1 DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY OF ARAPAHOE, STATE OF COLORADO 2 Case No. 89DR477, Division 10 3 4 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT 5 6 In re the Marriage of: 7 SANDRA WILFRED, 8 Petitioner, 9 and 10 HARMON WILFRED, 11 Respondent. 12 13 The above-entitled matter commenced on Monday, 14 April 17, 1989, before the HONORABLE JOYCE S. STEINHARDT, 15 District Court Judge. 16 APPEARANCES 17 FOR THE PETITIONER: Elaine Edinburg Attorney at Law 18 FOR THE RESPONDENT: Steve Segall 19 Registration No. 10692 20 21 22 23 24 25

INDEX

2	WITNESSES		
3	FOR THE RESPONDENT PAGE		
4	HARMON WILFRED		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Segall	7	
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edinburg	21	
7	MARY BETH CONRAD	·	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Segall	35	
9	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edinburg	40	
10	SANDRA WILFRED		
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Segall	44	
12	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edinburg	54	
13	Re-Examination by Mr. Segall	69	
14	Re-Examination by Ms. Edinburg	78	
15	Re-Examination by Mr. Segall	. 82	
16	HARMON WILFRED		
17	Rebuttal Examination by Mr. Segall	87	
18	Examination by Ms. Edinburg	91	
19	EXHIBITS:		
20	FOR THE RESPONDENT:		
21	B - Photographs of marital residence	14	
22	FOR THE PETITIONER:		
23	1 - Response letter	22	
24	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	95	

## AFTERNOON SESSION, MONDAY, APRIL 17, 1989

(The Court was in session, and the following proceedings were had, to wit:)

THE COURT: This is Wilfred, 89DR477.

MS. EDINBURG: Good afternoon. I'm Elaine
Edinburg. I appear this afternoon with the Petitioner
Sandra Wilfred who appears with me at counsel table.

MR. SEGALL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Steve Segall, 10692, appearing on behalf of the Respondent, Harmon Wilfred.

THE COURT: All right. This is a Motion to modify or Set Aside a Temporary Restraining Order.

MR. SEGALL: That's correct.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, may I make a brief opening statement on behalf of the Petitioner?

THE COURT: It's his motion.

MR. SEGALL: Your Honor, on March 3, 1989, the
Referee recommended a temporary restraining order and
injunction which, if not exactly a temporary custody order,
is as close as you can get without it spelling out the
word "custody," granting the temporary possession of the
15-month old child adopted by these parties to the Petitioner,
and giving supervised visitation to be arranged through
Petitioner's Counsel's office to the Respondent.

The basis for the temporary restraining order

according to the motion was that there was some altercation Christmas Even of 1988, between the parties where the Sheriff's Department was called, and also that Mr. Wilfred later declined to take the child.

The evidence will show that the Sheriff's Department did respond, and I have a copy fo the Sheriff's Department report whoch shows that there was no altercation. I will also present testimony to show Mrs. Wilfred apparently had planned the removal of the child from the marital residence for some time; that all -- virtually all the furniture was moved out of the marital household.

There has been no assault, no irreparable injury or harm upon which to have such a restraining order entered.

More importantly, we have Mary Best Conrad (phonetic), who was the social worker involved in the parties' adoption of the child, and I think both parties can bring their friends to testify as to their ability and their mental state.

THE COURT: We're not going to have temporary order, Counsel. This matter is set for temporary orders in just less than a month away, so --

MR. SEGALL: Well, it's been reset to June, but

I'm not --

THE COURT: Why was it reset to June?

MS. EDINBURG: Mr. Segall asked for it to be reset.

I agreed to accommodate his calendar to reset this.

٠,

2]

THE COURT: We're not having temporary order.

We're having a Motion to Set Aside or Modify a Restraining

Order.

MR. SEGALL: The purpose of calling the social worker is that she knew both of these parties and she knows the child, and she recommended the placement for adoption, and I think she would know whether or not this is an appropriate case for restraining order. Thank you.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, the file will indicate that we did come to court on March 3rd and got an ex parte restraining order that was served on Mr. Wilfred that morning. I would tender to the Court a letter I received in my office, the restraining order and my client's desire and wish that he not take the child or see the child.

Your Honor, Mr. Segall entered his appearance on or about March 30th. We have that notice regarding a forth-with hearing. We have two witnesses who will testify to their long history of knowing the Petitioner. She is not emotionally imbalanced. The gentleman sitting over here, Mr. Richard Crouse (phonetic) is the man who is providing Mrs. Wilfred and the minor child, Tyler, refuge at his home, at his residence. He's fearful of Mr. Wilfred. He is requesting that the restraining order continue in full force and effect. He spoke with Mrs. Wilfred the morning of February 28th in which she made a frantic phone call because

of Mr. Wilfred' quote, that was on God's authority -- he had been told by God to take the minor child. Mrs. Wilfred only sought safety in an emergency restraining order to protect the child from Mr. Wilfred.

We have evidence that she does not have any history of mental imbalance. The social worker will verify that when she did the placement study, she wouldn't have placed the child in her care as long as there had been any history of mental illness on the part of my client.

The other witness has known the Petitioner for 14 years and has observed her with the child and has information and belief that the various allegations that have been brought by the Respondent are untrue. Everyone feels that Mr. Wilfred possibly would snatch this child and remove him from the jurisdiction of this Court.

All we're asking is that we keep this child with the Petitioner and safe. I've tried to work with Mr. Segall to set up supervised visitation. Children's Hospital is now available to do the supervised visitation, and that is what we're asking the Court to enter and keep the restraining order. We do have temporary orders set for June -- June 11th. I tried to get their earliest date possible which was May 11th, but Mr. Segall was not available. I agred to acommodate his calendar and reschedule the temporary orders. Thank you.

MR. SEGALL: We'd call the Respondent to the stand.

2 was called as a witness to testify on his own behalf, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows: 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEGALL: 5 6 Will you state your name and spell your last name. Harmon Wilfred, W-i-l-f-r-e-d. 7 Α And how old are you, Sir? 8 Q 9 Thirty-nine. Α 10 And how are you employed? Q 11 Self-employed through the corporation that I've 12 created called Westmarc. Q Did you read the allegations contained in the Motion 13 for Temporary Restraining Order filed by your wife? 14 15 Α Yes, I did. Okay. Did you ever threaten to take the child 16 from her possession? 17 Α Never. 18 19 Okay. What, if anything, happened in February -late February or early March of this year that precipitated 20 this restraining order? 21 You mean before the adoption was finalized? 22 No, after the adoption was finalized. 23 Q I can't tell you anything that actually occurred 24 A that would precipitate a restraining order of this magnitude. 25

HARMON WILFRED,

]

- Q Okay. How did you adopt the child Tyler?
- A We adopted him through Lutheran Social Services. We were on an adoption list for nearly four years.
  - Q And when was Tyler born?
  - A December 30, 1987.
  - Q And when was the adoption actually finalized?
- A I think it was January. Well, actually received the child January 9th. It was final June the 9th the following year.
  - 0 1988?
  - A Probably.
- Q And were there problems with your marriage during this time?
- A We had been through considerable financial difficulty during this time. I'm in the real estate business, especially in the shopping centers and office building, and I think it's common knowledge that it had been a real difficult thing to deal with at this time. When we received Tyler, we still had a considerable amount to pay, and I think that the financial difficulty was causing considerable strain in the marriage.
  - Q Did you ever strike Mrs. Wilfred?
- A We had some difficulty in October of last year. Let me just give you some background. When there was financial difficulty, Sandy became very angry with me. She felt certain

she was going to lose the child, so I had already talked to 1 Social Services. 2 filed bankruptcy we wouldn't lose. She began at that time to 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talk about leaving me even before the adoption was finalized. What I had said to her at that time was that I would not go before a judge and lie to a judge because she wanted to leave. She was going to take the child after the adoption was finalized. Well, I wouldn't go before a judge a lie and say everything was fine with the family and do a disservice to the adoption as well as the birth mother, and she interpreted that I was going to have the child removed from her, and since then she's been incredibly paranoid about losing the child.

They basically said that even if I had

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the use of the word paranoid.

> THE COURT: Sustained. That will be stricken.

MR. WIFLRED: Okay. I'm sorry, Your Honor.

(By Mr. Segall) You may proceed. Q

But, we talked about it some, and she began to Α settle down a little bit. As soon as the adoption was final, she began to talk about leaving me again to a point where she actually called me when I was out of town once and told me she was considering taking the furniture and moving out.

- Do you recall when that was?
- It was probably in June or July. Α

2

1988? 0

11

12

10

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Yes, keeping in mind the financial difficulties had only gotten worse. It hadn't gotten better. Things were real tough. In fact, until around May or June when things eased up a bit, things were really tough on Sandy. I don't blame her if she was feeling security was something that was very high on her list, but for what is going on right now, there's no rhyme or reason for it. As time went on, her anger developed to the point where from around June or July on, she wouldn't even touch me. She wouldn't touch me. wouldn't let me touch her.

THE COURT: Mr. Segall, ask him to just answer the question. We have a nice history. We don't need to go any further.

- (By Mr. Segall) Was there ever any physical altercation between yourself and Mrs. Wilfred?
  - Yes.
  - When did that occur? 0
  - In October. Α
  - Of what year? Q
  - Last year. Α
  - What happened? Q
- We had gone to bed. I had approached my wife in Α bed. She turned her back on me as she usually had done. was five or six months since she had touched me physically.

I had gotten -- I hit a breaking point. I got out of bed.

I wanted to talk. I wanted to discuss what she was feeling.

She not only did not want to discuss it, she begun yelling at me. I got up and I pulled the covers off the bed. I said, "You're not going to go to sleep until we talk." She begun to kick me below the belt. I bent over. She slapped me in the face, so I slapped her back.

Q Was there -- other than that, were there any other physical altercations other than the police report that you were referring to earlier on Christmas Eve of '88?

A Yeah. I came home from work. Sandy insisted that I be Santa Claus for a kid's party. I told her I was tired, I didn't feel like it. She got very angry with me. She got up and put Tyler down on the landing inside a little fenced area and went into the bathroom. He was screaming. I went upstairs. I picked him up to stop him from screaming. She came out, hysterical, and began to hit me and trying to take the baby away on the landing upstairs near the banister.

so I -- I pulled away. I put my hand out to try to stop her, and she bit my hand. I've actually got a scar on my hand where she was biting me. I tried to pull my hand back. She held onto it and fell down. I went downstairs, out the front door knowing that she would not continue to behalf that way if I went out. When I did that, she called the police and told the police taht I was beating her up.

So I brought the baby back in. I handed the baby back to her. I left the house to give her some time to calm down. I came back a half an hour later. Sure enough, there were two police cars there. They took me in the interviewing room. The police report pretty well confirms that.

MR. SEGALL: If I may have the police report marked.

MS. EDINBURG: I'm going to object, Your Honor. He can mark it, but it's a hearsay document.

MR. SEGALL: Your Honor, if I may, I'd move for the admission of Respondent's A which is self-authenticated. The copy present to the courthouse has stamped Arapahoe County Sheriff's Department and the signature of the custodian of records indicating that it's a true and authenticated copy of their report. She was subpoenaed. She provided me with a copy of the report of this incident.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the admission of Respondent's A. It's a hearsay document. Although the documents are not presented for cross-examination, I think it's substantially inappropriate to produce a document this way that purports to draw a conclusion and has information that I think is not proper before the Court today.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. SEGALL: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Segall, that document is no more

authenticated than his testifying as to his version of what happened to me and her testifying to what her version of it. Those policemen were not there.

MR. SEGALL: Okay.

THE COURT: They were there after the fact. That's why I'm not admitting those. You might just as well know that it's their conclusion after talking to these people. They did not all observe the altercation. They are not here for cross-examination. Ms. Edinburg would naturally want to ask them questions. They're just not worth the paper they're written on.

MR. SEGALL: I'm certainly not arguing with the Court. The report of the Sheriff's officers are premised upon what the parties told to the Sheriff's officers, and it is the record that's kept in the ordinary course of business. The custodian was subpoenaed and --

THE COURT: The custodian is not what Ms. Edinburg wants. Ms. Edinburg wants the partis that were there, the police officers.

MR. SEGALL: May I approach the witness?
THE COURT: Yes, You may.

Q (By Mr. Segall) Now, I'm handing you what's been marked for identification as Respondent's Exhibit B. What is that?

A They look like photographs of the inside of our house.

Who took them? 1 Q I did. 2 Α When did you take them? 3 I took them just after Sandy moved out. Α 4 Do they substantially represent or depict the Q 5 condition of your house? 6 Yes. 7 Α MR. SEGALL: Move for the admission of Respondent's 8 в. I would May I see it? (Pause) MS. EDINBURG: 10 object to the admission of this evidence -- of this exhibit. 11 It's not relevant as far as a restraining order is concerned. 12 We have --13 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 14 MR. SEGALL: The reason is that an entire household 15 of furniture was moved out apparently on an emergency basis, 16 and if, in fact, a restraining order is issued when there is 17 an emergency, it would appear to be very clear that moving 18 an entire household of furniture with a 15-month old child 19 probably was planned in advance. I mean, it was just 20 incredible to think that this could be arranged on an hour or 21 two hours' notice. 22 THE COURT: B will be received. 23 (Respondent's Exhibit B was admitted in evidence.) 24 (By Mr. Segall) Mr. Wilfred, did you ever threaten Q 25

to take the child away from Mrs. Wilfred? 1 Never. Α 2 Did she ever threaten to take the child from you? 3 Yes. Α 4 When did she do that? Q 5 When I was on a business trip back around March or Α 6 April, and i called home, and she basically said she was 7 considering seriously taking Tyler and the furniture and 8 leaving. 9 When did she leave the house? Q 10 She actually leave? Α 11 Q Yes, sir. 12 February 28th of this year. 13 Had she discussed this with you the day or two Q 14 beforehand? 15 Α No. 16 Was it with your permission? Q 17 Absolutely not. Α 18 How often have you been able to see your son since Q 19 the restraining order entered? 20 In the last seven weeks, I've seen him twice, one 21 hour a week. 22 What were the circumstances of your being able to 23 see the child? 24 I was forced to meet him at a park with an off-duty Α 25

How much time could you spend with him? 2 Q One hour each. Α 3 Is Tyler frightened of you? Q 4 Not at all. Α 5 Did you take pictures at the first meeting? Q 6 This was at the first meeting. Α 7 I'll hand you what's been marked as Respondent's C. 8 Would you identify those, please. 9 Those were pictures taken at the one hour meeting 10 at Wallace Park near the Denver Tech Center near my office. 11 When were they taken? Q 12 I'm not recalling what the exact day was. Α 13 Was it an afternoon two weeks ago? Q 14 It's probably two weeks. Α 15 Did you take those pictures? Q 16 Actually, a lot of pictures were taken by the Α 17 off-duty police officer. 18 Was that Officer Camino (phonetic)? Q 19 Yes, Dale Camino. Α 20 Was Tyler afraid to be with you? 21 Not at all. Α 22 Did he have a good time? Q 23 He had a wonderful time. Α 24 MR. SEGALL: Move for the admission of --25

policeman.

\_\_\_

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, it's not relevant to the temporary restraining order. It's not a custody hearing. I don't know what purpose it's going to have other than to prejudice the Court when you look at these pictures.

I mean, he's obviously with his dad. We have no intentions at all to preclude Mr. wilfred from seeing his child. All we're doing is making sure that Tyler is not absconded from the State or Country. I would object to the admission of Exhibits B or C.

THE COURT: C will be admitted.

(Respondent's Exhibit C was admitted in evidence.)

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred, did you write this letter?

MR. WILFRED: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: Did you send a copy?

MR. WILFRED: I did, yes. Actually there were two letters, Your Honor. One of them I did send a copy of.

THE COURT: Addressed to Ms. Edinburg, "I hereby submit our son to Sandra's custody with the hope I can visit with him?"

MR. WILFRED: That's the first one.

Q (Mr. Segall) Mr. Wilfred, when you filed that letter about March 9th, what was your hope with respect to your marriage?

A Yes -- well, I actually asked Sandy to recomit to the mariage the day before she left, and my hope, when she

left the way she did, if I offered no resistance at all to what she was asking, that would at least cause some conversation -- cause some communication to take place. I mean, I'm willing to fight for my marriage. I'm not willing to continue the marriage the way it was.

I really wanted -- I really asked her sincerely for a recommitment to the marriage even for a second month that was no reason for everything that was going on. I just -- that was my sincere cry. I mean, I wrote -- the day I wrote that letter, I did that with the whole thought that my marriage was over, and yet knowing that I offered no resistance to it, that would just ensure that it was done.

So, I just tried everything that I could to see that it wasn't over, not to offer resistance, to hopefully open up the avenue of conversation.

- Q Did Mrs. Wilfred respond to you at all?
- A That letter was written about a week after I was served. It was two weeks later before I even got a phone call in response. At that point in time, they asked for a ridiculous amount of money, that was it.
- Q Was there any contact prior to March 25th that had been to try to arrange for you to be able to see your son?
  - A No.
  - Q You're still living in the marital residence?
  - A Yes.

You're still working at the same employment where 1 2 you worked? Α Yes. 3 Did Mrs. Wilfred ever ask you to see a psychiatrist? Q 4 Yes, she did. 5 Α And why was that? 6 It was at the time she decided she did not want to Α 7 be with me any more in the marriage. I suggested that we 8 both talk about counseling. We actually sought counseling. 9 one of these psychologists that she was seeing suggested that. 10 MS. EDINBURG: Objection as to what a psychologist 11 suggested. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 Well, that's -- why don't you ask. À ]4 Did she ask, Mr. Wilfred, that you seek mental 15 health counseling? 16 Yes, she did. She said that she would leave me if 17 I didn't. 18 When you say "she", are you referring to Mrs. Q 19 20 Wiflred? Yes. Α 21 Okay. And who and when did you see a mental health 22 professional as a result of Mrs. Wilfred's request? 23 April 19th of last year. I believe it was April 19th Α 24 Q And --25

1 No. A Is there any reason why you should not be able to 2 see your son on a regular basis? 3 Absolutely not. 4 Α MR. SEGALL: I have no further questions at this 5 6 time. CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. EDINBURG: 8 Mr. Wilfred, you did write this letter, did you 9 not? It was dated March 9th? 10 Yes, I did. 11 In that letter, it reads, "However, Sandy continues 12 to feel it was harmful for me to have any contact with Tyler. 13 I will honor her feelings, and I am willing to legally 14 document such." Is that what you said? 15 That's what I wrote. 16 I hand you what's been marked Petitioner's Exhibit 1. 17 Have you ever seen this before? 18 19 Α Yes. What is it? 20 Q 21 Α It's a response. It's a letter dated when? 22 0 March 13th. 23 Α From whom? 24 Q From your office, from your paralegal.

25

Α

And what does it say about responding to your letter? 0 1 It says that it's going to be another week before 2 Α I hear anything. 3 Why? 0 4 Because you were out of town for a week. Α 5 So you got -- when your response came to my Q 6 office, I wasn't there to respond. Is that what the letter 7 says? 8 It took a week for me to get this letter, Ms. Α 9 Edinburg. 10 What's the date of the letter? Q 11 It's the 13th. Sandy walked out the 28th of 12 February. She filed the --13 Just a minute. Q 14 -- 3rd of March. 15 You mailed this on March 9th which was a Thursday. 16 It was supposed to have gotten to my office on Saturday. 17 You got a response from my office dated Monday, the 13th; 18 is that correct? 19 That's correct. 20 MS. EDINBURG: I'd offer --21 It's a response that got --Α 22 That's not what I asked you. (By Ms. Edinburg) Q 23 MS. EDINBURG: I'd offer Petitioner's Exhibit 1. 24 MR. SEGALL: No objection. 25

THE COURT: 1 will be received.

MS. EDINBERG:

25

It's a court notice of foreclosure.

I think it can be admitted.

MR. SEGALL: I haven't seen it. It's a notice, if the Court please. It's a notice signed by Victor L. Wallace, the second is signed by Kyle Doslos (phonetic). It's not a certified copy, and the allegations contained in the notice -- Mr. Wallace's allegations pursuant to the public trustee's foreclosure statute, it appears it's a Rule 120 notice, and that, too, is hearsay inasmuch as the Sheriff's Department report is hearsay. This isn't even certified.

MS. EDINBERG: Your Honor, this is a legal document. There's another action pending that is related to the marital assets to these parties. I do have the verified documents that is authenticated. It was the notice that he had received that the properties were in foreclosure.

THE COURT: I think it's admissible.

MS. EDINBERG: Thank you.

Q (By Ms. Edinberg) Mr. Wilfred, isn't it true that you and Sandy have had several marital problems, and you knew things were not going well for the last several months?

A Oh, I've known that Sandy made me very aware of that.

Q Did you know that part of that had to do with your new-found religion in terms of your becoming a better Christian or a better, more religious person?

A I certainly have no evidence of that.

1	Q (By Ms. Edinberg) You do think of yourself as
2	a saint, don't you, yes or no?
3	A The Bible states
4	Q I'm not
5	A as a born-again Christian, the Bible says you're
6	a saint.
7	Q So, you think you're a saint?
8	A I don't call myself Saint Harmon nor have I insisted
9	Sandy do that.
10	Q Mr. Wilfred, isn't it true you took fund from the
וו	marriage and gave several thousand dollars to the church in
12	January and February?
13	A I gave my tithe, 10 percent.
14	Q How much was that?
15	A Two thousand which was two thousand of twenty
16	thousand.
17	Q Of what month?
18	A I'm not sure, either December or January.
19	Q Sandy gave you permission to do that?
20	MR. SEGALL: Objection as to relevance.
21	MS. EDINBERG: It's relevancy is to why we got the
22	restraining order. This man was dissipating marital assets.
23	THE COURT: Overruled.
24	Q (By Ms. Edinberg) Isn't is true you also bought
25	Mr. Jones a new van for about \$2,000? You took marital funds

] and did that, yes or no? 2 Α Yes. 3 Isn't is true that you have two accounts that you 4 call the war chest accounts --5 No, that's not true. 6 -- hidden? Q 7 That's not true. Α 8 Do you have two accounts that you call war chest? Q 9 MR. SEGALL: Objection as to relevance of what his 10 financial state is. The Court said this is not a temporary ]] orders nor is it discovery of assets. 12 THE COURT: Well, it's more to set aside a restrain-13 ing order. She is showing why she asked for a restraining 14 I need to know what assets he has had. order. 15 (By Ms. Edinberg) You had a bank account, joint 16 bank account that had about \$10,000 in it in January, yes or 17 no? 18 Yes. 19 And when Sandy called the bank on February 27th, if 20 I told you there was less than a thousand in that account, 21 would you agree? 22 I would agree. 23 You've taken -- you had access to take those funds? 24 I have moved those funds when she threatened to 25 move me.

1	Q	You moved them?	
2	A	I did. She did not give me notice when she left.	
3	Q	You didn't give her notice.	
4	Q	No, I didn't.	
5	Q	Do you have funds in excess of one thousand in these	
6	war chest	accounts?	
7	A	No.	
8	Q	You're under oath, Mr. Wilfred.	
9	A	I said no.	
10	Q	Do you have an account with \$50,000?	
11	A	No.	
12	Q	Now, you've never had children; is that correct?	
13	A	That's correct.	
14	Q	And how old are you?	
15	A	Thirty nine.	
16	Q	And isn't is true that Mrs. Wilfred was the primary	
17	care take	r of Tyler? Would you agree she was primary care	
18	taker?		
19	A	That's not true.	
20	Q	She was the person that stayed at home every day and	
21	took		
22	A	She did not stay home. She worked at the office mos	
23	every day.		
24	Q	There was a dispute about her working at the office.	
25	You điđn'	t want Tyler in a day care center?	

A I didn't want him there five days a week.

MR. SEGALL: Your Honor, objection to the relevance. This was a temporary-orders type of question as to who is the primary care taker, and like I just said, I believe that's not relevant. The issue is one of injury if Mr. Wilfred is not restrained from having anything but supervised contact with his son.

MS. EDINBERG: Well, Mr. Segall argued several points. Number one, he's alleging she's not a fit and property person to have temporary possession of this child, that he was the primary care taker, so it's relevant on that. Number two, he doesn't want her to work because he wants her home. He didn't want the child in day care. Now, he's filed a response that she's mentally incapable, yet he fired her from her job because he wanted her home to take care of her son.

As far as why she's home, Mr. Wilfred knows exactly why she and Tyler are staying away with very close friends.

In fact, the person that fixed them up, he told me on the telephone exactly why she was living there.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. EDINBURG: Thank you.

Q (By Ms. Edinberg) Mr. Wilfred, you know exactly why Sandy and Tyler left you.

A I had to discover it on my own. I didn't know why.

You told me on a telephone conversation, I believe,

24

25

isn't that true?

- My motivation was to bring my son home where he's
- That motivation to bring your son home is not only going to get Sandy backk it's going to get your son back; isn't that true?
- Sandy is unhappy with me. I'm willing to respect that. I'm willing to accept the decree for divorce and get on with my life. I'm not willing to accept her taking my son -our son away. That's not acceptable.
- Now, taking your son away, Sandy hasn't left the state, has she?
- Sandy asked for permission to go back and visit her parents and your parents, and you initially agreed that was a good idea, didn't you? You agreed with me that was a wonderful
- I felt initially it was something she could do until all this nonsense with the money and all. It's outrageous.
  - Nonsense?
  - Yes.
- Okay. You wrote me a letter. You basically said on March 9th, "You tell me what Sandy wants, and I'll sign legal documents and commit to support her." Didn't you tell me that?

1	A I put that in a letter as a form of nonresistance,
2	as I recall.
3	Q I called on March 23rd and told what Sandy needed
4	on a monthly basis to support herself and her son?
5	A It was ridiculous.
6	Q It was two or three thousand?
7	A It was four thousand a month.
8	Q It was ridiculous?
9	A I don't even make that much.
10	Q You made in excess of \$12,000?
11	A I do not.
12	MS. EDINBERG: I have nothing further.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Segall.
14	MR. SEGALL: I have nothing further.
15	THE COURT: You may step down.
16	MR. SEGALL: I would call Mary Beth Conrad.
17	MARY BETH CONRAD,
18	was called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent, having
19	first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. SEGALL:
22	Q Will you please state your name and spellin your
23	last name for the record.
24	A It's Mary Elizabeth Conrad, C-o-n-r-a-d.
25	Q How are you employed?

Α

Yes. Α 1 MR. SEGALL: I'm going to say for the record, it's 2 not Mrs. Tyler. It's Mrs. Wilfred. 3 Excuse me, Mrs. Wilfred. I'm sorry. 4 Yes, we do need to screen, identify information. 5 (By Mr. Segall) And what did Mrs. Wilfred tell 0 6 the birth mother with respect to the status of hers and Mr. 7 Harmon Wilfred's marriage? 8 MS. EDINBURG: Objection, irrelevant. 9 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 10 MR. SEGALL: Your Honor, they've asked for a 11 restraining order saying that irreparable injury would occur 12 She's writing a letter to the natural birth mother of 13 their children and presumably they are being honest with 14 them as to the conditions that Tyler is undergoing around 15 the parties' home. I think it is very relevant. 16 THE COURT: Well, I suspect she wasn't being 17 honest, but go ahead. 18 I saw no indication of any trouble in the home. 19 (By Mr. Segall) Okay. When was the last set of 20 letters that she wrote to the birth mother? 21 If my memory serves me correctly, it was the 22 beginning of March. 23 Of this year? Α 24

Α

25

Yes.

So, if Mrs. Wilfred said that there wasn't

'	A Boile.
2	Q Do you draw on this experience in making your
3	recommendations with respect to adoptions?
4	A Yes, I do.
5	Q Do you believe that it is appropriate parent/child
6	interaction to see one another once a week in the middle of
7	a park with a police officer present?
8	MS. EDINBURG: Objection.
9	THE COURT: How many divorces have you dealt with,
10	Ma'am?
11	THE WITNESS: None.
12	THE COURT: Well, in the middle of a divorce
13	action, we have people getting a divorce who also adopts a
14	baby.
15	Q (By Mr. Segall) Is there anything about Mr. Harmon
16	sorry, Mr. Wilfred that you perceive to be a danger to Tyler?
17	A Not from what I have witnessed.
18	Q Is there anything about Mr. Wilfred you perceive to
19	be a danger to any other member of his family?
20	A Not what I saw during the time I did the home study.
21	MR. SEGALL: I have nothing further.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. EDINBURG:
24	Q When did you do that home study, Mrs. Carnab?
25	A Conrad.

Conrad, I'm sorry.

1 Yes. Α 2 How did she answer that question? Q 3 She has not. Α 4 Did you believe her? Q 5 Yes. Α 6 Did you find anything about Mrs. Wilfred at all that indicated she had any "emotional imbalance?" 7 8 Α No. Would you have placed this child with her and 9 Q 10 Mr. Wilfred if you believed she had an emotional imbalance? 11 No. Α 12 Now, you haven't really observed Mr. Wilfred or Mrs. Wilfred with this child for the last year, have you? 13 14 Not since October of '88. Α Well, that was just a brief visit in your office; 15 16 isn't that true? 17 Roughly three hours -- two or three hours. 18 You haven't been to their home or done any home 19 visits in almost a year? 20 Right, correct. Α Did you do any psychological testing of Mrs. Wilfred 21 22 or Mr. Wilfred? We begun the use of the MMPI with all adoptive 23 parents. I hate to say, I can't remember at what point we 24 25 began using them.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEGALL:

]]

- Q Will you please state your name.
- A Sandra Ann Wilfred.
- Q How old are you?
- A Thirty nine.
- Q When did you decide to leave the marital residence?
- A I decided to leave the morning of February 28th.
- Q When did you actually leave the marital residence?
- A I think two or three o'clock that afternoon.
- Q Did you move all of the furniture from the marital residence?
  - A No, I never removed all the furniture.
  - Q What furniture did you leave?
- A I left the dining room set. I left all the kitchen equipment. Basically most of everything in the kitchen I left. I left all of the family room furniture. I left every piece of equipment in the garage. I left the barbecue grill. I left a brand new bed we had just bought. I left almost everything in the basement, tools, miscellaneous things, and the only reason I took what I did, I wasn't going to take as much as I did. I had phoned the bank and found out all those large amounts of money had been taken out of our bank accounts.
  - Q Isn't is true, Mr. Wilfred bought you a fur coat

several months before, and you turned it in and bought a 1 cheaper coat, and the exact difference --2 MS. EDINBURG: Objection, relevance. 3 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 4 MR. SEGALL: They're talking about Mr. Wilfred taking 5 I think it's relevant how she's taking money as well. 6 THE COURT: Well, it's sort of interesting. I 7 think the coat was hers. That's fine. I'll let it in. 8 (By Mr. Segall) That's correct. 9 I did that. I had a checking account where I 10 Α deposited my paycheck. 11 And you had those monies to utilize for yourself; 12 is that correct? 13 Uh-hum. 14 Α Did you -- have you made any payment on your 15 town home or your car since you left? 16 No because the restraining order indicates that 17 Harmon would continue making the payments. 18 That he is, so it's your interpretation that Harmon 19 is obligated to continue making all these payments? 20 That was my understanding, yes because I'm un-21 22 employed. Do you know that he is -- if he's making these 23 payments? 24 Α None. 25

2 No, I haven't. Α 3 Are you working now? Q 4 Α No, I'm not. 5 Why is it that Mr. Wilfred can only see the child Q in a park with a police officer? 6 7 Well, he did not request to for the first 30 days, number one, and actually Harmon feels that he would not even 8 request to see his own son in the first 30 days, and secondly, 9 10 we are allowing a police officer who knows Tyler, and we 11 felt it would be more comfortable to meet in a park with 12 Dale as an off-duty police officer. 13 Do you think that's best for Tyler? Q 14 I think I was supervised visitation at this time. 15 Q Why? 16 Because I'm afraid. Α Afraid that he will leave the State with the child? 17 Q 18 Yes. Α Isn't it true that Mr. Wilfred is involved 19 Okay. Q in a Chapter 11 bankruptcy with respect to the property that 20 21 your attorney mentioned? 22 It's my understanding, yes. 23 Where are you afraid he's going to go? My fear is that he could go to Mexico. He's 24 talking about setting up a school. He's been saving money. 25

Have you called to find out?

1

Q

We dealt with a similar problem back in May of 1988 where he would hold money back. He called it is war chest at that time. He would hold money back, pay attorney's fees if we had to go to court, and we had almost \$11,000 in the checking account, and he had a personal account that had about \$22,000 in that account. And I just, I think he's holding back money, and I have a fear he'd take Tyler.

- Q Holding back money to litigate a divorce or holding back money to disappear from Colorado?
  - A My fear is that he would disappear from Colorado.
  - Q He's told you that he's going to leave the State?
- A No, but he did tell me that he had God's authority to take possession of Tyler.
- Q Isn't is true that he told you that he had as much right to custody of Tyler that you did?
  - A We did not discuss custody.
- Q Isn't it true that you bit his finger on Christmas Eve of 1988?
  - A As he hit me, I bit his finger.
  - Q That's what you told the Sheriff's deputy?
  - A Yes, it is. It's in the report.
  - Q Okay.
  - A He had his hand raised to me.
  - O He never hit you, did he?
    - A He was pushing me. He indicated "I'm going to have

He had his hand raised when you bit him? 2 It was at my face. Α 3 It was just catching his finger in your mouth? 4 He was pushing like this (indicating). He had his 5 Α hand on my face trying to push me down. I bit his hand. 6 Isn't is true that Mr. Wilfred voluntarily left 7 the house after this argument? 8 He did leave the house, yes, he did. 9 And isn't is true that both you and he agreed when 10 the Sheriffs were there that there would not be any further 11 confrontation, you had pursued counsel? 12 That's correct. Α 13 Mr. Wilfred claimed that you were the aggressive 14 party. Is that true what you told the Sheriff? 15 MS. EDINBURG: Objection to what she told the 16 Sheriff. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 (By Mr. Segall) Were you present when he gave his 19 report to the Sheriff? 20 No, I was not. 21 Α Were you asked whether or not you wanted to press 22 charges? 23 MS. EDINBURG: Objection. He's trying to get into 24 the Sheriff's report another way. 25

to slam you around to get you calmed down.

THE COURT: Sustained. 1 MR. SEGALL: She was there. 2 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 3 (By Mr. Segall) You may answer. 4 Q I'm sorry, what's the question? 5 Α Did the Sheriff's deputy ask you if you wanted to 6 0 press charges against Mr. Wilfred? 7 I don't recall. I'm sure she probably did, but 8 I don't recall. He was very very upset. 9 Isn't it true you refused to press charges? 10 I don't recall, I'm sorry. 11 Did you tell the birth mother in your letter that in 12 0 March of this year that the family was doing well? 13 I have a copy of the letter in my car, but I don't 14 recall verbatim what I put in that letter. Generall, I spoke 15 about Tyler, what he was learning, what he's doing. I may 16 have mentioned the family. I don't think I did. 17 Okay. Did you mention that you were in the process 18 of obtaining a restraining order preventing Mr. Tyler (sic) 19 from seeing his own child other than in a supervised setting? 20 That letter was written on January 8th, and I 21 hadn't even requested a restraining order at that time. 22 may not have received it until March, but it wasn't written. 23 I wrote it in January. I wrote it over a period of serval 24 weeks. I didn't sit down and write a three-page letter in 25

one night, so I wrote it in sections. 1 Isn't it true that you took Tyler and the furniture 2 from the marital residence because you were afraid of losing 3 4 Tyler? When I was told that morning that Harmon was moving 5 Α 6 out. 7 Excuse me, who told you? 0 He did. 8 Α Harmon told you he was moving out? 9 Yes, that he was moving out and that he had his list 10 of items that he was taking with him, and he told me had some 11 things on that list that he was going to take, and I said, 12 "Oh, yes, what is that?" He said, "God has given me the 13 authority to take possession of Tyler." I'll never forget 14 those words as long as I live, and I said, "Oh, yes, we'll 15 see about that." He turned around and went to work, and I 16 immediately called a friend. 17 Did he take Tyler with him when he went to to work? 18 Q No, he did not. 19 Α So you took Tyler with you; is that right? 20 Q No, I didn't take him at that time. I made a phone 21 Α call. 22 Is that the 28th of February? 23 Q

A Uh-hum.

24

25

Q Do you have a yes or no for the record?

'	A I W Soria
2	Q Would you answer yes or no for the record.
3	A Was your question, did I take
4	Q You took Tyler on the 28th, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And this incident that you were testifying to
7	occurred on the morning of the 28th; is that correct?
8	A Yes, uh-hum.
9	Q So you took your authority to take Tyler from his
10	home?
11	MS. EDINBURG: Objection as to the form of the
12	question.
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	Q (By Mr. Segall) Upon whose authority did you feel
15	that it was appropriate to take Tyler from his house?
16	MS. EDINBURG: Objection to the form of the question
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	Q (By Mr. Segall) Why did you take Tyler from the
19	house?
20	A Because I was afraid.
21	Q Afraid of what? That Mr. Wilfred would take Tyler
22	from you?
23	A I was afraid of violence.
24	Q Upon whom?
25	A Upon me and possibly Tyler.

Q Has Mr. Wilfred or Tyler ever been violent toward Tyler?

A He has shaken him, and he started spanking him when he was eight months old to my objection.

THE COURT: What did he spank him for?

MRS. WILFRED: Wriggling around when he tried to put his diaper on. I felt that was much too young.

- Q (By Mr. Segall) Who moved all the furniture from the house on February 28th?
  - A Are you asking for the company name?
  - Q Yes.
  - A Cowboy Movers.
  - Q When did you contact them?
- A I didn't contact them. My friend, Shelly, called them, Shelly Crouse, when Harmon said he was leaving and taking Tyler. I immediately got on the phone. I was very upset, and I called Shelly Crouse's residence. Richard answered the phone. I told him exactly what I just told the Court. He put his wife on. She said, "You go find a babysitter for the baby. I'll make arrangements for the moving."

It was an absolute miracle. We got moved the last day of the month, anyway because they were there within a few hours. We had packed dishes, one set of dishes. Again, this was not planned. I had everything in garbage bags.

You testified that you left the dining room set? 2 No, I took the formal dining room set. I left 3 the kitchen set. 4 You took the living room set. 5 Q Uh-hum. Α 6 You took two bedrooms worth of furniture. 0 7 Three. Α 8 The master bedroom. You left a bed for Mr. Wilfred, 9 10 correct? I left a bed, a night stand. There were several Α 11 dressers downstairs that I left. 12 You took the washer and dryer. 13 Which was mine before the marriage. One of the 14 bedroom sets were mine before the marriage. The couch in 15 the living room was mine before the marriage. A lot of items 16 were mine before we married, and again, I wouldn't have 17 taken as much if I had an opportunity to at least get half 18 of the money out of the accounts, but it was gone. Thirty 19 thousand dollars was gone. 20 The restraining order on the summons says that neither 21 side can take the child out of the State; is that true? 22 you understand that? 23 Yes. Α 24 Why do you believe it's necessary to have only Q 25

That's how I moved.

from leaving the State? 2 Because I believe if he feels that God has given him 3 authority to do it, anything in that restraining order won't 4 matter. 5 MR. SEGALL: I have nothing further at this time. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. EDINBURG: 8 Mrs. Wilfred, how long have you and Mr. Wilfred 9 been married? 10 It was six years August 8, 1988. We married 11 August 8, 1982. 12 And during the marriage, has he physically abused 0 13 14 you? He has. Α 15 On how many occasions? 0 16 Twice. Α 17 And when were they? 0 18 The first one, it occurred about the end of July. 19 Harmon had been on a twenty-one day fast. He went 21 days 20 without eating. He was only drinking fruit juices. He was 21 not feeling well. He was rebuking the devil out of me, 22 chasing me out of the house, pointing at me saying, "I rebuke 23 you, devil, to leave." He was hurting my feelings. I started 24

crying. At that time I said, "Harmon, you're really hurting

supervised access by Mr. Wilfred of the child to prevent him

]

me," and he said, "I'm glad. I'm really glad I'm hurting you because there's cleansing in pain and suffering, and so I'm very happy I'm hurting you." And he said something to the effect, I'd better get used to being put back in the furnace for a while.

- Q Who was supposed to get back?
- A He said he was going to put me in the furnace for a while.
  - Q When did he start this religion?
- A It started -- maybe this particular religion started maybe May or June of 1988. The one right before this started November of 1987. It ended about January 1987.
  - O What's the difference?
- A The one prior to this one was -- he was very involved with the New Age movement. He was seeing doctor who would hypnotize him back to a past life. He would meditate and be floating above our house saying he could see me. He wanted the doctor to reach into my body and pull an organ out without surgery -- all sorts of things like that. Finally when his partners went to his lenders and felt that he was emotionally unstable --

MR. SEGALL: Objection. What his partners said to his lenders I think is hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

business difficulty? Do you have personal knowledge of his 2 business difficulty? 3 At this time? 4 5 Q No. At that time? 6 Α Last year, were you part of the business? 7 Q No, I wasn't. 8 Α Did you witness him at home getting more and more 9 O strange and bizarre? 10 MR. SEGALL: Objection to the leading questions. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. 12 (By Ms. Edinburg) Did you witness his behavior 13 change in the last year? 14 Yes, drastically. 15 What happened? 16 Q Least year with this religion, all of a sudden it 17 Α We had a very difficult time financially, and 18 everything worked out in May. I think our marriage was 19 probably better at that time than it had been in a long time, 20 and Harmon had found -- he had been born again. He found 21 He started going to a new church, and I objected to this 22 initially because I thought it was another transition like 23 he had gone through in the past. I don't have a problem 24 necessarily with the church. I chose not to go to it. That's 25

(By Ms. Edinburg) Do you have information about his

been the problem with our marriage that I'm not a real Christian because I'm a Lutheran, and he began to be more and more involved with this church, and it almost took over his whole life being a born-again Christian.

Q Now, what about the submission? We made a statement in our initial motion to the Court. "If I did not submit to you that he would hurt me." Tell the Court about that.

A That was very common. He quoted out of the Bible directly that women have to submit to their husbands. It's in the Bible, and that was all.

Q Go on.

A Secondly, I had to have sex with him. I said, no. I felt after the incident where he rebuked the devil out of me, and he was happy when he was hurting me; that I didn't love him, anyway. I told him that I felt that my love was fading away, yet that I was so committed to the marriage, I wanted to seek counseling and work this out, and after that, he started demanding that we have a relationship, and I couldn't do it. I physically couldn't do it. It made me ill, and yet, the more upset I got about it, the more he demanded that it's in the Bible. He would quote Bible verses.

Q Now, you heard his recounting of what happened in October where you were the aggressor. Would you tell the Court what happened in your own words in October 1988.

2<sub>2</sub> 2<sub>3</sub>

A It was October 20th to be exact. We had company visiting from out of town, and it was a very very exhausting weekend for one thing, and I think we both were very tired. We had gone out to dinner with the couple and their two children. Harmon had a few beers. It was about 11 o'clock at night. He decided it was time for me to submit, and we were going to discuss this, and we were going to resolve this once and for all.

I said no, it was too late. I was tired. I had a meeting. He ripped the covers off and started prancing around the bed quoting Bible verses to me. I pulled the covers back. He did it a second time. The third time I pulled the covers -- I covered myself up again, and I was just about to fall asleep when he ripped them off again and started quoting Bible verses to me.

I was probably just a reaction, but I kicked at him and I told him to stop it. I told him that I wanted to go to sleep, and he got on his hands and knees and started hitting like this (indicating) probably a couple dozen times it happened, or it seemed like it, but probably it was just a few seconds after that. I don't remember. All I remember is getting in a car and driving to a friend's house.

Q Were you the aggressor that night?

A No, I don't believe I was. I just wanted to go to sleep.

]

Q Christmas Eve, we heard about Christmas Eve. Would you briefly tell the Court what happened Christmas Eve.

A We had plans to go to a neighbor's house for dinner. They were having a party for our son. It was a birthday party. Harmon agreed to be a Santa Claus for the neighbors. He went Christmas shopping and came back rather agitated and said that he wasn't going to the party and wasn't going to play Santa Claus; that Tyler should be with real Christians, should have a real Christian Christmas at Tom and Anit'a house. We had been invited there. I told him no, that I was going to go to this party, that we had made arrangements. I was to make something to take.

He said, "Well, you just be alone on Christmas Eve because I'm taking Tyler." And I took the baby upstairs. I wanted to finish doing my hair. Harmon came up with his coat. He picked the baby up, and I never felt such emotion with someone trying to take my baby away from me. I tried to grab him back. He started pushing me down. He pushed at me twice. The second time he had his hand on my face pushing me. I did bite his hand. He pushed me down a railing. We had a barricade for the baby so he wouldn't fall down the stairs. It fell over, so Harmon was able to go down the stairs and leave the house.

- O Did he leave the house with Tyler?
- A He did leave the house.

- Q With Tyler?
- A Yes, absolutely.
- O And what did you do?
- A I got on the phone. I called the Arapahoe County Sheriff. While I was on the phone with them, I was just finishing up my conversation and he came back with the baby throwing him on the bed and said, "Are you calling the police?" I said, "Yes." He hung up the phone, got in his car and left.
  - Q Were you the aggressor that night?
  - A No.
- Q Now, the date of your move, February 28th, was there tension building between the two of you over the previous two days?
- A Yes, absolutely. It started on Sunday. Harmon went to church. He was gone for about five hours in the morning. He came home in a couple of hours and was very angry and in an agitated state. He even yelled at me about bringing a dangerous blanket, giving it to the child because a blanket was such a dangerous item. As time went on towards evening, he was going back to church again. I'd said actually, he was very happy. He was going to leave.

He left by six or so. I was in the bedroom when he got home, so I imagine he got home after 10 o'clock.

Q You have different bedrooms; is that right?

Yes, we did. Α

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Even --0

Then the next day, he got up and he said, "I want Α to discuss something with you. It's very important." I said, "What is that?" He said, "I want an absolute 100 percent commitment to this marriage, meaning you moving back into the bedroom. If you don't do that. I'm moving out." And I said, "I don't want that. I don't want you moving out. I want to continue with counseling." "No, that's it. You have two choices. Either you move back onto the bedroom, commit to this marriage 100 percent, or I'm moving. There's a list of what I'm taking by the end of the day." And so I went to He came back taht evening and wasn't in the house five minutes and left again and went back to the church again.

And the next morning, I got up -- excuse me, when he came back from church, on Monday night, we even discussed where he was moving to, whether it was an apartment complex on University or moving with his friend, Stuward Huit (phonetic). He even discussed moving in with Stu.

Did he give you a list that night?

He said he didn't ahve it prepared. He would have it the next day. The next day as I told the Court, he said that "I have my list of items, and there's something on that list that you're not going to like, I said, "What is that?" He said, "God has given me authority to take possession

of Tyler, and I'm moving out and taking Tyler with me. I'm 1 going by the weekend." 2 Now, Sandy, up until that point in time, did you 3 ever have plans to move? 4 5 No. Α Did you even know where you were going? 6 No. 7 Α Did you have plans to move? 8 I have to say it may have been in the back of my 9 mind at times when he was yelling at me. I had no definite 10 11 plans, no. You arranged, or your friend, Shelly, arranged to 12 Q. get a mover? 13 Yes, that's right. 14 A You called Mrs. Crouse's house at 7 o'clock in 15 0 16 the morning? That's correct. 17 Α And asked them to help you and if you could stay 18 there; is that right? 19 That's correct. 20 Have you left the State with Tyler in any way since 21 that time? 22 Α No. 23 Now, we served Mr. Wilfred with a restraining 24 order on May 3rd; is that right? 25

That's correct.

1

Α

took over all the checkbooks probably last September and 1 hasn't let me see what's been deposited in the bank accounts. 2 So, we have asked for \$4,000 a month; is that Q 3 right, for Tyler's support? 4 Α Yes. 5 That's what set this whole thing off, right? 6 Right. Α Are you still afraid that he might take Tyler? Q 8 I am very much. Α 9 Why? Q 10 I feel that he's just jumping around so much. 11 makes a decision, and then the next day, it's something very 12 different. He may say anything is fine right now, yet I'll 13 bring Tyler back at a certain time, the next day, it being 14 completely different. I'm truly afraid that he will take 15 Tyler and there will be harm done to me. 16 Now, let's talk just briefly about his business 17 Since we filed the divorce, we have learned that, 18 I believe, both major shopping centers are in bankruptcy, in 19 foreclosure? 20 In foreclosure, I believe. 21 What's the amount of rent that he gets every month 22 from this? 23 I'm not sure of the exact figure. I know they are Α

probably in excess of \$100,000.

24

A Yes, it was to pray about the business, and the next thing I knew, Harmon had to be in court the day that the trip was planned to go to Hawaii. Someone else was suing him for something he to appear in court and he couldn't leave. Harmon had planned to leave, so the ended up planning to go to Mexico instead. I wasn't in town the day he left. My grandmother had passed away. I was out of town.

- Q Where was Tyler?
- A Tyler was with me.
- Q Did he say he wanted to take Tyler?
- A No.
- Q Did he object to you taking Tyler with you to your grandmother's funeral?
  - A No.
  - Q What did he tell you about Mexico?
- A He said that he had a wonderful time. They did a lot of praying. I said how much business planning did they do? He said virtually none; that we talked about the family and --

MR. SEGALL: Objection as to the relevancy of the trip to Mexico in January.

MS. EDINBURG: It goes to his state of mine, if he in fact was going to make a move.

THE COURT: Overruled.

Q (By Ms. Edinburg) What did he tell you about this

5 6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

desire that he had to start a school?

Well that they met a family. It was the cook and the gardner. She had a beautiful daughter and she loved the children; that they were going to go back in March and look at some property; that their intention was to go down to start a school.

Do you believe that he has the ability to carry out this intent?

I do. Α

Is this the kind of thing that Harmon would do, make a move to another country?

It's possible, yes. Α

Now, have you in any way not been willing to set up Q these supervised visitations?

No. Like I said, it hurt me, for example, that he didn't even request to see Tyler for the first 30 days. expected that he wanted to see his mother. When I called to wish her a happy Easter, it was very hurtful to me, and the problem is Harmon has requested that they be at his house. The person who has volunteered to do the visitation has a very tight schedule, and so right now, we're talking around details, schedules to fit it when he can be accommodated for My preference would be a supervised visitation at Children's Hospital where it would be regular and on an ongoing basis.

1	Q Have you in any way refused to look into visits?
2	A The supervised visits, no.
3	Q How many people did you contact to set up the first
4	visit?
5	A The first time you probably contacted four and most
6	of them were unwilling. Finally a friend, Dale, was more than
7	willing to help.
8	Q He was a Denver police officer?
9	A Yes, he is, but it's more than that. Tyler also
10	knows him. I didn't want to just send Tyler with a stranger.
וו	Tyler knows Dale. In fact, follows him around the house.
12	Q Let's just talk briefly about the furniture.
13	Mrs. Wilfred, did you clean out the house?
14	A No, I did not.
15	Q Would you describe your house?
16	A It's a formal living room, formal dining room,
17	two bedrooms, and Tyler's room.
18	Q And you testified to the Court that a lot of
19	furniture was yours before the marriage?
20	A Yes, it was.
21	Q And was there an agreement of what you would take?
22	A No, there wasn't.
23	Q Do you think you took more than half of the marital
24	furniture?
25	A Furniture, I probably did. When you count up the
	11

total value of the other stuff that was left such as the 1 refrigerator, all the outdoor equipment. It may be pretty 2 3 equal. Are those items in a secure place? 4 Q All of the items I took? 5 Α 6 Q Yes. 7 Α Yes. So if you give him back some of the items at a 8 0 later point in time, you can do that? 9 Yes, definitely. 10 You will not dispose any of them? 11 Q 12 No. Were you in fear when you moved out that he might 13 possibly dispose property or make donations at the church? 14 He told me everything he owned now belonged to God. 15 That's a possibility. He indicated that he gave \$2,000 to the 16 church in January. He also gave \$4,000 because I have copies 17 of all the checks. 18 So, was part of why you took a lot of the property 19 was to protect it, make sure it was there? 20 Uh-hum, yes. 21 I have nothing further, Your Honor. MS. EDINBURG: 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 24 BY MR. SEGALL:

25

How much income did Mr. Wilfred have in 1988, if you

I don't know, I'm sorry. Α 2 Do you think it's inappropriate to give \$2,000 to Q 3 charity? 4 Are you saying a total of \$2,000? 5 Α Correct. 0 6 I don't think that's inappropriate, but I'm saying 7 I didn't give \$2,000. He gave \$4,000. That was in one 8 month. 9 Wasn't \$2,000 in investment in business with 10 Mr. Jones? 11 No, it wasn't. It was written to the Word of Life Α 12 Outreach Center, and I have a copy of the check. 13 When did you stop having a sexual relationship with 14 Mr. Wilfred? 15 Probably summer of 1988, I think, after he was 16 rebuking the devil out of me. I had no desire any more to 17 sleep with him. 18 And if he would try and have sex with you, did you 19 think that that would be inappropriate for him to try to 20 have sex with his wife? 21 MS. EDINBURG: Objection, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 (By Mr. Segall) With his actions in trying to Q 24 maintain a sexual relationship with you during the course of 25

know?

the marriage necessitated a restraining order according -MS. EDINBURG: Objection. That's not why we got a
restraining order.

THE COURT: The Petitioner testified to that, Ms. Edinburg. He didn't testify to that. I think you need to phrase your question better. I think we're going to take a short recess. I'd like to see Counsel in chambers.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken at 3:53 p.m.)

MS. EDINBURG: My client just left for the rest room. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. EDINBURG: Do you want me to interrupt her testimony?

THE COURT: We can do that. Let's take an offer of proof from your next witness unless you feel you need to have testimony.

MR. SEGALL: The offer of proof with respect to Stuart Huitt (phonetic). He's known my client for 12 years. He's been a friend of his and his wife for about 12 years, and Mr. Huitt would testify that Mr. Wilfred is a loving father, an honest individual, hard working man, religious man, that he has a good relationship with his child. There would be no reason for Mr. Wilfred to have supervised visitation or access of the child other than Mr. Wilfred is not a candidate who would pick up and leave the State of Colorado and move to

another state or foreign country. That would be the offer of 1 proof for Mr. Huitt. 2 THE COURT: Mrs. Wilfred, if you will take the 3 stand. 4 MRS. WILFRED: I'm sorry. 5 (By Mr. Segall) Mrs. Wilfred, you said that you Q 6 wanted to continue with counseling? 7 Yes, I did. Α 8 When was this? 9 That was the day before I moved out. 10 Okay. And who was this marriage counselor that 11 both of you participated in? 12 Well, at the time, we weren't participating at all 13 because the last counselor we were seeing had resigned, and 14 we haven't found another one. 15 And who were you counseling with? 16 That at the time was Jan Codwall (phonetic). Α 17 I believe was a Christian counselor. 18 And you have a personal therapist as well? Q 19 No, I don't. Α 20 Do you see Dr. Henry Foke (phonetic)? Q 21 I don't -- I saw Harry Foke who Harry Foke, I do. 22 was a marriage counselor who was referred to me by my pastor 23

on October the 11th after the incident where I had to run

out of the house. I called my pastor to find out who a

24

]

You never left Tyler alone with Mr. Wilfred? 1 Q 2 No, I did not. Α How often was Mr. Wilfred alone with Tyler the last 3 Q few months, from March 3rd -- prior to March 3rd -- from 4 February 28th of this year? 5 Not very often. I did go shopping on February 25th, 6 and I was gone for a few hours. Tyler napped most of that 7 time. Generally I tried to leave while he was napping. 8 It was uncommon for Mr. Wilfred to work at home? 9 Q 10 Α It was uncommon. Correct? 11 Q He usually did not work at home. 12 Α Did he bring work home from the office -- come home 13 before 5 o'clock? 14 Oh, never before 5 o'clock, no. 15 Are you and Mr. Wilfred investors in a day care 16 center that's run by Mr. Jones located at one of the 17 shopping centers that Mr. Wilfred has an interest in? 18 It's my understanding that we -- he has half 19 I have never seen any documentation to that 20 ownership. effect. I signed something that day. I have never seen 21 22 anything. Your not active in the management? 23 Q Not at all. 24 Α Do you know who is? 25 Q

1	A As far as I know, his wife, Anita, is the director,
2	if she still is.
3	Q So, the \$2,000 that was given to Mr. Jones, was
4	that for investment in this business?
5	A I have no idea. I don't know. The note was
6	written until the bank statement came. There was \$2,000
7	written to Tom Jones, and there was \$4,000 given to the
8	church.
9	Q You said that Mr. Wilfred had \$38,000 personal
10	income in January of 1989?
11	A I don't know whether it was personal income or not.
12	All I know is that that's what was deposited in the bank.
13	The bank statement said it was deposited into the account.
14	Q What sort of income did he have in the months of
15	1988?
16	A I have no idea. He took all the check books.
17	Q When you were living together, you have no idea
18	what income was coming into the house?
19	A No, I wasn't allowed to know because I wasn't a
20	real Christian wife.
21	Q When were you working as the property manager for
22	one of the companies that Mr. Wilfred was involved?
23	A Are you asking as to the dates?
24	Q Yes.
25	A I was working as a property manager from September of

1988 until January of 1989.

- Q Okay. How much were you paid?
- A I was paid \$2,000 a month.
- Q And you were fired?

A I wasn't fired. I was laid off because at that time, Harmon said that I had been ill. I came down with a cold right after Christmas, and he actually personally spent time with Tyler, and I decided that Tyler was spending too much time in a day care, and that one of us — he asked how I liked my job, whether I liked what I was doing. I said yes, I really liked it, and he said, well, that he decided then that I was going to start working out of the house, and I was going to stay home and take care of Tyler because God had told him one of us had to stay home. Tyler was spending too much time in day care, and one of us had to stay home with him. I wasn't even asked because the fact that I trusted Harmon all the time, I chose to stay home with Tyler.

Q When you were in the process of adopting Tyler, did you trust Harmon with him?

A When we were in the process of adopting, before we got him?

Q Yes.

A I don't know. He's never been around a child before. I assumed he could handle a child.

Q What about before the adoption actually became

final?

A Like I said in January, he wasn't around very much, anyway. He was going down to Colorado Springs to meditate with a friend down there, so he left the house a couple days during the week, anyway. So he's time with tyler was maybe an hour or two a week.

Q A day or two a week he was living in the Denver area?

- A Uh-hum.
- Q Sleeping at your house?

A Uh-hum. I'm talking quality time where he really plays with Tyler, and now, it's useless because he goes to church so much, I don't think I can even expect him to see his son if he is gone almost all day on Sunday. He is gone Monday. He is gone Thursday night. He usually works Saturday.

Q Did you make any effort yourselt not through your attorney but yourself to try and arrange for Mr. Wilfred to see Tyler between March 3rd and March 23rd?

MS. EDINBURG: Objection, Your Honor. The restraining Order specifies that the visitation is to be set up by me through me so she would be violating the restraining order.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q (By Mr. Segall) Why did you contact his parents?

3	Easter.
4	Q Did you tell them that you got a restraining order
5	against Mr. Wilfred?
6	A No, I did not.
7	Q Who besides Dale Kenney do you think is appropriate
8	to supervise the visitation that you're willing to let Mr.
9	Wilfred have?
10	A Children's Hospital.
11	Q Now, your fear is that Mr. Wilfred will injure
12	Tyler, or
13	A My fear is that he will take him, and my fear is
14	that he could injure me. I don't think he would intentionally
15	injure Tyler, but I fear that I could be injured.
16	MR. SEGALL: I have nothing further.
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. EDINBURG:
19	Q Sandy, you testified that you were committed to the
20	marriage. Why did you put up with all this? Why did you
21	stay there and allow all this odd behavior to keep on
22	going?
23	MR. SEGALL: Objection to the characterization of
24	Ms. Edinburg testifying.
25	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

To tell them that I had moved out; that I had

Tyler and he was fine; the second time to wish them a happy

Α

I guess --2 Α (By Ms. Edinburg) Wait, wait. 3 0 I'm sorry. 4 Α Why were you so committed to the marriage? 5 Q I think mainly because of Tyler. I think that I'm 6 Α just a committed person. I don't change quickly once I'm 7 locked into something. I stay until the very end, and I 8 think that I stayed until I could not take it any more. 9 think it was harmful to Tyler to see this kind of behavior. 10 It wasn't for his benefit, either. 11 THE COURT: Have you every been under psychological 12 or psychiatric care? 13 MRS. WILFRED: The only person I saw was Harry 14 Foke, who is a marriage counselor. I saw him six times. 15 THE COURT: Why did your husband allege that in 16 the pleadings? 17 MRS. WILFRED: I have no idea. 18 MR. SEGALL: Your Honor, I wrote the pleading, if 19 My choice of words were perhaps inartful, the Court please. 20 but it was intended to be that since the adoption has taken 21 The marriage was deteriorating, and my client advised 22 me that Mrs. Wilfred has been very afraid of losing the child 23 because of the financial problems. Those were my words and 24

not Mr. Wilfred's words.

MS. EDINBURG: I'll rephrase the question.

1

25

THE COURT: you may step down.

MS. EDINBURG: May I just ask another question?

THE COURT: Yes. And Mrs. Wilfred, there was a statement made by Mr. Segall as well in the same pleading that you had been physically abusive to the Respondent. Did you ever physically abuse him?

MRS. WILFRED: i never did.

- Q (By Ms. Edinburg) There was the incident where you bit his hand.
  - A Yes.
  - Q You kicked him.
- A And I kicked him. I felt both times very threatened. The time that I kicked him, I literally felt I was about to be raped. The second time he was striking me, and I bit him in self defense.
- Q There's a statement that you're extremely paranoid about losing the minor child ever since the adoption. Are you afraid that you're going to lose this child?
- A My only fear is that Harmon would take him. I have no fear of losing him to his birth mother or anybody else. In fact, Mary Conrad could testify to the fact that we're probably more open than a lot of adoptive parents, adoptive mothers. I wrote to his birth mother probably once in three or four months. We have had two visits with her. We met her when he was eight months old. I have no fear. My only

fear is that Harmon would take him. His first threat occurred right after the adoption was final. That was, he said, that unless I did what he said -- I forget what he even wanted me to do, but he was threatening before the adoption hearing, and that he would testify that Tyler should go to two Christian parents, and therefore, mess up the adoption. That's when the threats started about a month before the adoption was finalized.

O That was last summer?

A That was July 21st for the exact adoption for him. Harmon testified it was June. It was in July.

Q He said two parents were implicated, not the two of you, but two other people?

A That's correct.

Q Does it imply to you that he was not bonded or attached to Tyler?

A I think anybody who would have been with a child for about six to eight months, your own child, then allow somebody to take him away or want him taken by two other people, I just don't believe he was.

Q Now, you testified to Mr. Segall's questions that the quality time Mr. Wilfred spent with this child since being placed with you is about one or two hours a week?

A That's about right.

Q So this current visitation, if in fact the Court

continues the supervised visitation, is the same amount of time Tyler would had with his dad before the separation?

Month of August, in fact, I think it was right after our anniversary, Harmon moved up into the upper bedroom, would come home at night, take his Bible and go up and read the Bible. He did that for a solid month every night, every weekend. That's all he did was read the Bible. He did not see Tyler at all for that month other than a few minutes a day.

MS. EDINBURG: Thank you. I have nothing further.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SEGALL:

- Q Isn't it true, Mrs. Wilfred, that you were having problems with your marriage before the adoption became final?
  - A Before the adoption became final?
  - Q Correct.
- A Not that I know other than the threat that he wanted me to become a Christian.
- Q Isn't it true Mr. Wilfred said he didn't want to lie or wouldn't lie in front of the adoption judge and say that the marriage was solid if it wasn't?
- A He told me if I didn't do what he wanted, that he would go before the judge and tell the judge that Tyler should be taken away and give to two Christian people.

Q Isn't it true that he said he would tell the judge the truth if the marriage was not stable enough so that the child would not be placed in an adoption -- in a marriage because it was falling apart?

MS. EDINBURG: Objection, the question has been asked and answered.

THE COURT: Sustained.

A He told me --

MS. EDINBURG: Wait, you don't need to answer.

MR. SEGALL: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: You may step down. Any other witnesses, Mr. Segall?

MR. SEGALL: No.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, I have two witnesses. Would the Court like an offer of proof?

THE COURT: Yes, Ma'am.

MS. EDINBURG: I'd call Mr. Richard Crouse. Mr. Crouse is a real estate developer. Mr. Crouse would testify, Your Honor, that he received a phone call from Sandy Wilfred on February 28th at approximately 7 a.m. She was most upset and distressed. She told him that Harmon had just threatened on God's authority, he told her he had permission or was told to take the child. She was very upset and asked if she could come there for safety and protection; that he then handed the phone to his wife, Shelly, who spoke to

Mrs. Wilfred, who was crying for help. Mr. Crouse would testify that he has known Mr. Wilfred for approximately nine years. And in fact, he was the gentleman who introduced this couple. Mr. Crouse would further testify that he believes Mr. Wilfred has the capability of taking his child; that he does have a rage behavior; that he has the ability to, in fact, take this child and leave.

]

.7

Mr. Crouse feels that the move was an emergency; that it was spontaneous on Mrs. Wilfred's part. It was not preplaned. There was not a previous plan that she was going to do this. She and Tyler haven't lived in their home since February 28th. She's a wonderful mother, very stable. There is no history of psychological impulses. Tyler is bonded to her. He is in good hands. He fears for the safety for his wife and child, and also requests that the restraining order continue in full force.

A second woman named Diana Canino (phonetic) -excuse me, Diane. She has known Mrs. Wilfred for 14 years;
has a very close personal relationship, and lived with
Mrs. Wilfred for a year and a half in 1978 or '78. There is
absolutely no knowledge on her part of any psychological
history of psychiatric or psychological care; that they have
seen each other and maintained regular contract through the
years. She would testify to her observations, that Sandy is
a very good mother. Tyler is very attached and bonded to her.

She, on a few occasions, helped with the child care during this period of time. Mrs. Wilfred has done a great job with Tyler; that he gets upset when she leaves, but that's a normal separation in time; that on five different occasions, Mrs. Wilfred called her and reported violations where Mr. Wilfred had chased her around the house, and the incident where he rebuked the devil from her body. Mrs. Wilfred spontaneously called her from where she was living and told her that Mr. Wilfred said he was either going to force her to commit to the marriage or he would leave. She was advised 10 11 of the incident with the fear of being harmed.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She would also testify to the spontaneous comments Mr. Wilfred referenced from the police report alleging the incident on Christmas. She would verify to the incident on February 28th where Mrs. Wilfred called her desparately and telling her what had happened that morning. She was fearful That's what precipitated the move. for Tyler.

She would also testify that afterTyler had come back to her home after the visits, he's not upset or anxious or anything that he's done at the visits, but there's not this bonded relationship to his family, and that is brought on by the fact that Mr. Wilfred is seeing the child for one hour visits.

> Those are the two witnesses that we also have. MR. SEGALL: Your Honor, I would also have an offer

of proof with respect to Tom Jones who is a business associate of Mr. Wilfred's. He's known him for four years and seen Mrs. Wilfred with the child approximately 20 to 25 times. Mr. Jones' wife runs a day care center in the shopping center owned by Mr. Wilfred's company, one that is in Chapter 11; that Mr. Wilfred and Tyler do have a very good relationship. Mr. Wilfred is a rational hard working and regular guy. There is no reason why he ought not to be able to see his child on a regular basis. The only objection I have of the offer of proof Ms. Edinburg made is for Diane.

THE COURT: Are you allowed to object to an offer of proof, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I don't know. Let's hear what you have to say. I never heard one word.

MR. SEGALL: With respect to the statement about what she told the Sheriff's Department is what she told Diane, the best evidence is the report from the Sheriff's Department. If the Court will accept the offer of proof, I'd again move the admission of Respondent's A.

MS. EDINBURG: I think I'm going to object to Respondet's A being admitted.

THE COURT: All right. The Court is prepared to rule in this matter. This matter comes before the Court -- Mr. Segall?

MR. SEGALL: Your Honor, if the Court please, I'd

like to call Mr. Wilfred for five minutes for rebuttal of Mrs. Wilfred's testimony. THE COURT: Okay. You're still under oath, sir. 3 MR. WILFRED: Thank you. REBUTTAL EXAMINATION BY MR. SEGALL: 6 Mr. Wilfred, you were present in the courtroom when 7 your wife testified? 8 Yes. 9 Α And did she say anything that was untrue? Okay. 10 Yes. She said a lot that was untrue. Α Are the things she said that were untrue -- well, 12 did you ever chase her around the house and rebuke her to 13 get the devil out of her? 14 I never did that. In fact, the incident she is 15 referring to is where she got angry and started swearing at 16 me like a sailor. I felt it was not my wife speaking. I 17 felt she was under an influence because she has been a loving 18 person that I love, but she took that as rebuking the devil 19 from her for religious persecution. 20 She said that you spend an hour or two of quality time with Tyler a week? 22 That's an absolute lie. 23 Okay. How much time would you spend with your son 24

1

2

4

5

11

21

25

on a regular basis?

A Even when my son has been ill and she had not been able to deal with him, I had to take him in the bedroom upstairs and be with him all night because she couldn't deal with him. He moved around when you changed his diaper. In any case, he's a very strong-willed child.

Q Did you ever spank him? She testified you would when you were trying to diaper him?

A I might have cracked him on the leg a little bit when he was wriggling around. I never spanked him. I would never never hurt him. We discussed it after that because she suggested to me that maybe it was inappropriate, but she ended up agreeing with me. In fact, I went and got Dr. James Dobson's book and opened it up and read it with her and let her read it and discussed it with her, and we discussed that it was appropriate to spank a child probably after 15 months.

- Q Is this your first child?
- A Yes, it is.
- Q Okay. Have you made mistakes in raising him?
- A We certainly are not infallible.
- Q Has your wife made mistakes?
- A Neither one of us are infallible. I think we both mean the best for the Tyler. I will give her credit for that.
  - Q Did you ever tell her before the adoption became

final that you were threatening to take the child away from her or cause the child -- the adoption not to go through?

A Never. All I said earlier was that I was not going before a judge and lie so that she could take Tyler. She had threatened to do that after the adoption was finalized if we were not stable. I told her that I would tell the judge that things weren't stable. Frankly, my intentions were to go into the judge and continue the whole process until we were stable.

- Q Okay. Did you donate \$2,000 to the church in January?
  - A I did. It was my tithe.
  - Q Okay. Did you say \$4,000 or \$2,000?
- A It was another \$2,000. There was a \$2,000 amount that was donated to Mr. Tom Jones. It wasn't a donation. He needed the money as an investment.
- Q Now, your wife bit you on the finger. Were you about to hit her?
  - A No, I wasn't.
  - Q Were you pushing her away?
- A I had my hand out to keep her from trying to jerk Tyler out of my arms because she was hysterical.
  - Q Who was the aggressor in that incident?
  - A She was.
  - Q In the motion that I wrote, I indicated that it was

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Mrs. Wilfred who was paranoid about losing the child. Why do you believe that is so?

I can recall a time when things were looking very grim for us financially before Tyler's adoption was finalized, and I remember her literally getting on the phone, and I had used every last thing that I could find to make things work. I wasn't sure which way to turn, and I posed that to her while she was sitting in the living room. She was clutching Tyler and saying, "I'm going to lose my son. I'm going to lose my I kept saying, "No, you're not," but I mean from then on, it was a constant fear of losing Tyler. believe that I made some decisions that weren't so good financially during those periods. I can be faulted for that. I even made some decisions without consulting Sandy. I can be faulted for that also. It was a trying time. I have never even hid financial documents from her. The only time I removed the cash from her, that she didn't have signature authority is when she told me she was going to leave me. bet I moved the funds before that could happen. She was also able to sign on every checking account including the one that I only had my name on. She has a signature card signed as signature authority.

Okay. Are you prepared to account to the Court of any money spent by you?

You bet I am.

1	Q When you filed the Chapter 11 bankruptcy, was that
2	with the intention of leaving the state?
3	A That absolutely was not.
4	Q Why is the shopping center in Chapter 11?
5	A Because it was a defense mechanism to pull the
6	lenders back at this point in time. It's looking like we
7	may end up winning the lawsuit right now.
8	Q Is it your intention to work within the structure
9	of the Chapter 11 proceeding to try and safe your business?
10	A Absolutely. I'm taking time off to do it.
11	Q Have you put any fraud before the bankruptcy court
12	A Absolutely not.
13	Q And, in fact, Ms. Edinburg and your wife can go to
14	the bankruptcy court and look at the files?
15	A They can look at my checkbook right here if they
16	wish.
17	Q Thank you.
18	MR. SEGALL: I have nothing further.
19	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
20	BY MS. EDINBURG:
21	Q Mr. Wilfred, that book you bought on strong-willed
22	children was the first book that you read about child care
23	centers?
24	A No, it's not true.
25	

restraining order was issued on an ex parte basis.

The Court finds after hearing the testimony of both parties, judges the credibility of both parties, finds that the restraining order is proper; that visitation should be supervised. It should be supervised for at least two hours a week. I think that it should be at least two hours. It can be two hours a day twice a week. I don't think it should be four hours. I think for a 15-month old, he ought to see his father twice a week. I think my sense is that both the time and the money is available for Children's Hospital to do that. If the police officer is not available, somebody should be available to allow visitation.

All other parts of the restraining order should be in full force and effect. Both parties will have a restraining order regarding the assets.

Neither party shall remove the minor child from the State of Colorado without written order of the Court. The Court has appointed Susan Dycus as a Guardian ad Litem in this matter. She was being contacted. If she hasn't yet contacted you, an order will go out. The order will say that she is appointed. Each party shall pay Ms. Dycus \$250. Her fee is going to be \$50 an hour. She serves at the pleasure of the Court. That is at a cut rate, and of course, that's subject to change if the Court thinks it's necessary.

I would like both parties to fully cooperate with

Ms. Dycus. You can use Children's Hospital, or you can use a private party, whatever is most comfortable for the child and the parties.

This matter should go to temporary orders as soon as you can do that.

MS. EDINBURG: Your Honor, with respect to the cost for visitation and the Guardian ad Litem, we have received no monies. We don't have temporary orders until June. We do not have the funds to pay the Guardian ad Litem or pay for the supervised visitation. Would the Court be willing to have Mr. Wilfred do that?

THE COURT: We will do that on a temporary basis.

MS. EDINBURG: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Wilfred will pay those sums. All other orders will stay the same.

MR. SEGALL: Thank you.

MS. EDINBURG: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the Court adjourned this matter at 4:41 p.m.)

## 

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

The above and foregoing is a true and accurate transcription to the best of my ability of my stenotype notes taken in my capacity as the Official Shorthand Reporter, Division 10, Arapahoe County District Court, Englewood, Colorado.

Dated this 4th day of February, 1991.

Sandie Noblitt Court Reporter Division 10