Lambert.
- Did you ever hear Mrs. Lambert saying anything Q strange about her wanting to poison her children?

Yes. In December of 1988, at the Black Angus Restaurant on Havana, she begged my wife and I to go to dinner with her to talk about some things because her and her husband were having problems, and she wanted to have a meeting that night. She was talking real strange, and she told us that a few months earlier, she had got the poison ready to poison her kids and to give this to her kids and for her to take. And she got all or most of the poison to give to them. and she stopped.

- **(**) I'm sorry.
- I said this was -- I heard her say that with my own ears.
- \mathbf{Q} Did Mr. Wilfred know about this communication? he know that Mrs. Lambert had almost poisoned her own children?
 - I'm sure he did. Α
- Back to the Mexico trip, and I'm sorry I'm numbing around, did you ever have the belief that Mr. Wilfred was doing business deals in Mexico when he would leave the hotel or just kind of disappear?

A Well, you know in that trip ---

THE COURT: What was the purpose of your trip?

- A Well, it was supposed to be a business planning trip, but it turned out to be nothing. We were supposed to go down and plan a business trip. A lot of times Harmon would stay up all night in the middle of the floor praying. The next day, he would be asleep, so I wouldn't sit around. I went down to the people in the village. He was dealing with some man from Littleton, Colorado. I guess that he was in real estate that worked down there, and they were doing a lot of talking. And I don't know, it was like some of the things were kept secret from me.
- Q Did he ever tell people down there that he was a wealthy person?
- A Well, he carried himself in a way and talked to the help around the hotel and everything, and they thought he was very wealthy. When we got back, this little Spanish boy had the idea that Harmon was a millionaire. He kpt calling for money. As a matter of fact, he called like every other day for some months because Harmon had told him how much he was worth.
 - Q How much did Harmon say he was worth?
- A I don't remember in terms, but, you know, he would tell about his shopping centers and the shopping centers were worth \$14 million here and \$8 million there.
 - Q Okay. Do you believe that it's possible that

25

Mr. Wilfred had a bank account or funds in Mexico?

- A It would be possible, yes.
- What happened if you and Mr. Wilfred would have a
 disagreement and wouldn't agree with his belief and what he
 wanted to do?
 - A Blow up and get mad like a baby, a child.
 - Q Did he talk to you about his sexual desires?
- A Yes. He spoke like he was highly over-sexed. That was something that he was praying about that God would take away, and would talk about some of his past relationship, how he would have to ask God to forgive him because he had done his women wrong.
- Q Did he ever tell you that right after Sandy and Tyler left, moved out of the family residence, that he began paying child support and alimony?
 - A Yes.
- Q Did he ever lead you to believe that he was, in fact, supporting Mrs. Wilfred and Tyler?
- A Well, I thought he was paying them \$1800 a month, yes.
- Q Did he ever make any statement to you about the filing of a bankruptcy in order to delay this divorce?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What did he say?
 - A This was one of the techniques he used to delay the

divorce.

- Q Did he very brag to you that he liked court battles and liked going to court?
 - A He liked confrontations, yes.
- Q Do you believe that it is possible if Mr. Wilfred got a communication from whomever that he could take Tyler?
- A Yes, well he's told me with his own words, that whatever the voice said to him, that's God, that very day. We were in the Pepper Mill, that voice had told him to sacrifice the company, and I guess that's the same voice that came back a couple of days later and told him to open it back up, so, yes, I believe he would do it.
- Q Do you believe he would sacrifice Tyler's life if God hold him to do it?
- A He has told me with his own mouth that he would do anything that the voices told him.
- Q Okay. Do you believe that Mrs. Wilfred is going to be in danger in any way because of Mr. Wilfred?
 - A Not only that, I believe we're all in danger.
 - Q Do you believe that you're in danger?
- A Yes, and for the harassment I've been through in the last year and a half, yes.
- Q Would you ask the Court or would you like me to ask the Court that you get some kind of protective order or restraining order that Mr. Wilfred is not in any way to harass

you as a witness because of your testimony in this case?

- A Yes, I would.
- Q Thank you.

MS. EDINBURG: I have nothing further of this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred, it's now your opportunity to cross-examine.

(Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: I take it from your silence, you're ignoring me.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. DYCUS:

Q Mr. Jones, when was the first time that either your car windows or your van windows or the day care windows were broken out?

A I'd probably say maybe three weeks after Sandy and I were talking. Well, I came to court with her, so maybe a week after that day.

- G How many car and van windows have been broken out between that time and now?
 - A It's five.
 - Q Thank you.

MS. DYCUS: I have nothing further.

MS. EDINBURG: Just very briefly, Your Honor.

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. EDINBURG: 3 Mr. Jones, did Mr. Wilfred ever make any statement 4 to you that I had done anything to Mrs. Wilfred before the 5 hearing? 6 Well, that first hearing? A 7 The May 10th hearing. 8 Yes. That you had given Sandy probably a 9 tranquilizer because Sandy was too calm, and Sandy couldn't be 10 that calm without a tranquilizer. 11 He though I had done it? Q 12 Yes. 13 Ω How much did the hotel cost that you stayed in 14 Mexico on a daily basis? 15 Around \$150 a day. 16 Who paid for that? Q 17 Harmon. 18 Q Thank you. 19 MS. EDINBURG: I have nothing further. 20 THE COURT: You may step down. 21 MS. EDINBURG: I'd call Laura Kelker to the stand. 22 LAURA KELKER 23 was called a witness to testify on behalf of the Petitioner, 24

DIRECT EXAMINATION

having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

```
1
    BY MS. EDINBURG:
 2
               Would you state your name spelling your last name
 3
    for the record.
 4
               Laura, Kelker, K-s-1-k-s-c.
 5
               And what is your occupation?
 6
               I'm an administrative secretary.
 7
               Where are you currently employed, or do you wish not
         Q)
 8
    to tell us?
 9
               I'd rather not disclose that.
10
               Did you formally -- I'm sorry, do want to pour
         C:
11
    yourself some water?
12
               Can I?
         Α
13
               Did you formerly work for Mr. Wilfred?
         Q
14
               Yes, I did.
15
               And when was that?
16
               I was hired September 12, 1986, and I left April
         ıΑ
17
    22, 1989.
18
               And what was your position there?
         \mathbf{G}
19
               I started out as a receptionist. I went to proceed.
20
    management assistant. I became his administrative assistant.
21
               Are you here today because of a subpoena that wa-
22
    served on you?
23
               Yes, I am.
         Ĥ۲
24
               And are you also afraid?
          Θ
25
               I'm sorry?
```

Q Are you also afraid to testify?

A I'm afraid even especially from what I've heard today. I didn't realize after I left the office he spoke of suing me.

Q Did you testify before Referee Virginia Ware in June because of a subpoena?

A Yes, I did.

Q Was there an agreement in the office regarding the employees to being alone with Mr. Wilfred?

A Yes, after — during 1989, at the beginning of 1989, things started becoming very odd. We began sensing something was wrong between Sandy and Harmon. I was notified on the day that Sandy left Harmon at the end of February that she was leaving him. And at that point in time, he had made — not really advances, but he had made comments such to a receptionist who was working there. He acted oddly toward all of the women, and it was understood with all of the women that no matter what, none of us were to be left alone in the office.

Q Did he ever force the employees to pray or to do certain prayer rituals or religious rituals?

A He would pull me into his office on different occasions and asked me to pray over various things. I remember one time there was something that he was working on, he wanted to pray over that, that everything would go properly with him. There was something about a rent bill. We didn't have a

commission check which had come in for rent, so to cover the rent, he asked us to all pray over that bill that the payment could be made.

- Okay. Did your sister Fatrice (phonetic) work for Mr. Wilfred?
- Yes, she did, in December -- November and December. She came to work first as a temporary, and them she was made to believe that she would be brought on as a permanent employee.
 - Why was she terminated?
- I was told she was terminated because Mr. Wilfred saw demons around her.
- Was there an incident where Mr. Wilfred had a cloth that he wanted you to use or something?
- It was in the early part of 1989, I had to have a gynecological procedure done, and I told him, you know, I had to leave work early that I was going to have this done. And he spoke with me for a while and told me that he had 3,000 people: around the country praying for me to be healed. And I told him that I would prefer doing my religious beliefs mysalf. 1 didn't feel comfortable doing it at work.

I left the office. He called me back in about a half hour later. He was very excited. He said, "I think I have something that will help you." He pulled an annointed cloth out of his briefcase, and he went through how the annointed cloth, he said, "If you touch yourself, people have been healen

by them." He told me if I could touch myself where I was ailing that I would be healed, and at that point in time, I just backed up. He had no reason to even be thinking where I'd been ailing.

Q During the time that you worked there from September 12th of '88 to April of '89, let's talk about from September to March. First, when you left, did he ever talk about Tyler or did he ever seem concerned about his son?

A No. In fact, it was very odd working with both Sandy and Harmon. Sandy was so excited about Tyler all the time. You know, she just loved to talk about him. Harmon very rarely spoke about him. And until after Sandy left, people knew in the office she had left, that's when he started coming out saying, "Tyler this..." and "Tyler that..." bring in lots of pictures. That's the only time he got involved with his son.

Q Did Mr. Wilfred ever seem forgetful or glassy-eyed around the office?

A Every morning as Tom Jones had said before, they would go in to Harmon's office and close the door, it would be up to hours some days, he would come out. He would be glassy-eyed. I believe they were in there praying.

THE COURT: Who was there?

A Harmon Wilfred and Tom Jones. Generally, they were discussing business. He was glassy-eyed. It was like ho

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

had tunnel vision to what was on his mind.

- Q (By Ms. Edinburg) Did Mr. Jones have the same glassy eyes?
 - A No.
- Q After Sandy left Mr. Wilfred, were the locks at the office changes?
 - A Yes, they were.
 - Q And what were you instructed?
- A We were told that, not immediately, we were told that the locks were being changed, but after it was a period of about three weeks, we were told we were supposed to give Sandy no information if she came in and asked about any of the property. She was not to have any of the information. One of the shopping centers was being taken back by the lender, and it was said that we couldn't do it, because of that.
 - Q Was that the Regatta?
 - A No, it was the Promenade.
- Q Promenade was the shopping center, as I recall it, where approximately \$70,000 a month of rent was being taken in; is that right?
 - A I can't remember the numbers, but, yeah.
 - Q Is that a number that meets with your recollection?
 - A Yes.
- Q Do you recall whether or not Mr. Wilfred paid the mortgage or the lenders during several months for the

Promenade Shopping Center?

A I don't recall any rent checks going on, and when they came in to take the shopping center, that's when he told me they hadn't been paying their rent. He knew the lender was going to be comeing in.

- Q You mean the mortage company?
- A Yes, I'm sorry.
- Q You knew the foreclosure was coming?
- A Yes. In fact, the day they came into the Promenade to take the Promenade, he didn't show up at the office. We were told we couldn't reach him for any reason.
 - Q But the rent came in every month?
 - A Oh, yes they did.
- Q Did you know anything or had Mr. Wilfred ever talked about a secret bank account?
- A There was -- when the Promenade was in receivership, he did call it a secret account. It was for all the rent that was collected from Promenade. He would put that in a separate account so that it wouldn't be with the lenders.
 - Q What did he call those monies?
- A His war chest, but then later on, the account name was called "Wilfred Number 1."
 - Q Do you know the bank that was at?
- A That was the First Bank at Belleview at DTC Boulevard.

Q Are you sure?

- A Yeah.
- Q Did you ever make the deposits?

A No, I didn't make the deposits. That's where all the banking accounts are.

Q Is it possible other accounts were set up by Mr. Wilfred in other banks that you knew nothing about?

A Well, the accountant that was working at the time.

Bill Davis, he would make the deposits, and — well, thinking back on the deposit slips, we never had a true deposit slip showing the exact bank it was at. He would have me write down the exact number, then he would transfer it into the bank.

Q You did the deposit slips on a bank account?

A Right. He never had us do the exact deposit slop. I would have to write down every check recorded, every check. then he would do it himself. He said that was for my own welfare, so if somebody came in, I wouldn't know where it was at.

MS. EDINBURG: I have nothing further of this witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred?

(Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response, againgted the look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: Ms. Dycus?

MS. DYCUS: I have nothing of this witness.

1 THE COURT: You may step down. 2 (By Ms. Edinburg) Do you know what a binky is? Ω 3 It's a pacifier. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step 5 down " 6 MS. EDINBURG: I'm not used to going this fast. 7 I'd call Joanne Coffey. She's not going to be very long. 8 THE COURT: I was going to take a recess, but I 9 can wait. 10 MS. EDINBURG: This will take about five minutes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 JOANNE COFFEY 13 was called as a witness to testify on behalf of the 14 Petitioner, having first been duly sworn, testified as 15 follows: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. EDINBURG: 18 a Would you state your name spelling your last name 19 for the record. 20 Joanne Coffey, C-o-f-f-e-y. 21 What is your occupation? Q 22 'I'm an accountant. 23 And are you here because of a subpoena? Q 24 Α Yes. 25 Ω Are you fearful about testifying today?

1 Definitely. Α 2 Q Why? 3 Because I figured I probably would get sawd. Α 4 Wny do you believe that? Q 5 That's what Harmon said he would do to everyone. Α 6 That's a pretty well-known fact. 7 Now, did you work for Mr. Wilfred as an accountant? Œ 8 Yes, I did. 9 And when was that? 10 Approximately from the end of February through about 11 the middle of June. 12 THE COURT: What year? 13 Of '89, but part of that time was through a CPA 14 who was doing Harmon's accounting for him. Part of this was no 15 my own as a consultant. 16 I just want to quickly ask you, Ms. Coffer, were 17 you concerned about being alone with Mr. Wilfred in the 18 office? 19 Yes. 20 Why was that? 21 I can't state any specific reason. He just made A 22 you feel funny, that you didn't want to be alone with him. 23 Were there certain bills that Mr. Wilfred would tel: Q 24 you to pay in terms of creditors? 25 Tower Group, definitely. There were a stack of

unpaid bills, but once in a great while, he would tell us to go ahead and pay something.

- Q Were you aware of the rents that were coming in of approximately \$70,000 a month?
 - A That's probably pretty accurate. yes.
- Q And to the best of your knowledge, were the most payments to City Federal being made?
- A I don't remember from anything I recorded in his books. They were pretty far behind, that would start in December or January of '89 that were ever made to City Federal.
- Q Now, did Mr. Wilfred ever tell you that he was going to get custody of Tyler?
 - A Oh, definitely.
 - Q And did he say why he was?
- A No. As a matter of fact, I was thinking today,
 I never knew why he always thought he needed to have custody of
 Tyler.
 - Did you ever know Sandy during this period of time?
 - A No. I did not.
 - Q When did you first meet Mrs. Wilfred?
- A At that hearing that was heard last July. It was the very first time.
- O Okay. Was there a conflict with Mr. Wilfred and you and the CPA regarding how he would pay certain bills

25

or how he would characterize certain employees, whether they were contract labor or actual employees?

A He seemed to prefer to have everybody as contract labor which his CPA and I both said these people are employees.
You can't have them as contract labor.

- Q And what did he do when you said that?
- A I don't think anything.
- Q Did he ever react to what you said, or did he say, "I'm going to do what I want do to."
 - A I don't think there was any reaction period.
 - Q Do you know Mrs. Lamber, Phylis Lambert?
 - A Yes.
- Q And was she competent to take over the bookkeeping responsibilities?
 - A I don't feel she was, no.
- Q Had Mr. Wilfred ever accused Mrs. Wilfred of telling First Bank something negative so that they closed all of his accounts? Did you ever hear him make that accusation?

THE COURT: I missed the first part of that?

- Q (By Ms. Edinburg) Did you ever hear Mr. Wilfred ever accuse Mrs. Wilfred of telling First Bank all these negative things about him, that's why all these accounts were closed? Do you recall any of that?
- A No. When I left there, which was towards the middle or end of June of '89, all those accounts were still open.

Q Okay. And would you notice any erratic behavior with Mr. Wilfred from one day to the next?

A Yeah. I thought one day he was having a nervous breakdown. I was even concerned about it because he seemed he didn't know where he was. He walked into his office and walked back out. All of a sudden, he decided we had to close the office that day. He was the only person left. He did not have any employees. And he had a temporary girl that used to be a secretary, and also was a receptionist. She chose to leave, too. And he had gotten another temporary in just that day. The girl worked half a day. He decided to close the office, that he had to get his personal affairs. He looked like he was ready to break down, I mean totally, and the next morning when I came back in, he was just fine, just happy, like nothing had happened.

- When was this, do you recall?
- A It had to be the end of May sometime because I left towards the end of May. I didn't want to work there any longer.
 - Q Now, there's a building, seismograph building?
 - A Uh-huh.
 - Q Are you familiar with that building?
 - A The accounting end of it, yes.
- Q That's different from the Promenade and Regatta Shopping Centers?

- A Yeah, it was Falcon Limited.
- Q Falcon?
- A Falcon Limited.
- @ Who was the controlling person for the seismograph building?
 - A Harmon Wilfred.
- Q And do you remember how much money a month he made from seismograph, do you recall?
- A I think about five or six thousand dollar payments to him which is just for, I thin, a management fee or whatever. I'm not positive.
- Q And how many hours a month would it take to do the books or records or entries for just the seismograph?
- A Oh, that was least involved. Once there was onione tenant. There were a few bills, so you could probably do the whole thing in maybe a day, two days a month is all that would require.
- Q Did Mr. Wilfred have a full time bookkeeper just to do the work on the seismograph building?
 - A When I was there, no.
 - Q After you left, do you know?
- A \cdot I heard that he had someone. I quess she's full time, and that's all she does.
 - Q Thank you.

MS. EDINBURG: I don't have anything further of

this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred?

(Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verba) reponse, nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

MS. DYCUS: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: All right. You may step down. The Court will take a 10 minute recess.

(Whereupon a brief was taken at 3:34 p.m.)

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, at this time, I'd call Harmon Wilfred to the stand for cross-examination.

THE COURT: Sir, you've been called to the stand.

(Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response, nor

did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: Ms. Edinburg, do you wish me to force him to the stand?

I'm very concerned about. we've done our best. We have boxes of files. I have questions that I can't get answers to except from Mr. Wilfred. I'm wondering if the Court is willing to find him in open contempt of court, and perhaps impose some kind of jail sentence. I think the Court has the power to order Mr. Wilfred to the stand and answer questions, and until he chooses to answer questions, he can stay in jail.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred, would you take the stand.

25

money came from. Mr. Wilfred is the only one that knows what all this means. And without Mr. Wilfred's testimony, we don't believe we can, in essence, get the whole picture here.

One thing we've discussed, if this Court is inclined to place Mr. Wilfred in jail until he, in fact, takes the stand and answers the questions, Mrs. Wilfred, has, in essence, been denied total access to the family home. She's not had any access to records or anything other than what we've been able to subpoena. With Mr. Wilfred in jail today, or for however long it takes, she'd like to go to the home. We know there's a safe there. We can get police escort. We'd hope we could get some documents, and we could get some information from other sources if Mr. Wilfred is refusing to give us the answers.

We're just worried, as I said to this Court on This case is a nightmare. It gets worse by the May 8th. It doesn't get better. Until Mr. Wilfred cooperates minute. and gives us some of this information, Mrs. Bush estimated that there is between two and seven million dollars unaccounted for-We know of at least a three to five hundred thousand dollar account for money he collected from rent which is due to City Federal. It was just never turned over. He's got accounts everywhere. All we need is his testimony and J participation in this case. I'm trying not to be punitiveknow he thinks I'm out to get him. I'm not. I understand, but I'm also here to do a job and get Mrs. Wilfred divorced.

and get this marital estate divided so we can get on with our
lives, and this is not a punitive measure. Your Honor. It's a
necessary part of this case, unfortunately.

THE COURT: Mr. Southern?

MR. SOUTHERN: Not knowing exactly the stage of the proceeding. I understand that you're hear for a final orders hearing. I have also advised Mr. Wilfred that information, unless the Court has issued other orders compelling him to be here, that this is a civil action, and that it was his choice to come, and as to his appearance here today, not knowing that background information, I don't know if there is any basis for a contempt against Mr. Wilfred for him not proceeding -- participating in these proceedings.

There are many divorce cases where one side or the other does not show up, and the Court proceeds without that person; that the Rules of Civil Procedure have provisions for when there is failure to proceed or failure to respond to interrogatories and failure on request for production of documents, the case can proceed in the absense of the party.

As to the potential contempt and punitive sanctions that have been mentioned, I would just indicate that I don't know if it would be appropriate in this case if my client is not here under any type of compulsory procedure that he would otherwise be free to pick up his briefcase and walk out the

d

door.

should reflect, I told him yesterday, our interchange yesterday was such that after I ruled, he kept discussing the matter with me, and we did that three or four times. That's when I told. him I didn't want him to talk any more. I don't think that's related. I did tell him yesterday that the trial would proceed with or without him at 9:30 this morning. In terms of any contempt of this Court for this afternoon, while I agree with you, he's not here under compulsory proceedings, once he's called as a witness, I order him to take the stand, I think we have a different situation.

MR. SOUTHERN: I discussed that with him earlier.

THE COURT: Under Rule 107, probably the most unusual part of this, Ms. Edinburg, she's requesting that they be allowed to go in the house. That's something I really have to think about. I think that the contempt proceeding goes, Ms. Edinburg, do you wish to speak?

MS. EDINBURG: No. I was just going to give you a little more information why we think that's important. You might reall when Mr. Cravin and Mr. Jones testified, he closed the businesses down. Everything had been moved out of that location where he formerly did business. He's doing business out of his house. He has a safe there.

I believe Mr. Campbell knows about the safe. We've

heard that things are being held there in the safe. Mrs. Wilfred has not been in the house since February 28, 1989, some year and a half ago, and it's the family home. Her name is on the deed, and I think she's abided by the restraining order, and not gone near anything or anywhere near Mr. Wilfred. I think the Court has the power to order that if there's a way to get some of the documents that this Court has twice ordered Mr. Wilfred to produce to us.

THE COURT: Well, that would not be the subject of this contempt.

MS. EDINBURG: I understand.

THE COURT: There might be some ancillary things.

While I'd like to tell Mr. wilfred, I'm ordering him to take

the stand, he's here, and answer questions of Ms. Edinourg.

I'd like the record to reflect that Mr. Wilfred is sitting in

court at Respondent's table with Mr. Southern, and that he's

not looked at the Court all day, nor has he given any response.

whatsoever, although he did shake his head to Mr. Southern.

Having ordered Mr. Wilfred to take the stand, the Court finds that Mr. Wilfred has not taken the stand; that he has the ability to take the stand and to answer questions; that in failing and obeying to take the stand, he is willfuily disobeying a lawful order of this Court. The Court finds that this conduct is offensive to the authority and dignity of this Court. Therefore, the Court finds that the Respondent is

quilty of contempt of this Court, and in open court. The Court finds that the Respondent has the ability to respond to the Court; that he is, therefore, since refusing and deliberately willfully disobeying a Court order, the Court orders as a remedial contempt, that he be imprisoned in the Arapahoe County jail until such time as he finds himself willing to come before the Court and testify, and a mittimus will issue.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, procedurally, may I inquire, does the Court want to have Mrs. Wilfred's testimony, and at least get some testimony before they take him, or do you want to take him now?

THE COURT: Well, that's fine. We have another half hour to go, so if the Sheriff does not mind, Joanne will do the mittimus, and you can take him at 5 o'clock.

MS. EDINBURG: I'd like to call Mrs. Wilfred.

THE COURT: Ms. Edinburg, we're going to be able to put you in at 9:30 tomorrow morning. Can you be here?

MS. EDINBURG: I have an 8:15 hearing. I can be here by 9:15.

SANDRA WILFRED

was called as a witness to testify on her own behalf, having .
first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. EDINBURG:

Q Would you state your name for the record spelling

```
your last name, please.
 2
              Sandra Wilfred. W-1-1-f-r-e-d.
 3
               And are you the Petitioner in this case?
         Ω
 4
              Yes. I am.
         A
 5
              Are you married to Harmon Wilfred?
         \Omega
 6
         A
              Yes, I am.
 7
               THE COURT: Just a moment, Mr. Southern?
8
               MR. SOUTHERN: I apologize for interrupting, Your
 9
    Honor, but does the Court need my services any longer?
10
               THE COURT: No, you may be excused. I do appreciate
11
    you helping.
12
               (By Ms. Edinburg) What was the date of your
         Ω
13
    marriage?
14
               August 18, 1982.
         Α
15
               And the date of your separation?
16
               February 28, 1989.
17
               And have you lived separately and apart since that
         0
18
    time?
19
               Yes, we have.
20
               You've filed a petition requesting the Court to
         \mathbf{G}
21
    dissolve your marriage?
22
               Yes, I have.
         Α
23
               And do you believe that your marriage is
         Q)
24
    irretrievably broken?
25
               Yes, I do.
```

```
1
         Α
              No.
 2
              Are you requesting the Court dissolve your marriage?
         G
 3
              Yes, I am.
 4
              Are you requesting a restoration of your former name?
         Q
 5
              Yes, I am.
         Α
 6
              And what is that?
 7
              Sandra Ann Allen, A-1-1-e-n.
 8
         Q
              And will this name change in any way adversely affect
 9
    any third party specifically any creditors?
10
               It shouldn't.
         Α
11
              No children were born during the marriage.
         G)
12
    adopted Tyler; is that right?
13
              That's correct.
14
              And how old is he?
         Q
15
              Tyler is about two and a half.
16
              When's his birthday?
         Q
17
              December 30, 1987.
18
              And you're not pregnant at this time?
         Q
19
              No. I'm not.
         Α
20
              Mrs. Wilfred, you're requesting the Court to award
         Ω
21
    you what is known as sole custody?
22
              Yes. I am.
23
              Do you believe you're a fit and proper person to
24
    have sole custody?
```

Is there any hope of reconciliation?

GΣ

25

A Yes.

Q Has Mr. Wilfred made statements to you, to myself, that he will stop at nothing until he gets custody of Tyler?

- $\ensuremath{\mathbb{A}}$ Yes. I've heard those statments made, and it's when we were in court.
- And would you just briefly tell the Court what happened the morning of February 28th when you left the family home?

A There were a variety of instances prior to that. There were two or three days of aggression, unusual behavior. The day before, he had teld me he was leaving, he was moving out of the house. He teld me he would prepare a list of possession he was taking with him. It was very early on Tuesday morning about 7 o'clock, and he came to me and said that he hadn't prepared his list of possession in writing, but that he was adding Tyler to that list, that God had given me the authority to take possession of Tyler, and that he would be leaving, he would be out of the house by the weekend. And he put on his jacket and went to work.

I immediately called a friend, and she made arrangements for me. I left the house that day with Tyler.

- Q Do you believe that in any way Mr. Wilfred is capable of caring for Tyler?
 - A Caring for him, taking care of him?
 - Q As a custodian parent?

.3 4

5 6 7

9

8

11 12

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 A No. I don't.

Q Did Mr. Wilfred in any way prior to the separation take an active role in parenting Tyler?

I would say I did about 95 percent of the care. and he did 5 percent of the care. And Harmon rarely played with Tyler. He would -- it was interesting to watch, because it was done for show. If he ever took Tyler for a walk, he would sort of look out and watch the neighbors on the street to make sure the appropriate number of people were watching him take Tyler in the stroller. He presented himself as this loving father, wonderful father, and yet, I used to almost have to make Harmon come up the stairs and tell Tyler good night. There were times that a whole month went by, that I don't think Harmon even looked at him. He would come home from work. was during September of 1988, he read the bible front to back three times during that month. He would go upstairs when he would come home from work, grab something to eat, and would go upstairs. He would come home from work, and he wouldn't even remember that Tyler was living with us. He was very focused of reading.

Q Let's turn to the visitation. From the time that you left the family home, the Court ordered that there be supervised visitation. That was pursuant to a restraining order initially issued by Referee Ware; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And did you and I comperate with Mr. Wilfred? He contacted us which was almost two months after you had moved out?

A I think it was closed to about 30 days, but I had moved out. I believe Ms. Edinburg wrote Mr. Wilfred a letter and said visits would be supervised, how Mr. Wilfred was to continue to proceed, and we did not hear anything until the Monday after Easter, which would have been almost 30 days after I left. That Monday he requested the first visit. The following Wednesday, two days later, we got got a threatening letter advising us of all sorts of things including not allowing him to see his son. It was about 30 days later.

- O And did we have some difficulty setting up the usual visits in terms of finding an appropriate supervisor?
- A Yes. That was left to me. I called many of our friends. No one wanting anything to do with him which basically was the problem. They didn't want Harmon around their children. They just didn't want to be around him. I couldn't find anyone, any of his friends to do the supervised visitation. Suprisingly a friend, Diana Caprona, (phonetic) whose husband is a police lieutenant, he agreed to supervise the visits. It took about a week to set up the first visit.
- Q Did we attempt to talk to Children's Hospital in terms of initial visits?
 - A Yes. I went to Children's Hospital. I went

through their intake program, but Harmon refused to allow this. He didn't want the visits at Children's because he want visits at the home. That's been a major point. Harmon would not take Tyler. He would not agree to see Tyler unless he could take him to the house. He came for a visit one evening, and Tyler did not want to go. It took about 20 minutes to get Tyler into the car. I suggested that they go have dinner at a local restaurant, so they wouldn't have to drive. It probably would take about 30 minutes between our homes. He refused, so he spent ten minutes with him under the tree in the front yard.

He told me if he couldn't take him home, he'd rather not see him, which was basically my understanding.

- Now, you've heard the testimony of Mr. Campbell:
 is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And you have sat and listened to the other witnesses?
 - A Yes.
- Q What's your feeling now about whether or not this Court should order any visitation between Mr. Wilfred and Tyler?
- A That's something that I'm concerned about. Even this morning, I mentioned to Dave Campbell that I wanted what's best for Tyler. I would like Tyler to have the most normal relationship as possible with his father whether his father is divorced from me or not. I don't feel that Harmon at this

point can provide a normal relationship. I think the argument has been in the past that children, when they're allowed to see their fathers, grow up idolizing their father when their father is allowed to see them and have continuing visits, that they have a dad. I don't think an hour a week is going to allow Tyler that opportunity to see his dad. I think that it a got to be very controlled. I want what's best for Tyler.

- Q Are you concerned about Mr. Wilfred's psychological state and emotional state as he's presented to the Court when we were here on May 8th and yesterday and today?
 - A Yes, more so than ever.
- Q Is this behavior that we're seeing in court today, typical or usual for Mr. Wilfred? Is this pretty abnormal for him to remain silent and quiet for an extended period of these
- A I've never seen him remain silent. This is probably normal especially for the last two hearings. I've seens tot if unusual behavior, but never silence like this.
- Q Let me ask you some questions about this request of our to allow you to go to the home and get some documents.

 Whenw as the time you were at the home -- family home?
 - A The date I moved out, February 28, 1989.
- **Q** Have you been allowed access to any of the records of any of the corporations that you and Mr. Wilfred were involved during your relationship?
 - A I received a box on my door step sometime is

February 1989. I don't remember who brought it. It was 6 o'clock in the morning. there was a box propped up against my front door. I assume it was delivered by a currier. It contained some photo copies of some of the records of Westmarc Corporation. It appears they were hand picked. They came from Harmon. Nothing really of value, a lot of check stubs and some records. I haven't seen any accounting of his personal records. I have no idea where I stand on these loans. I have no idea where he has a checking account. I don't know what my liabilities are as well as assets.

Q Have we in the last months subpoenaed various bank records and done the best we could given what information we had?

A Yes.

Q At this time, let me hand to you what's been marked Petitioner's Exhibit 4 and ask if you recognize this document?

A It looks like it's an accounting of cash balances as of May 30, 1989, cash in the bank for several of the entities. don't recall where it came from. It could have been in the box received from Mr. Wilfred.

Q And to the best of your knowledge, we have subpoenaed five banks?

A Yes.

Q Asking for a listing of all those different accounts?

A Yes.

- Q Have we been provided with all the information?
- A No, we have not.
- Q What's the sum in those five -- in all those bank accounts?
 - A As of May 30, 1989, \$226,927.10.
- Q That's approximately one month before Mr. Wilfred filed bankruptcy; is that right?

A Yes.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, I'd offer Petitioner's Exhibit 4 into evidence.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred?

(Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response, nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: Ms. Dycus?

MS. DYCUS: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Exhibit 4 will be received.

(Petitioner's Exhibit 4 was admitted into evidence.)

- Q (By Ms. Edinburg) Would it be helpful for the Court at this point to allow you access back to the family home?
- A Again, as Ms. Edinburg said, when the office was vacated on June 8th and 9th of 1989, literally, furniture was moved out over the weekend and skipped out on the lease. It's my understanding that an office was set up at the residence, and I believe he stated in one of his letters to the bankruptcy court that all records are kept at the residence. I

believe that we also inquired in the bankruptcy court hearing as to the contents of the safe. Mr. Wilfred refused to answer.

The bankruptcy attorney said, "You re under oath."
You have to answer." He refused to. Phylis Lambert says there are diaries in the safe. I feel that is valuable. I feel he has concealed assets from the bankruptcy court. We have stacks and stacks of bank statements. We have turned them over to Jeffrey Hill, the bankruptcy trustee. but I feel there's a lot of hidden information that could be at the house.

- Q I'll hand you what's been marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 5, and ask if you can identify this document.
- A This looks like a summons to the bankruptcy court regarding Case 89B099827 signed by Harmon Wilfred. The date I'm sorry, there's no date on here. It says attached to this letter and explains the following list of reports, and it looks like it's just a financial statement to the bankruptcy court.
- O That's a letter where he indicated he operates out of the house, and business records are in the home.
 - A I'm sorry, I'm not seeing that.
- Q I don't remember it that was a letter or it was in an opening hearing that you had with the bankruptcy court.
 - A This one says to my wife, personally. It lists

7

8

9 10

11

13

14

12

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

that I took all the household furniture, and I left him enough furniture to sleep on.

- Okay. So, there is a safe in the family home?
- Α Yes,
- And to the best of your knowledge, have we done a title search to see if you're still on the family home titles is that right?
 - Yes, I have a copy of that.
 - You're on both loans? Q
 - Yes.
- And do you know whether or not Mr. Wilfred has Ω taken furniture that was office furniture, computer equipment that was in the office?
- It's hard to determine. I know the value of those. There's a note against this collateral at First Colorado Bank and Trust, and I received a letter from Mr. Wilfred that said he's taking the furniture back and payments of a note for I don't think his furniture is truly worth that, but I have no idea what the furniture is worth, probably several thousand dollars. The amount changes every time a bankrupicy report is filed. It's gone from \$8,000 to \$10,000. I don t know.
 - Are you on that note? G_{i}
- For the furniture, not that I know of, but I don't know. Early in the marriage, I was signing a lot of

.10

documents. He'd say, "Here, sign this. We need to get this loan," and I remember one specifically. I found that it was a deed or trust encumbering a second mortgage against the house. You know, I'm not on the note personally at First Interestate Bank. I have been encumbered on the house by a deed of trust. Early in the marriage, there was other information we can't find. We contacted lenders, but they wouldn't talk to me. We would have to get the information through Mr. Wilfred.

- Q Why won't lenders talk to you?
- A I've called several banks.
- Q What do they say?
- A Well, in this case, First Interstate Bank wouldn't talk to me. I found out by accident I was on the deed of trust, but I wasn't liable. They couldn't give me information. It was a very unusual situation.
 - Q How many computers were in the office at Westmarc.
 - A I'm not sure, but I think three.
- Q If I were to tell you I had information that there was six computers in the house, would that suprise you?
 - A Not at all.
 - Q Do you know anything about six computers?
 - A No, I don't, but it wouldn't suprise me.
- Q In one of the banks we subpoensed, I think it was United Bank of Hylands Ranch, we found an account that Mr. Wilfred had recently opened, and it showed he had been

 purchasing new furniture at Homestead House?

A It was very interesting when we were in bankruptcy court before Judge Brooks. The reason we were before Judge Brooks, a cash settlement in the amount of \$125,000 was paid from City Federal directly to Harmon Wilfred. He got cash, put it in an account called "Wilfred Investments." This was not in bankruptcy, and did not report it on any bankruptcy record as an asset. We found out about the cash. We filed a motion to have that money deposited in the registry of the bankruptcy court because it truly was part of his estate. He found that motion.

Finally, we were before Judge Brooks. We had a hearing in front of the judge, and the judge ordered that absolutely that was part of his estate, and ordered that he deposit it in the registry of the court. Mr. Wilfred delayed on that until the last possible minute. He deposited the money. I believe we were back for another hearing in front of Judge Brooks, and let's see, I have lost my train of thought.

- □ In October, he deposited the \$125,000?
- A Yes. He deposited the \$125,000 into the registry
 of the court.
- Q Then Judge Brooks allowed him to withdraw some of the \$125,000?
- A Yes. Mr. Wilfred appeared in front of Judge Brooks all teary eyed and said his wife took, I believe his comment was, 90 percent of the marital property, and he was just a poor

guy trying to make ends meet. Everyone was out to get him. He had no income and asked the Judge to not require that he keep the money in the bankruptcy court. Judge Brooks ruled on that and allowed Mr. Wilfred \$50,000 to use as expense money. The remaining \$75,000 is in the bankruptcy court.

Judge Brooks was very firm and specifically instructed Mr. wilfed that he had a fiduciary responsibility with that money and to watch it very carefully; that I believe his exact words were, creditors are going to be watching as well as I will. We found records at United Bank of Hylands Ranch that indicated soon after that money was deposited by Mr. Wilfred. I believe the next Monday, checks cleared, I counted 54 checks. They cleared the bank for a total of \$23,000. They did not include any payment to his credit cards.

He went on an absolute spending spree the next month. Forty checks cleared totally \$19,000. So he spend the \$50,000 within two and a half months, and now he's claiming poverty because he spent the moncy. I don't know what he bought. I did notice that part of the documents he provided to us were partial check registers to the bankruptcy court. There were two checks going out to Homestead House for new furniture. one bedroom set, new recliner, the other, I haven't been able to obtain a copy of. I don't know what he bought.

Q Mrs. Wilfred, do you know what the marital estate consists of at this point in time?

Truly, I have no idea. I don't know how much cash is missing. I know that the day before I moved out, I believe the check copies were already submitted into evidence in this He cleaned out the bank account. A cartificate of \$25,000 was missing. I'm guessing somewhere around thirty to \$35,000 was taken around the date I moved out. Since then. there's a lot of cash missing. There are documents that don't match. You and I tried to go through the bank statements and find a withdraw that would show a transfer into another None of the amounts match up. They're off a day or two. They're off an amount. There is well over 20 separate checking accounts, and I believe it would take someone like Jeffrey Hill, the trustee for the bankruptcy court, to go through these records or a CPA. This could be anywhere between several thousand up to a half a million missing.

Q And do you have a belief that Mr. Wilfred has a lot of documentation in the family residence?

A I'd say that's probably where they are, or in the safe deposit box, although the records, if he has all the corporate records, he may have them in storage somewhere, but the would think the more logical place is probably at the residence because that's where his office was set up.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, does the Court want me to keep going?

THE COURT: I'd like to hear from Mr. Wilfred as

to his opinion as to whether Mrs. Wilfred should go into the family home this evening.

(Whereupon, Mr. Wilfred gave no verbal response, nor did he look at the Court when being addressed.)

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred declines to take part. He's sitting at the table. He's looking at his hands. The Court is going to allow Mrs. Wilfred to go into the family home. She's to go with — I don't know if the Sheriff will help, but I would like her to have some assistance of the local police authorities because I want to make sure that there is no accusation of her taking anything that does not belong to her. She may open the safe if she can. She may go into the family home. She may take whatever documents are pertinent, but she, again, has a fiduciary duty to guard all those documents both for the bankruptcy court and for this Court, and not remove anything that is not pertinent, or things that belong in the family home.

MRS. WILFRED: Mr. Wilfred went through the house and anything that was related to me, like my bed pillow, he put in the garage and instructed me either to bring a truck over and pick it up or it was going in the trash. There was some marital property. I can't claim any personal property. Man I ask what if we find cash?

MS. EDINBURG: We'll bring it the court.

MRS. WILFRED: Can we do that?

THE COURT: We'll be in recess. I'd like Mr. Wilfred brought back to this courtroom tomorrow morning at 9:30 so that we can determine whether he has changed his mind.

(Whereupon, the Court adjourned this matter at 4:53 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

The above and foregoing is a true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability of my stenotype notes taken in my capacity as the Official Shorthand Reporter, Division 10, Arapahoe County District Court, Englewood, Colorado.

Dated this 3rd day of November, 1990.

Sandie Noblitt Court Reporter Division 10